

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For fiscal year ended September 30, 2009

Commission File Number 1-14173

MarineMax, Inc.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware
(State of Incorporation)

59-3496957
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**18167 U.S. Highway 19 North
 Suite 300
 Clearwater, Florida 33764
 (727) 531-1700**

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of principal executive offices)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

<u>Title of Each Class</u>	<u>Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered</u>
Common Stock, par value \$.001 per share	New York Stock Exchange
Rights to Purchase Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock	

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes
 No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 229.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes
 No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
 (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of common stock held by nonaffiliates of the registrant (17,208,443 shares) based on the closing price of the registrant's common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange on March 31, 2009, which was the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, was \$33,728,548. For purposes of this computation, all officers, directors, and 10% beneficial owners of the registrant are deemed to be affiliates. Such determination should not be deemed to be an admission that such officers, directors, or 10% beneficial owners are, in fact, affiliates of the registrant.

As of November 30, 2009, there were outstanding 21,921,384 shares of registrant's common stock, par value \$.001 per share.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this report.

MARINEMAX, INC.

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K
Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
PART I	
ITEM 1. BUSINESS	1
ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS	23
ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS	34
ITEM 2. PROPERTIES	34
ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	37
ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS	37
PART II	
ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS, AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES	38
ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	40
ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	41
ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	49
ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	50
ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	50
ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	50
PART III	
ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	53
ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	53
ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	53
ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE	53
ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES	53
PART IV	
ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES	54
SIGNATURES	57
EX-10.21	
EX-23.1	
EX-31.1	
EX-31.2	
EX-32.1	
EX-32.2	

PART I

Item 1. *Business*

Introduction

Our Company

We are the largest recreational boat dealer in the United States. Through 55 retail locations in Alabama, Arizona, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Maryland, Minnesota, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, Tennessee, and Texas, we sell new and used recreational boats, including pleasure and fishing boats, with a focus on premium brands in each segment. We also sell related marine products, including engines, trailers, parts, and accessories. In addition, we arrange related boat financing, insurance, and extended service contracts; provide repair and maintenance services; offer boat and yacht brokerage services; and, where available, offer slip and storage accommodations.

We are the nation's largest retailer of Sea Ray, Boston Whaler, Cabo, Hatteras, and Meridian recreational boats and yachts, all of which are manufactured by Brunswick Corporation. Sales of new Brunswick boats accounted for approximately 51% of our revenue in fiscal 2009. Brunswick is the world's largest manufacturer of marine products and marine engines. We believe our sales represented approximately 6% of all Brunswick marine sales, including approximately 31% of its Sea Ray boat sales, during our 2009 fiscal year. We are parties to dealer agreements with Brunswick covering Sea Ray products and are the exclusive dealer of Sea Ray boats in almost all of our geographic markets. We also are the exclusive dealer for Hatteras Yachts throughout the state of Florida (excluding the Florida panhandle) and the states of New Jersey, New York, and Texas; the exclusive dealer for Cabo Yachts throughout the states of Florida, New Jersey, and New York; the exclusive dealer for Boston Whaler in many of our markets, including our locations in the states of New York, North Carolina, and portions of the states of Florida, California, and Texas; and the exclusive dealer for Meridian Yachts in most of our geographic markets. In addition, we are the exclusive dealer for Italy-based Azimut-Benetti Group for Azimut and Atlantis mega-yachts, yachts, and other recreational boats for the Northeast United States from Maryland to Maine and the state of Florida.

We commenced operations as a result of the March 1, 1998 acquisition of five previously independent recreational boat dealers. Since that time, we have acquired 20 additional previously independent recreational boat dealers, two boat brokerage operations, and two full-service yacht repair operations. We capitalize on the experience and success of the acquired companies in order to establish a new national standard of customer service and responsiveness in the highly fragmented retail boating industry. As a result of our emphasis on premium brand boats, our average selling price for a new boat in fiscal 2009 was approximately \$133,000, an increase of approximately 5% from fiscal 2008, compared with the industry average calendar 2008 selling price of approximately \$37,000 based on industry data published by the National Marine Manufacturers Association. Our stores, which operated at least 12 months, averaged approximately \$11.3 million in annual sales in fiscal 2009. We consider a store to be one or more retail locations that are adjacent or operate as one entity. Our same-store sales decreased 28% in fiscal 2008 and 29% in fiscal 2009, but averaged an annual increase of approximately 11% for the preceding five years.

We adopt the best practices developed by us and our acquired companies as appropriate to enhance our ability to attract more customers, foster an overall enjoyable boating experience, and offer boat manufacturers stable and professional retail distribution and a broad geographic presence. We believe that our full range of services, no hassle sales approach, prime retail locations, premium product offerings, extensive facilities, strong management and team members, and emphasis on customer service and satisfaction before and after a boat sale are competitive advantages that enable us to be more responsive to the needs of existing and prospective customers.

The U.S. recreational boating industry generated approximately \$33.6 billion in retail sales in calendar 2008, including sales of new and used boats; marine products, such as engines, trailers, equipment, and accessories; and related expenditures, such as fuel, insurance, docking, storage, and repairs. Retail sales of new and used boats, engines, trailers, and accessories accounted for approximately \$24.8 billion of these sales in 2008 based on industry data from the National Marine Manufacturers Association. The highly fragmented retail boating industry generally consists of small dealers that operate in a single market and provide varying degrees of merchandising, professional

management, and customer service. We believe that many small dealers are finding it increasingly difficult to make the managerial and capital commitments necessary to achieve higher customer service levels and upgrade systems and facilities as required by boat manufacturers and demanded by customers. We also believe that many dealers lack an exit strategy for their owners. We believe these factors contribute to our opportunity.

Strategy

Our goal is to enhance our position as the nation's leading recreational boat dealer. Key elements of our operating and growth strategy include the following:

- emphasizing customer satisfaction and loyalty by creating an overall enjoyable boating experience, beginning with a hassle-free purchase process, superior customer service, company-led events called Getaways!, and premier facilities;
- achieving efficiencies and synergies among our operations to enhance internal growth and profitability;
- promoting national brand name recognition and the MarineMax connection;
- emphasizing the "best practices" developed by us and our acquired dealers as appropriate throughout our dealerships;
- offering additional products and services, including those involving higher profit margins;
- pursuing strategic acquisitions to capitalize upon the consolidation opportunities in the highly fragmented recreational boat dealer industry by acquiring additional dealers and related operations and improving their performance and profitability through the implementation of our operating strategies;
- opening additional retail facilities in our existing and new territories;
- emphasizing employee training and development;
- expanding our Internet retail operations and marketing;
- operating with a decentralized approach to the operational management of our dealerships; and
- utilizing information technology throughout operations, which facilitates the interchange of information sharing and enhances cross-selling opportunities throughout our company.

Development of the Company; Expansion of Business

MarineMax was founded in January 1998. MarineMax itself, however, conducted no operations until the acquisition of five independent recreational boat dealers on March 1, 1998, and we completed our initial public offering in June 1998. Since the initial acquisitions in March 1998, we have acquired 20 additional recreational boat dealers, two boat brokerage operations, and two full-service yacht repair operations. Acquired dealers operate under the MarineMax name.

We continually attempt to enhance our business by providing a full range of services, offering extensive and high-quality product lines, maintaining prime retail locations, pursuing the MarineMax Value Price sales approach, and emphasizing the highest level of customer service and customer satisfaction.

We also evaluate opportunities to expand our operations by acquiring recreational boat dealers to expand our geographic scope, expanding our product lines, opening new retail locations within our existing territories, and offering new products and services for our customers.

Table of Contents

Acquisitions of additional recreational boat dealers represent an important strategy in our goal to enhance our position as the nation's leading retailer of recreational boats. The following table sets forth information regarding the businesses that we have acquired and their geographic regions.

<u>Acquired Companies</u>	<u>Acquisition Date</u>	<u>Geographic Region</u>
Bassett Boat Company of Florida	March 1998	Southeast Florida
Louis DelHomme Marine	March 1998	Dallas and Houston, Texas
Gulfwind USA, Inc.	March 1998	West Central Florida
Gulfwind South, Inc.	March 1998	Southwest Florida
Harrison's Boat Center, Inc. and Harrison's Marine Centers of Arizona, Inc.	March 1998	Northern California (closed 2009) and Arizona
Stovall Marine, Inc.	April 1998	Georgia
Cochran's Marine, Inc. and C & N Marine Corporation	July 1998	Minnesota
Sea Ray of North Carolina, Inc.	July 1998	North and South Carolina
Brevard Boat Company	September 1998	East Central Florida
Sea Ray of Las Vegas	September 1998	Nevada
Treasure Cove Marina, Inc.	September 1998	Northern Ohio
Woods & Oviatt, Inc.	October 1998	Southeast Florida
Boating World	February 1999	Dallas, Texas
Merit Marine, Inc.	March 1999	Southern New Jersey
Suburban Boatworks, Inc.	April 1999	Central New Jersey
Hansen Marine, Inc.	August 1999	Northeast Florida
Duce Marine, Inc.	December 1999	Utah (closed 2009)
Clark's Landing, Inc. (selected New Jersey locations and operations)	April 2000	Northern New Jersey
Associated Marine Technologies, Inc.	January 2001	Southeast Florida
Gulfwind Marine Partners, Inc.	April 2002	West Florida
Seaside Marine, Inc.	July 2002	Southern California
Sundance Marine, Inc.	June 2003	Colorado
Killinger Marine Center, Inc. and Killinger Marine Center of Alabama, Inc.	September 2003	Northwest Florida and Alabama
Emarine International, Inc. and Steven Myers, Inc.	October 2003	Southeast Florida
Imperial Marine	June 2004	Baltimore, Maryland
Port Jacksonville Marine	June 2004	Northeast Florida
Port Arrowhead Marina, Inc.	January 2006	Missouri, Oklahoma
Great American Marina(1)	February 2006	West Florida
Surfside — 3 Marina, Inc.	March 2006	Connecticut, Maryland, New York and Rhode Island

(1) Joint Venture

Apart from acquisitions, we have opened 27 new retail locations in existing territories, excluding those opened on a temporary basis for a specific purpose. We also monitor the performance of our retail locations and close retail locations that do not meet our expectations. Based on these factors and the recent depressed economic conditions,

Table of Contents

we have closed 47 retail locations since March 1998, excluding those opened on a temporary basis for a specific purpose, including 26 in fiscal 2009.

As a part of our acquisition strategy, we frequently engage in discussions with various recreational boat dealers regarding their potential acquisition by us. In connection with these discussions, we and each potential acquisition candidate exchange confidential operational and financial information; conduct due diligence inquiries; and consider the structure, terms, and conditions of the potential acquisition. In certain cases, the prospective acquisition candidate agrees not to discuss a potential acquisition with any other party for a specific period of time, grants us an option to purchase the prospective dealer for a designated price during a specific time period, and agrees to take other actions designed to enhance the possibility of the acquisition, such as preparing audited financial information and converting its accounting system to the system specified by us. Potential acquisition discussions frequently take place over a long period of time and involve difficult business integration and other issues, including in some cases, management succession and related matters. As a result of these and other factors, a number of potential acquisitions that from time to time appear likely to occur do not result in binding legal agreements and are not consummated.

In addition to acquiring recreational boat dealers and opening new retail locations, we also add new product lines to expand our operations. The following table sets forth various current product lines that we have added to our existing locations during the years indicated.

<u>Product Line</u>	<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Geographic Regions</u>
Boston Whaler	1997	West Central Florida; Stuart, Florida; Dallas, Texas
Hatteras Yachts	1999	Florida (excluding the Florida panhandle)
Boston Whaler	2000	North Palm Beach, Florida
Meridian Yachts	2002	Florida, Georgia, North and South Carolina, New Jersey, Ohio, Minnesota, Texas, and Delaware
Grady White	2002	Houston, Texas
Hatteras Yachts	2002	Texas
Boston Whaler	2004	North and South Carolina
Princecraft	2004	Minnesota
Boston Whaler	2005	Houston and Dallas, Texas
Meridian Yachts	2005	Chattanooga, Tennessee
Azimut	2006	Northeast United States from Maryland to Maine
Atlantis	2006	Northeast United States from Maryland to Maine
Cabo	2006	West coast of Florida
Cabo	2007	East coast of Florida
Azimut	2008	Florida
Cabo	2008	New Jersey and New York
Hatteras Yachts	2008	New Jersey and New York
Meridian Yachts	2008	Arizona, and Colorado
Meridian Yachts	2009	Maryland and Delaware
Boston Whaler	2009	Southwest Florida

As we add a brand, we believe we are offering a migration path for our existing customer base or filling a gap in our product offerings. As a result, we do not believe that new product offerings will compete with or cannibalize the business generated from our other prominent brands. We also discontinue offering product lines from time to time, primarily based upon customer preferences.

During the nine-year period from the commencement of our operations through our fiscal year ended September 30, 2007, our revenue increased from \$291 million to \$1.2 billion. Our revenue and net income increased in seven of those nine years over the prior year revenue and net income. This period was marked by an increase in

retail locations from 41 on September 30, 1998 to 88 on September 30, 2007, resulting from acquisitions and opening new stores in existing territories.

Our growth was interrupted during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007, primarily as a result of factors related to the deteriorating housing market. Substantially deteriorating economic and financial conditions, reduced consumer confidence and spending, increases in fuel prices, lower credit availability, stock and bond market declines, and asset value deterioration all contributed to substantially lower financial performance in the fiscal years ended September 30, 2008 and September 30, 2009, including significant losses.

We have taken a number of actions to address recent market and economic conditions, including deferring our acquisition program, slowing our new store openings, reducing our inventory purchases, engaging in inventory reduction efforts, closing a number of our retail locations, significantly reducing our headcount, and modifying our debt structure and credit agreement. We cannot predict the length or severity of the current recessionary environment or the magnitude of the effects it will have on our operating performance nor can we predict the effectiveness of the measures we have taken to address this environment.

Despite the foregoing, we are maintaining our core values of customer service and satisfaction and plan to continue to pursue strategies that will enable us to achieve long-term growth. We believe that we are well positioned for long-term success and growth when economic conditions improve. Upon a return to more normal economic conditions, we plan to resume expanding our business through acquisitions in new geographical territories, new store openings in existing territories, and adding new product lines. In addition, we plan to continue to expand other services, including conducting used boat sales; offering yacht and boat brokerage services; offering our customers the ability to finance new or used boats; offering extended service contracts; arranging insurance coverage, including boat property, credit-life, accident, disability, and casualty coverage; selling related marine products, including engines, trailers, parts, and accessories; providing maintenance and repair services at our retail locations and at stand-alone service facilities; and expanding our ability to provide slip and storage accommodations. Our expansion plans will depend upon the return of normal economic conditions.

We maintain our executive offices at 18167 U.S. Highway 19 North, Suite 300, Clearwater, Florida 33764, and our telephone number is (727) 531-1700. We were incorporated in the state of Delaware in January 1998. Unless the context otherwise requires, all references to “MarineMax” mean MarineMax, Inc. prior to its acquisition of five previously independent recreational boat dealers in March 1998 (including their related real estate companies) and all references to the “Company,” “our company,” “we,” “us,” and “our” mean, as a combined company, MarineMax, Inc. and the 20 recreational boat dealers, two boat brokerage operations, and two full-service yacht repair operations acquired to date (the “acquired dealers,” and together with the brokerage and repair operations, “operating subsidiaries,” or the “acquired companies”).

Our website is located at www.MarineMax.com. Through our website, we make available free of charge our annual report on Form 10-K, our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, our current reports on Form 8-K, our proxy statements, and any amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These reports are available as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file those reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC. We also post on our website the charters of our Audit, Compensation, and Nominating/Corporate Governance Committees; our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, and Code of Ethics for the CEO and Senior Financial Officers, and any amendments or waivers thereto; and any other corporate governance materials contemplated by SEC or the regulations of the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE. These documents are also available in print to any stockholder requesting a copy from our corporate secretary at our principal executive offices. Because our common stock is listed on the NYSE, our Chief Executive Officer is required to make an annual certification to the NYSE stating that he is not aware of any violation by us of the corporate governance listing standards of the NYSE. Our Chief Executive Officer made his annual certification to that effect to the NYSE on February 18, 2009.

BUSINESS

General

We are the largest recreational boat dealer in the United States. Through 55 retail locations in Alabama, Arizona, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Maryland, Minnesota, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, Tennessee, and Texas, we sell new and used recreational boats, including pleasure boats (such as sport boats, sport cruisers, sport yachts, and yachts), and fishing boats, with a focus on premium brands in each segment. We also sell related marine products, including engines, trailers, parts, and accessories. In addition, we arrange related boat and yacht financing, insurance, and extended service contracts; provide repair and maintenance services; offer boat and yacht brokerage services; and, where available, slip and storage accommodations.

We are the nation's largest retailer of Sea Ray, Boston Whaler, Cabo, Hatteras, and Meridian recreational boats and yachts, all of which are manufactured by Brunswick Corporation. Sales of new Brunswick boats accounted for approximately 51% of our revenue in fiscal 2009. Brunswick is the world's largest manufacturer of marine products and marine engines. We believe our sales represented approximately 6% of all Brunswick marine sales, including approximately 31% of its Sea Ray boat sales, during our 2009 fiscal year. We are parties to dealer agreements with Brunswick covering Sea Ray products and are the exclusive dealer of Sea Ray boats in almost all of our geographic markets. We also are the exclusive dealer for Hatteras Yachts throughout the state of Florida (excluding the Florida panhandle) and the states of New Jersey, New York, and Texas; the exclusive dealer for Cabo Yachts throughout the states of Florida, New Jersey, and New York; the exclusive dealer for Boston Whaler in many of our markets, including our locations in the states of New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and portions of the states of Florida, California, and Texas; and the exclusive dealer for Meridian Yachts in most of our geographic markets, excluding California. In addition, we are the exclusive dealer for Italy-based Azimut-Benetti Group for Azimut and Atlantis mega-yachts, yachts, and other recreational boats for the Northeast United States from Maryland to Maine and the state of Florida.

U.S. Recreational Boating Industry

The total U.S. recreational boating industry generated approximately \$33.6 billion in retail sales in calendar 2008, including retail sales of new and used recreational boats; marine products, such as engines, trailers, parts, and accessories; and related boating expenditures, such as fuel, insurance, docking, storage, and repairs. Retail sales of new and used boats, engines, trailers, and accessories accounted for approximately \$24.8 billion of such sales in 2008. Annual retail recreational boating sales were \$17.9 billion in the late 1980s, but declined to a low of \$10.3 billion in 1992 based on industry data published by the National Marine Manufacturers Association. We believe this decline was attributable to several factors, including a recession, the Gulf War, and the imposition throughout 1991 and 1992 of a luxury tax on boats sold at prices in excess of \$100,000. The luxury tax was repealed in 1993, and retail boating sales increased each year thereafter except for 1998, 2003, 2007, 2008, and 2009.

The recreational boat retail market remains highly fragmented with little consolidation having occurred to date and consists of numerous boat retailers, most of which are small companies owned by individuals that operate in a single market and provide varying degrees of merchandising, professional management, and customer service. We believe that many boat retailers are encountering increased pressure from boat manufacturers to improve their levels of service and systems, increased competition from larger national retailers in certain product lines, and, in certain cases, business succession issues.

Strategy

Our goal is to enhance our position as the nation's leading recreational boat dealer. Key elements of our strategy include the following.

Emphasizing Customer Satisfaction and Loyalty. We seek to achieve a high level of customer satisfaction and establish long-term customer loyalty by creating an overall enjoyable boating experience beginning with a hassle-free purchase process. We further enhance and simplify the purchase process by helping to arrange financing and insurance at our retail locations with competitive terms and streamlined

turnaround. We offer the customer a thorough in-water orientation of boat operations where available, as well as ongoing boat safety, maintenance, and use seminars and demonstrations for the customer's entire family. We also continue our customer service after the sale by leading and sponsoring MarineMax Getaways! group boating trips to various destinations, rendezvous gatherings, and on-the-water organized events to provide our customers with pre-arranged opportunities to enjoy the pleasures of the boating lifestyle. We also endeavor to provide superior maintenance and repair services, often through mobile service at the customer's wet slip and with extended service department hours and emergency service availability, that minimize the hassles of boat maintenance.

Achieving Operating Efficiencies and Synergies. We strive to increase the operating efficiencies of and achieve certain synergies among our dealerships in order to enhance internal growth and profitability. We centralize various aspects of certain administrative functions at the corporate level, such as accounting, finance, insurance coverage, employee benefits, marketing, strategic planning, legal support, purchasing and distribution, and management information systems. Centralization of these functions reduces duplicative expenses and permits the dealerships to benefit from a level of scale and expertise that would otherwise be unavailable to each dealership individually. We also seek to realize cost savings from reduced inventory carrying costs as a result of purchasing boat inventories on a national level and directing boats to dealership locations that can more readily sell such boats; lower financing costs through our credit sources; and volume purchase discounts and rebates for certain marine products, supplies, and advertising. The ability of our retail locations to offer the complementary services of our other retail locations, such as offering customer excursion opportunities, providing maintenance and repair services at the customer's boat location, and giving access to a larger inventory, increases the competitiveness of each retail location. By centralizing these types of activities, our store managers have more time to focus on the customer and the development of their teams.

Promoting Brand Name Recognition and the MarineMax Connection. We are promoting our brand name recognition to take advantage of our status as the nation's only coast-to-coast marine retailer. This strategy also recognizes that many existing and potential customers who reside in Northern markets and vacation for substantial periods in Southern markets will prefer to purchase and service their boats from the same well-known company. We refer to this strategy as the "MarineMax Connection." As a result, our signage emphasizes the MarineMax name at each of our locations, and we conduct national advertising in various print and other media.

Emphasizing Best Practices. We emphasize the "best practices" developed by us and our acquired dealers as appropriate throughout our locations. As an example, we follow a no-haggle sales approach at each of our dealerships. Under the MarineMax Value-Price approach, we sell our boats at posted prices, generally representing a discount from the manufacturer's suggested retail price, thereby eliminating the anxieties of price negotiations that occur in most boat purchases. In addition, we adopt, where beneficial, the best practices developed by us and our acquired dealers in terms of location, design, layout, product purchases, maintenance and repair services (including extended service hours and mobile or dockside services), product mix, employee training, and customer education and services.

Offering Additional Products and Services, Including Those Involving Higher Profit Margins. We plan to continue to offer additional product lines and services throughout our dealerships or, when appropriate, in selected dealerships. We are offering throughout our dealerships product lines that previously have been offered only at certain of our locations. We also may obtain additional product lines through the acquisition of distribution rights directly from manufacturers and the acquisition of dealerships with distribution rights. In either situation, such expansion is typically done through agreements that appoint us as the exclusive dealer for a designated geographic territory. We have increased our used boat sales and yacht brokerage services through an increased emphasis on these activities, cooperative efforts among our dealerships, and the use of the Internet. We also plan to continue to grow our financing and insurance, parts and accessories, service, and boat storage businesses to better serve our customers and thereby increase revenue and improve profitability of these higher margin businesses.

Pursuing Strategic Acquisitions. We capitalize upon the significant consolidation opportunities available in the highly fragmented recreational boat dealer industry by acquiring independent dealers and

improving their performance and profitability through the implementation of our operating strategies. The primary acquisition focus is on well-established, high-end recreational boat dealers in geographic markets not currently served by us, particularly geographic markets with strong boating demographics, such as areas within the coastal states and the Great Lakes region. We also may seek to acquire boat dealers that, while located in attractive geographic markets, have not been able to realize favorable market share or profitability and that can benefit substantially from our systems and operating strategies. We may expand our range of product lines, service offerings, and market penetration by acquiring companies that distribute recreational boat product lines or boating-related services different from those we currently offer. As a result of our considerable industry experience and relationships, we believe we are well positioned to identify and evaluate acquisition candidates and assess their growth prospects, the quality of their management teams, their local reputation with customers, and the suitability of their locations. We believe we are regarded as an attractive acquirer by boat dealers because of (1) the historical performance and the experience and reputation of our management team within the industry; (2) our decentralized operating strategy, which generally enables the managers of an acquired dealer to continue their involvement in dealership operations; (3) the ability of management and employees of an acquired dealer to participate in our growth and expansion through potential stock ownership and career advancement opportunities; and (4) the ability to offer liquidity to the owners of acquired dealers through the receipt of common stock or cash. We have entered into an agreement regarding acquisitions with the Sea Ray Division of Brunswick. Under the agreement, acquisitions of Sea Ray dealers will be mutually agreed upon by us and Sea Ray with reasonable efforts to be made to include a balance of Sea Ray dealers that have been successful and those that have not been. The agreement provides that Sea Ray will not unreasonably withhold its consent to any proposed acquisition of a Sea Ray dealer by us, subject to the conditions set forth in the agreement, as further described in “Business — Brunswick Agreement Relating to Acquisitions.”

Opening New Facilities. We intend to continue to establish additional retail facilities in our existing and new markets. We believe that the demographics of our existing geographic territories support the opening of additional facilities, and we have opened 27 new retail facilities, excluding those opened on a temporary basis for a specific purpose, since our formation in January 1998. We also plan to reach new customers through various innovative retail formats developed by us, such as mall stores and floating retail facilities. We continually monitor the performance of our retail locations and close retail locations that do not meet our expectations or that were opened for a specific purpose that is no longer relevant. Based on these factors since March 1998, we have closed 47 retail locations, excluding those opened on a temporary basis for a specific purpose, including 26 in fiscal 2009 because of depressed economic conditions.

Emphasizing Employee Training and Development. We devote substantial efforts to train our employees to understand our core retail philosophies, which focus on making the purchase of a boat and its subsequent use as hassle-free and enjoyable as possible. Through our MarineMax University, or MMU, we teach our retail philosophies to existing and new employees at various locations and online, through MMU-online. MMU is a modularized and instructor-led educational program that focuses on our retailing philosophies and provides instruction on such matters as the sales process, customer service, F&I, accounting, leadership, and human resources.

Utilization of the Internet. Our web initiatives span across multiple websites including our core site, www.MarineMax.com. The websites provide customers with the ability to learn more about our company and our products. Our website generates direct sales and provides our stores with leads to potential customers for new and used boats, brokerage services, finance and insurance products, and repair and maintenance services. We also maintain multiple online storefronts for customers to purchase a wide variety of boating parts and accessories. In addition, we utilize various feeder websites and social networking websites to drive additional traffic and leads for our various product and service offerings.

Operating with Decentralized Management. We maintain a generally decentralized approach to the operational management of our dealerships. The decentralized management approach takes advantage of the extensive experience of local managers, enabling them to implement policies and make decisions, including the appropriate product mix, based on the needs of the local market. Local management authority also fosters responsive customer service and promotes long-term community and customer relationships. In addition, the

centralization of certain administrative functions at the corporate level enhances the ability of local managers to focus their efforts on day-to-day dealership operations and the customers.

Utilizing Technology Throughout Operations. We believe that our management information system, which currently is being utilized by each of our dealerships and was developed over a number of years through cooperative efforts with a common vendor, enhances our ability to integrate successfully the operations of our dealerships and future acquired dealers. The system facilitates the interchange of information and enhances cross-selling opportunities throughout our company. The system integrates each level of operations on a company-wide basis, including purchasing, inventory, receivables, financial reporting, budgeting, and sales management. The system also provides sales representatives with prospect and customer information that aids them in tracking the status of their contacts with prospects, automatically generates follow-up correspondence to such prospects, facilitates the availability of boats company-wide, locates boats needed to satisfy particular customer requests, and monitors the maintenance and service needs of customers' boats. Our representatives also utilize the computer system to assist in arranging customer financing and insurance packages. Our managers use a web-based tool to access essentially all financial and operational data from anywhere at any time.

Products and Services

We offer new and used recreational boats and related marine products, including engines, trailers, parts, and accessories. While we sell a broad range of new and used boats, we focus on premium brand products. In addition, we assist in arranging related boat financing, insurance, and extended service contracts; provide boat maintenance and repair services; provide boat brokerage services; and offer slip and storage accommodations.

New Boat Sales

We primarily sell recreational boats, including pleasure boats and fishing boats. The principal products we offer are manufactured by Brunswick, the leading worldwide manufacturer of recreational boats, including Sea Ray pleasure boats, Boston Whaler fishing boats, Cabo Yachts, Hatteras Yachts, and Meridian Yachts. In fiscal 2009, we derived approximately 51% of our revenue from the sale of new boats manufactured by Brunswick. We believe that we represented approximately 6% of all of Brunswick's marine product sales during that period. Certain of our dealerships also sell luxury yachts, fishing boats, and pontoon boats provided by other manufacturers, including Italy-based Azimut. During fiscal 2009, new boat sales accounted for 60.7% of our revenue.

We offer recreational boats in most market segments, but have a particular focus on premium quality pleasure boats and yachts as reflected by our fiscal 2009 average new boat sales price of approximately \$133,000, an increase of approximately 5% from fiscal 2008, compared with an estimated industry average calendar 2008 selling price of approximately \$37,000 based on industry data published by the National Marine Manufacturers Association. Given our locations in some of the more affluent, offshore boating areas in the United States and emphasis on high levels of customer service, we sell a relatively higher percentage of large recreational boats, such as mega-yachts, yachts, and sport cruisers. We believe that the product lines we offer are among the highest quality within their respective market segments, with well-established trade-name recognition and reputations for quality, performance, and styling.

Table of Contents

The following table is illustrative of the range and approximate manufacturer suggested retail price range of new boats that we currently offer, but is not all inclusive.

<u>Product Line and Trade Name</u>	<u>Overall Length</u>	<u>Manufacturer Suggested Retail Price Range</u>
Motor Yachts		
Hatteras Motor Yachts	60' to 100'+	\$3,000,000 to \$10,000,000+
Azimut	38' to 116'+	790,000 to 12,000,000+
Convertibles		
Hatteras Convertibles	54' to 77'+	2,300,000 to 7,000,000+
Cabo	32' to 52'	475,000 to 2,000,000+
Pleasure Boats		
Sea Ray	17' to 60'	21,000 to 2,500,000
Meridian	34' to 59'	300,000 to 1,600,000
Fishing Boats		
Boston Whaler	11' to 37'	8,000 to 400,000
Grady White	18' to 36'	40,000 to 500,000

Motor Yachts. Hatteras Yachts and Azimut are two of the world's premier yacht builders. The motor yacht product lines typically include state-of-the-art designs with live-aboard luxuries. Hatteras offers a flybridge with extensive guest seating; covered aft deck, which may be fully or partially enclosed, providing the boater with additional living space; an elegant salon; and multiple staterooms for accommodations. Azimut yachts are known for their Americanized open layout with Italian design and powerful performance. The luxurious interiors of Azimut yachts are accented by windows and multiple accommodations that have been designed for comfort.

Convertibles. Hatteras Yachts and Cabo Yachts are two of the world's premier convertible yacht builders and offer state-of-the-art designs with live-aboard luxuries. Convertibles are primarily fishing vessels, which are well equipped to meet the needs of even the most serious tournament-class competitor. Hatteras features interiors that offer luxurious salon/galley arrangements, multiple staterooms with private heads, and a cockpit that includes a bait and tackle center, fishbox, and freezer. Cabo is known for spacious cockpits and accessibility to essentials, such as bait chests, livewells, bait prep centers, and tackle lockers. Cabo interiors offer elegance, highlighted by teak woodwork, halogen lighting, and ample storage areas.

Pleasure Boats. Sea Ray and Meridian pleasure boats target both the luxury and the family recreational boating markets and come in a variety of configurations to suit each customer's particular recreational boating style. Sea Ray sport yachts and yachts serve the luxury segment of the recreational boating market and include top-of-the line living accommodations with a salon, a fully equipped galley, and multiple staterooms. Sea Ray sport yachts and yachts are available in cabin, bridge cockpit, and cruiser models. Sea Ray sport boat and sport cruiser models are designed for performance and dependability to meet family recreational needs and include many of the features and accommodations of Sea Ray's sport yacht and yacht models. Meridian sport yachts and yachts are known for their solid performance and thoughtful use of space with 360-degree views and spacious salon, galley, and stateroom accommodations. Meridian sport yachts and yachts are available in sedan, motoryacht, and pilothouse models. All Sea Ray and Meridian pleasure boats feature custom instrumentation that may include an electronics package; various hull, deck, and cockpit designs that can include a swim platform; bow pulpit and raised bridge; and various amenities, such as swivel bucket helm seats, lounge seats, sun pads, wet bars, built-in ice chests, and refreshment centers. Most Sea Ray and Meridian pleasure boats feature Mercury or MerCruiser engines.

Fishing Boats. The fishing boats we offer, such as Boston Whaler and Grady White, range from entry level models to advanced models designed for fishing and water sports in lakes, bays, and off-shore waters, with cabins with limited live-aboard capability. The fishing boats typically feature livewells, in-deck fishboxes, rodholders, rigging stations, cockpit coaming pads, and fresh and saltwater washdowns.

Used Boat Sales

We sell used versions of the new makes and models we offer and, to a lesser extent, used boats of other makes and models generally taken as trade-ins. During fiscal 2009, used boat sales accounted for 22.5% of our revenue, and 74.7% of the used boats we sold were Brunswick models.

Our used boat sales depend on our ability to source a supply of high-quality used boats at attractive prices. We acquire substantially all of our used boats through customer trade-ins. We intend to continue to increase our used boat business as a result of the availability of quality used boats generated from our new boat sales efforts, the increasing number of used boats that are well-maintained through our service initiatives, including our Premium Certified Pre-Owned Program, our ability to market used boats throughout our combined dealership network to match used boat demand, and the experience of our yacht brokerage operations. Additionally, substantially all of our used boat inventory is posted on our website, *www.MarineMax.com*, which expands the awareness and availability of our products to a large audience of boating enthusiasts.

To further enhance our used boat sales, we launched a Premium Certified Pre-Owned Program, or PCPO, in fiscal 2008. Generally, PCPO boats are less than four years old, have passed a 150+ point inspection, and carry a one year warranty. Additionally, we offer the Sea Ray Legacy warranty plan available for used Sea Ray boats less than six years old. The Legacy plan applies to each qualifying used Sea Ray boat, which has passed a 48-point inspection, and provides protection against failure of most mechanical parts for up to three years. We believe these programs enhance our sales of used Sea Ray boats by motivating purchasers of used Sea Ray boats to complete their purchases through our Sea Ray dealerships.

Marine Engines, Related Marine Equipment, and Boating Accessories

We offer marine engines and propellers, substantially all of which are manufactured by Mercury Marine, a division of Brunswick. We sell marine engines and propellers primarily to retail customers as replacements for their existing engines or propellers. Mercury Marine has introduced various new engine models that reduce engine emissions to comply with current Environmental Protection Agency requirements. See “Business — Environmental and Other Regulatory Issues.” An industry leader for almost six decades, Mercury Marine specializes in state-of-the-art marine propulsion systems and accessories. Many of our dealerships have been recognized by Mercury Marine as “Premier Service Dealers.” This designation is generally awarded based on meeting certain standards and qualifications.

We also sell related marine parts and accessories, including oils, lubricants, steering and control systems, corrosion control products, engine care, maintenance, and service products (primarily Mercury Marine’s Quicksilver line); high-performance accessories (such as propellers) and instruments; and a complete line of boating accessories, including life jackets, inflatables, and water sports equipment. We also offer novelty items, such as shirts, caps, and license plates bearing the manufacturer’s or dealer’s logo.

The sale of marine engines, related marine equipment, and boating accessories accounted for 5.0% of our fiscal 2009 revenue.

Maintenance, Repair, and Storage Services

Providing customers with professional, prompt maintenance and repair services is critical to our sales efforts and contributes to our success. We provide maintenance and repair services at most of our retail locations, with extended service hours at certain of our locations. In addition, in many of our markets, we provide mobile maintenance and repair services at the location of the customer’s boat. We believe that this service commitment is a competitive advantage in the markets in which we compete and is critical to our efforts to provide a trouble-free boating experience. To further this commitment, in certain of our markets, we have opened stand-alone maintenance and repair facilities in locations that are more convenient for our customers and that increase the availability of such services. We also believe that our maintenance and repair services contribute to strong customer relationships and that our emphasis on preventative maintenance and quality service increases the potential supply of well-maintained boats for our used boat sales.

We perform both warranty and non-warranty repair services, with the cost of warranty work reimbursed by the manufacturer in accordance with the manufacturer's warranty reimbursement program. For warranty work, most manufacturers, including Brunswick, reimburse a percentage of the dealer's posted service labor rates, with the percentage varying depending on the dealer's customer satisfaction index rating and attendance at service training courses. We derive the majority of our warranty revenue from Brunswick products, as Brunswick products comprise the majority of products sold. Certain other manufacturers reimburse warranty work at a fixed amount per repair. Because boat manufacturers permit warranty work to be performed only at authorized dealerships, we receive substantially all of the warranted maintenance and repair work required for the new boats we sell. The third-party extended warranty contracts we offer also result in an ongoing demand for our maintenance and repair services for the duration of the term of the extended warranty contract.

Our maintenance and repair services are performed by manufacturer-trained and certified service technicians. In charging for our mechanics' labor, many of our dealerships use a variable rate structure designed to reflect the difficulty and sophistication of different types of repairs. The percentage markups on parts are similarly based on manufacturer suggested prices and market conditions for different parts.

At many of our locations, we offer boat storage services, including in-water slip storage and inside and outside land storage. These storage services are offered at competitive market rates and include in-season and winter storage.

Maintenance, repair, and storage services accounted for 7.9% of our revenue during fiscal 2009. This includes warranty and non-warranty services.

F&I Products

At each of our retail locations, we offer our customers the ability to finance new or used boat purchases and to purchase extended service contracts and arrange insurance coverage, including boat property, credit life, and accident, disability, and casualty insurance coverage (collectively, "F&I").

We have relationships with various national marine product lenders under which the lenders purchase retail installment contracts evidencing retail sales of boats and other marine products that are originated by us in accordance with existing pre-sale agreements between us and the lenders. These arrangements permit us to receive a portion of the finance charges expected to be earned on the retail installment contract based on a variety of factors, including the credit standing of the buyer, the annual percentage rate of the contract charged to the buyer, and the lender's then current minimum required annual percentage rate charged to the buyer on the contract. This participation is subject to repayment by us if the buyer prepays the contract or defaults within a designated time period, usually 90 to 180 days. To the extent required by applicable state law, our dealerships are licensed to originate and sell retail installment contracts financing the sale of boats and other marine products.

We also offer third-party extended service contracts under which, for a predetermined price, we provide all designated services pursuant to the service contract guidelines during the contract term at no additional charge to the customer above a deductible. While we sell all new boats with the boat manufacturer's standard hull warranty of generally five years and standard engine warranty of generally one year, extended service contracts provide additional coverage beyond the time frame or scope of the manufacturer's warranty. Purchasers of used boats generally are able to purchase an extended service contract, even if the selected boat is no longer covered by the manufacturer's warranty. Generally, we receive a fee for arranging an extended service contract. Most required services under the contracts are provided by us and paid for by the third-party contract holder.

We also are able to assist our customers with the opportunity to purchase credit life insurance, accident and disability insurance, and property and casualty insurance. Credit life insurance policies provide for repayment of the boat financing contract if the purchaser dies while the contract is outstanding. Accident and disability insurance policies provide for payment of the monthly contract obligation during any period in which the buyer is disabled. Property and casualty insurance covers loss or damage to the boat. We do not act as an insurance broker or agent or issue insurance policies on behalf of insurers. We do, however, provide marketing activities and other related services to insurance companies and brokers for which we receive marketing fees. One of our strategies is to generate increased marketing fees by offering more competitive insurance products.

During fiscal 2009, fee income generated from F&I products accounted for 2.7% of our revenue. We believe that our customers' ability to obtain competitive financing quickly and easily at our dealerships complements our ability to sell new and used boats. We also believe our ability to provide customer-tailored financing on a "same-day" basis gives us an advantage over many of our competitors, particularly smaller competitors that lack the resources to arrange boat financing at their dealerships or that do not generate sufficient volume to attract the diversity of financing sources that are available to us.

Brokerage Services

Through employees or subcontractors that are licensed boat or yacht brokers, we offer boat or yacht brokerage services at most of our retail locations. For a commission, we offer for sale brokered boats or yachts, listing them on the "BUC" system, advising our other retail locations of their availability through our integrated computer system, and posting them on our web site, www.MarineMax.com. The BUC system, which is similar to a real estate multiple listing service, is a national boat or yacht listing service of approximately 900 brokers maintained by BUC International. Often sales are co-brokered, with the commission split between the buying and selling brokers. We believe that our access to potential used boat customers and methods of listing and advertising customers' brokered boats or yachts is more extensive than is typical among brokers. In addition to generating revenue from brokerage commissions, our brokerage services also enable us to offer a broad array of used boats or yachts without increasing related inventory costs. During fiscal 2009, brokerage services accounted for 1.2% of our revenue.

Our brokerage customers generally receive the same high level of customer service as our new and used boat customers. Our waterfront retail locations enable in-water demonstrations of an on-site brokered boat. Our maintenance and repair services, including mobile service, also are generally available to our brokerage customers. The purchaser of a boat brokered through us also can take advantage of MarineMax Getaways! weekend and day trips and other rendezvous gatherings and in-water events, as well as boat operation and safety seminars. We believe that the array of services we offer are unique in the brokerage business.

Retail Locations

We sell our recreational boats and other marine products and offer our related boat services through 55 retail locations in Alabama, Arizona, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Maryland, Minnesota, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, Tennessee, and Texas. Each retail location generally includes an indoor showroom (including some of the industry's largest indoor boat showrooms) and an outside area for displaying boat inventories, a business office to assist customers in arranging financing and insurance, and maintenance and repair facilities.

Many of our retail locations are waterfront properties on some of the nation's most popular boating locations, including the Mission Bay in California; Norwalk Harbor in Connecticut; multiple locations on the Intracoastal Waterway, the Atlantic Ocean, Biscayne Bay, Boca Ciega Bay, Naples Bay (next to the Gulf of Mexico), Tampa Bay, and the Caloosahatchee River in Florida; Lake Lanier in Georgia; Chesapeake Bay in Maryland; Leech Lake and the St. Croix River in Minnesota; Lake of the Ozarks, Table Rock Lake, and the Mississippi River in Missouri; Barnegat Bay, the Hudson River, Lake Hopatcong, Little Egg Harbor, and the Manasquan River in New Jersey; Great Sound Bay, the Hudson River, and Huntington Harbor in New York; the Intracoastal Waterway in North Carolina; Lake Erie in Ohio; Grand Lake in Oklahoma; Tennessee River in Tennessee; and Clear Lake, and Lake Lewisville in Texas. Our waterfront retail locations, most of which include marina-type facilities and docks at which we display our boats, are easily accessible to the boating populace, serve as in-water showrooms, and enable the sales force to give customers immediate in-water demonstrations of various boat models. Most of our other locations are in close proximity to water.

Operations

Dealership Operations and Management

We have adopted a generally decentralized approach to the operational management of our dealerships. While certain administrative functions are centralized at the corporate level, local management is primarily responsible for the day-to-day operations of the retail locations. Each retail location is managed by a store manager, who oversees

the day-to-day operations, personnel, and financial performance of the individual store, subject to the direction of a regional manager, who generally has responsibility for the retail locations within a specified geographic region. Typically, each retail location also has a staff consisting of an F&I manager, a parts manager, and a service manager, sales representatives, maintenance and repair technicians, and various support personnel.

We attempt to attract and retain quality employees at our retail locations by providing them with ongoing training to enhance sales professionalism and product knowledge, career advancement opportunities within a larger company, and favorable benefit packages. We maintain a formal training program, called MarineMax University or MMU, which provides training for employees in all aspects of our operations. Training sessions are held at our various regional locations covering a variety of topics. MMU-online offers various modules over the Internet. Highly trained, professional sales representatives are an important factor to our successful sales efforts. These sales representatives are trained at MMU to recognize the importance of fostering an enjoyable sales process, to educate customers on the operation and use of the boats, and to assist customers in making technical and design decisions in boat purchases. The overall focus of MMU is to teach our core retailing values, which focus on customer service.

Sales representatives receive compensation primarily on a commission basis. Each store manager is a salaried employee with incentive bonuses based on the performance of the managed dealership. Maintenance and repair service managers receive compensation on a salary basis with bonuses based on the performance of their departments. Our management information system provides each store and department manager with daily financial and operational information, enabling them to monitor their performance on a daily, weekly, and monthly basis. We have a uniform, fully integrated management information system serving each of our dealerships.

Sales and Marketing

Our sales philosophy focuses on selling the pleasures of the boating lifestyle. We believe that the critical elements of our sales philosophy include our appealing retail locations, no-hassle sales approach, highly trained sales representatives, high level of customer service, emphasis on educating the customer and the customer's family on boat usage, and providing our customers with opportunities for boating. We strive to provide superior customer service and support before, during, and after the sale.

Each retail location offers the customer the opportunity to evaluate a large variety of new and used boats in a comfortable and convenient setting. Our full-service retail locations facilitate a turn-key purchasing process that includes attractive lender financing packages, extended service agreements, and insurance. Many of our retail locations are located on waterfronts and marinas, which attract boating enthusiasts and enable customers to operate various boats prior to making a purchase decision.

We sell our boats at posted value prices that generally represent a discount from the manufacturer's suggested retail price. Our sales approach focuses on customer service by minimizing customer anxiety associated with price negotiation.

As a part of our sales and marketing efforts, we also participate in boat shows and in-the-water sales events at area boating locations, typically held in January and February and toward the end of the boating season, in each of our markets and in certain locations in close proximity to our markets. These shows and events are normally held at convention centers or marinas, with area dealers renting space. Boat shows and other offsite promotions are an important venue for generating sales orders. The boat shows also generate a significant amount of interest in our products resulting in boat sales after the show.

We emphasize customer education through one-on-one education by our sales representatives and, at some locations, our delivery captains, before and after a sale, and through in-house seminars for the entire family on boat safety, the use and operation of boats, and product demonstrations. Typically, one of our delivery captains or the sales representative delivers the customer's boat to an area boating location and thoroughly instructs the customer about the operation of the boat, including hands-on instructions for docking and trailering the boat. To enhance our customer relationships after the sale, we lead and sponsor MarineMax Getaways! group boating trips to various destinations, rendezvous gatherings, and on-the-water organized events that promote the pleasures of the boating lifestyle. Each company-sponsored event, planned and led by a company employee, also provides a favorable

medium for acclimating new customers to boating, sharing exciting boating destinations, creating friendships with other boaters, and enabling us to promote actively new product offerings to boating enthusiasts.

As a result of our relative size, we believe we have a competitive advantage within the industry by being able to conduct an organized and systematic advertising and marketing effort. Part of our marketing effort includes an integrated prospect management system that tracks the status of each sales representative's contacts with a prospect, automatically generates follow-up correspondence, facilitates company-wide availability of a particular boat or other marine product desired by a customer, and tracks the maintenance and service needs for the customer's boat.

Suppliers and Inventory Management

We purchase substantially all of our new boat inventory directly from manufacturers, which allocate new boats to dealerships based on the amount of boats sold by the dealership. We also exchange new boats with other dealers to accommodate customer demand and to balance inventory.

We purchase new boats and other marine-related products from Brunswick, which is the world's largest manufacturer of marine products, including Sea Ray, Boston Whaler, Cabo, Hatteras, and Meridian. We also purchase new boats and other marine related products from other manufacturers, including Azimut, Grady White, and Tracker Marine. In fiscal 2009, sales of new Brunswick boats accounted for approximately 51% of our revenue. No other manufacturer accounted for more than 10% of our revenue in fiscal 2009. We believe our Sea Ray boat purchases represented approximately 31% of Sea Ray's new boat sales and approximately 6% of all Brunswick marine product sales during fiscal 2009.

We have entered into agreements with Brunswick covering Sea Ray products. The dealer agreements with the Sea Ray division of Brunswick do not restrict our right to sell any Sea Ray product lines or competing products. The terms of each dealer agreement appoints a designated geographical territory for the dealer, which is exclusive to the dealer so long as the dealer is not in breach of the material obligations and performance standards under the agreement and Sea Ray's then current material policies and programs following notice and the expiration of any applicable cure periods without cure.

Upon the completion of the Surfside-3 acquisition, we became the exclusive dealer for Azimut-Benetti Group's Azimut product line in the Northeast United States. The Azimut dealer agreement provides a geographic territory to promote the product line and to network with the appropriate clientele through various independent locations designated for Azimut retail sales.

We typically deal with each of our manufacturers, other than the Sea Ray division of Brunswick, under an annually renewable, non-exclusive dealer agreement. Manufacturers generally establish prices on an annual basis, but may change prices in their sole discretion. Manufacturers typically discount the cost of inventory and offer inventory financing assistance during the manufacturers' slow seasons, generally October through March. To obtain lower cost of inventory, we strive to capitalize on these manufacturer incentives to take product delivery during the manufacturers' slow seasons. This permits us to gain pricing advantages and better product availability during the selling season. Arrangements with certain other manufacturers may restrict our right to offer some product lines in certain markets.

We transfer individual boats among our retail locations to fill customer orders that otherwise might take substantially longer to fill from the manufacturer. This reduces delays in delivery, helps us maximize inventory turnover, and assists in minimizing potential overstock or out-of-stock situations. We actively monitor our inventory levels to maintain levels appropriate to meet current anticipated market demands. We are not bound by contractual agreements governing the amount of inventory that we must purchase in any year from any manufacturer, but the failure to purchase at agreed upon levels may result in the loss of certain manufacturer incentives. We participate in numerous end-of-summer manufacturer boat shows, which manufacturers sponsor to sell off their remaining inventory at reduced costs before the introduction of new model year products, typically beginning in July.

Inventory Financing

Marine manufacturers customarily provide interest assistance programs to retailers. The interest assistance varies by manufacturer and may include periods of free financing or reduced interest rate programs. The interest

assistance may be paid directly to the retailer or the financial institution depending on the arrangements the manufacturer has established. We believe that our financing arrangements with manufacturers are standard within the industry.

We account for consideration received from our vendors in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification 605-50, "Revenue Recognition, Customer Payments and Incentives" (ASC 605-50), previously referred to as Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 02-16, "Accounting by a Customer (Including a Reseller) for Certain Consideration Received from a Vendor." ASC 605-50 most significantly requires us to classify interest assistance received from manufacturers as a reduction of inventory cost and related cost of sales as opposed to netting the assistance against our interest expense incurred with our lenders. Pursuant to ASC 605-50, amounts received by us under our co-op assistance programs from our manufacturers are netted against related advertising expenses.

We are party to a second amended and restated credit and security agreement, which has been amended on various occasions since its original execution in June 2006. As amended, our credit facility provides for a line of credit with asset-based borrowing availability up to approximately \$230 million, stepping down to \$175 million by September 30, 2010, with interim decreases between such dates. The amended facility has certain financial covenants as specified in the agreement. The covenants include provisions that our leverage ratio not exceed 2.75 to 1; that our current ratio must be greater than 1.25 to 1 or 1.20 to 1 depending on the time of year; and that our maximum EBITDA loss and annual EBITDA, both as defined in the agreement, comply with certain thresholds as described below. The EBITDA covenant requires that we do not exceed the allowable cumulative negative EBITDA, as defined in the agreement, for the first nine months of fiscal 2010, which is \$22 million as of December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2010 and \$15 million as of June 30, 2010. We are required to have a cumulative EBITDA greater than or equal to our interest expense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010. EBITDA, as defined in the agreement, is our earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization plus an add back for stock-based compensation expense and 50% of the proceeds of our September 2009 stock offering or approximately \$10 million. The amended facility provides for a variable interest rate margin of LIBOR plus 490 basis points through September 30, 2010 and thereafter at LIBOR plus 150 to 400 basis points, depending upon our financial and operating performance. We paid the lenders approximately \$2.4 million in amendment fees during fiscal 2009. The amended facility matures in May 2011, but includes two one-year renewal options, subject to lender approval.

At September 30, 2009, we owed an aggregate of \$142 million under our revolving credit facility and were in compliance with all of the credit facility covenants. Advances under the facility accrued interest at a rate of 5.2% as of September 30, 2009, and the facility provided us with an additional net borrowing availability of approximately \$60 million. All indebtedness associated with our real estate holdings were repaid during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008.

Management Information System

We believe that our management information system, which currently is being utilized by each of our dealerships and was developed over a number of years through cooperative efforts with the vendor, enhances our ability to integrate successfully the operations of our dealerships and future acquisitions, facilitates the interchange of information, and enhances cross-selling opportunities throughout our company. The system integrates each level of operations on a company-wide basis, including purchasing, inventory, receivables, financial reporting and budgeting, and sales management. The system enables us to monitor each dealership's operations in order to identify quickly areas requiring additional focus and to manage inventory. The system also provides sales representatives with prospect and customer information that aids them in tracking the status of their contacts with prospects, automatically generates follow-up correspondence to such prospects, facilitates the availability of a particular boat company-wide, locates boats needed to satisfy a particular customer request, and monitors the maintenance and service needs of customers' boats. Company representatives also utilize the system to assist in arranging financing and insurance packages.

Brunswick Agreement Relating to Acquisitions

We and the Sea Ray Division of Brunswick are parties to an agreement extending through December 2015 that provides a process for the acquisition of additional Sea Ray boat dealers that desire to be acquired by us. Under the agreement, acquisitions of Sea Ray dealers will be mutually agreed upon by us and Sea Ray with reasonable efforts to be made to include a balance of Sea Ray dealers that have been successful and those that have not been. The agreement provides that Sea Ray will not unreasonably withhold its consent to any proposed acquisition of a Sea Ray dealer by us, subject to the conditions set forth in the agreement. Among other things, the agreement provides for us to provide Sea Ray with a business plan for each proposed acquisition, including historical financial and five-year projected financial information regarding the acquisition candidate; marketing and advertising plans; service capabilities and managerial and staff personnel; information regarding the ability of the candidate to achieve performance standards within designated periods; and information regarding the success of our previous acquisitions of Sea Ray dealers. The agreement also contemplates Sea Ray reaching a good faith determination whether the acquisition would be in its best interest based on our dedication and focus of resources on the Sea Ray brand and Sea Ray's consideration of any adverse effects that the approval would have on the resulting territory configuration of adjacent or other dealers and the absence of any violation of applicable laws or rights granted by Sea Ray to others.

Dealer Agreements with Brunswick

Brunswick, through its Sea Ray division, and we, through our dealerships, are parties to Sales and Service Agreements relating to Sea Ray products extending through December 2015. Each of these dealer agreements appoints one of our dealerships as a dealer for the retail sale, display, and servicing of designated Sea Ray products, parts, and accessories currently or in the future sold by Sea Ray. Each dealer agreement designates a designated geographical territory for the dealer, which is exclusive to the dealer as long as the dealer is not in breach of the material obligations and performance standards under the agreement and Sea Ray's then current material policies and programs following notice and the expiration of any applicable cure periods without cure. Each dealer agreement also specifies retail locations, which the dealer may not close, change, or add to without the prior written consent of Sea Ray, provided that Sea Ray may not unreasonably withhold its consent. Each dealer agreement also restricts the dealer from selling, advertising (other than in recognized and established marine publications), soliciting for sale, or offering for resale any Sea Ray products outside its territory without the prior written consent of Sea Ray as long as similar restrictions also apply to all domestic Sea Ray dealers selling comparable Sea Ray products. In addition, each dealer agreement provides for the lowest product prices charged by Sea Ray from time to time to other domestic Sea Ray dealers, subject to the dealer meeting all the requirements and conditions of Sea Ray's applicable programs and the right of Sea Ray in good faith to charge lesser prices to other dealers to meet existing competitive circumstances, for unusual and non-ordinary business circumstances, or for limited duration promotional programs.

Among other things, each dealer agreement requires the dealer to

- devote its best efforts to promote, display, advertise, and sell Sea Ray products at each of its retail locations in accordance with the agreement and applicable laws;
- display and utilize at each of its retail locations signs, graphics, and image elements with Sea Ray's identification that positively reflect the Sea Ray image and promote the retail sale of Sea Ray products;
- purchase and maintain at all times sufficient inventory of current Sea Ray products to meet the reasonable demand of customers at each of its locations and to meet Sea Ray's applicable minimum inventory requirements;
- maintain at each retail location, or at another acceptable location, a service department that is properly staffed and equipped to service Sea Ray products promptly and professionally and to maintain parts and supplies to service Sea Ray products properly on a timely basis;
- perform all necessary product rigging, installation, and inspection services prior to delivery to purchasers in accordance with Sea Ray's standards and perform post-sale services of all Sea Ray products sold by the dealer and brought to the dealer for service;

Table of Contents

- provide or arrange for warranty and service work for Sea Ray products regardless of the selling dealer or condition of sale;
- exercise reasonable efforts to address circumstances in which another dealer has made a sale to an original retail purchaser who permanently resides within the dealer's territory where such sale is contrary to the selling dealer's Sales and Service Agreement;
- provide appropriate instructions to purchasers on how to obtain warranty and service work from the dealer;
- furnish product purchasers with Sea Ray's limited warranty on new products and with information and training as to the safe and proper operation and maintenance of the products;
- assist Sea Ray in performing any product defect and recall campaigns;
- achieve sales performance in accordance with fair and reasonable standards and sales levels established by Sea Ray in consultation with the dealer based on factors such as population, sales potential, market share percentage of Sea Ray products sold in the territory compared with competitive products sold in the territory, local economic conditions, competition, past sales history, number of retail locations, and other special circumstances that may affect the sale of Sea Ray products or the dealer, in each case consistent with standards established for all domestic Sea Ray dealers selling comparable products;
- provide designated financial information that are truthful and accurate;
- conduct its business in a manner that preserves and enhances the reputation and goodwill of both Sea Ray and the dealer for providing quality products and services;
- maintain the financial ability to purchase and maintain on hand and display Sea Ray's current product models;
- maintain customer service ratings in compliance with Sea Ray's criteria;
- comply with those dealer's obligations that may be imposed or established by Sea Ray applicable to all domestic Sea Ray dealers;
- maintain a financial condition that is adequate to satisfy and perform its obligations under the agreement;
- achieve within designated time periods or maintain motor dealer status (which is Sea Ray's highest performance status) or other applicable certification requirements as established from time to time by Sea Ray applicable to all domestic Sea Ray dealers;
- notify Sea Ray of the addition or deletion of any retail locations;
- sell Sea Ray products only on the basis of Sea Ray's published applicable limited warranty and make no other warranty or representations concerning the limited warranty, expressed or implied, either verbally or in writing;
- provide timely warranty service on all Sea Ray products presented to the dealer by purchasers in accordance with Sea Ray's then current warranty program applicable to all domestic Sea Ray dealers selling comparable Sea Ray products; and
- provide Sea Ray with access to the dealer's books and records and such other information as Sea Ray may reasonably request to verify the accuracy of the warranty claims submitted to Sea Ray by the dealer with regard to such warranty claims.

Sea Ray has agreed to indemnify each of our dealers against any losses to third parties resulting from Sea Ray's negligent acts or omissions involving the design or manufacture of any of its products or any breach by it of the agreement. Each of our dealers has agreed to indemnify Sea Ray against any losses to third parties resulting from the dealer's negligent acts or omissions involving the dealer's application, use, or repair of Sea Ray products, statements or representation not specifically authorized by Sea Ray, the installation of any after market components or any other modification or alteration of Sea Ray products, and any breach by the dealer of the agreement.

Each dealer agreement may be terminated

- by Sea Ray, upon 60 days prior written notice, if the dealer fails or refuses to place a minimum stocking order of the next model year's products in accordance with requirements applicable to all Sea Ray dealers generally or fails to meet its financial obligations as they become due to Sea Ray or to the dealer's lenders;
- by Sea Ray or the dealer, upon 60 days written notice to the other, in the event of a breach or default by the other with any of the of the material obligations, performance standards, covenants, representations, warranties, or duties imposed by the agreement or the Sea Ray manual that has not been cured within 60 days of the notice of the claimed deficiency or within a reasonable period when the cure cannot be completed within a 60-day period, or at the end of the 60-day period without the opportunity to cure when the cause constitutes bad faith;
- by Sea Ray or the dealer if the other makes a fraudulent misrepresentation that is material to the agreement or the other engages in an incurable act of bad faith;
- by Sea Ray or the dealer in the event of the insolvency, bankruptcy, or receivership of the other;
- by Sea Ray in the event of the assignment of the agreement by the dealer without the prior written consent of Sea Ray;
- by Sea Ray upon at least 15 days' prior written notice in the event of the failure to pay any sums due and owing to Sea Ray that are not disputed in good faith; and
- upon the mutual consent of Sea Ray and the dealer.

Employees

As of September 30, 2009, we had 1,245 employees, 1,195 of whom were in store-level operations and 50 of whom were in corporate administration and management. We are not a party to any collective bargaining agreements. We consider our relations with our employees to be excellent.

Trademarks and Service Marks

We have registered trade names and trademarks with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office for various names, including "MarineMax," "MarineMax Getaways," "MarineMax Care," "Delivering the Dream," "MarineMax Delivering the Boating Dream," "Newcoast Financial Services," "MarineMax Boating Gear Center," and "Women on Water." We have registered the name "MarineMax" in the European Community. We have trade name and trademark applications pending in Canada for various names, including "MarineMax," "Delivering the Dream," and "The Water Gene." There can be no assurance that any of these applications will be granted.

Seasonality and Weather Conditions

Our business, as well as the entire recreational boating industry, is highly seasonal, with seasonality varying in different geographic markets. Over the three-year period ended September 30, 2009, the average revenue for the quarters ended December 31, March 31, June 30, and September 30 represented approximately 20%, 25%, 30%, and 25%, respectively, of our average annual revenues. With the exception of Florida, we generally realize significantly lower sales and higher levels of inventories and related short-term borrowings, in the quarterly periods ending December 31 and March 31. The onset of the public boat and recreation shows in January stimulates boat sales and typically allows us to reduce our inventory levels and related short-term borrowings throughout the remainder of the fiscal year.

Our business is also subject to weather patterns, which may adversely affect our results of operations. For example, drought conditions (or merely reduced rainfall levels) or excessive rain, may close area boating locations or render boating dangerous or inconvenient, thereby curtailing customer demand for our products. In addition, unseasonably cool weather and prolonged winter conditions may lead to a shorter selling season in certain locations. Hurricanes and other storms could result in disruptions of our operations or damage to our boat inventories and facilities, as has been the case when Florida and other markets were affected by hurricanes. Although our

geographic diversity is likely to reduce the overall impact to us of adverse weather conditions in any one market area, these conditions will continue to represent potential, material adverse risks to us and our future financial performance.

Environmental and Other Regulatory Issues

Our operations are subject to extensive regulation, supervision, and licensing under various federal, state, and local statutes, ordinances, and regulations. While we believe that we maintain all requisite licenses and permits and are in compliance with all applicable federal, state, and local regulations, there can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain all requisite licenses and permits. The failure to satisfy those and other regulatory requirements could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations. The adoption of additional laws, rules, and regulations could also have a material adverse effect on our business. Various federal, state, and local regulatory agencies, including the Occupational Safety and Health Administration, or OSHA, the United States Environmental Protection Agency, or EPA, and similar federal and local agencies, have jurisdiction over the operation of our dealerships, repair facilities, and other operations with respect to matters such as consumer protection, workers' safety, and laws regarding protection of the environment, including air, water, and soil.

The EPA has various air emissions regulations for outboard marine engines that impose more strict emissions standards for two-cycle, gasoline outboard marine engines. The majority of the outboard marine engines we sell are manufactured by Mercury Marine. Mercury Marine's product line of low-emission engines, including the OptiMax, Verado, and other four-stroke outboards, have already achieved the EPA's mandated 2006 emission levels. Any increased costs of producing engines resulting from EPA standards, or the inability of our manufacturers to comply with EPA requirements, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Certain of our facilities own and operate underground storage tanks, or USTs, for the storage of various petroleum products. The USTs are generally subject to federal, state, and local laws and regulations that require testing and upgrading of USTs and remediation of contaminated soils and groundwater resulting from leaking USTs. In addition, if leakage from company-owned or operated USTs migrates onto the property of others, we may be subject to civil liability to third parties for remediation costs or other damages. Based on historical experience, we believe that our liabilities associated with UST testing, upgrades, and remediation are unlikely to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or operating results.

As with boat dealerships generally, and parts and service operations in particular, our business involves the use, handling, storage, and contracting for recycling or disposal of hazardous or toxic substances or wastes, including environmentally sensitive materials, such as motor oil, waste motor oil and filters, transmission fluid, antifreeze, freon, waste paint and lacquer thinner, batteries, solvents, lubricants, degreasing agents, gasoline, and diesel fuels.

Accordingly, we are subject to regulation by federal, state, and local authorities establishing requirements for the use, management, handling, and disposal of these materials and health and environmental quality standards, and liability related thereto, and providing penalties for violations of those standards. We are also subject to laws, ordinances, and regulations governing investigation and remediation of contamination at facilities we operate to which we send hazardous or toxic substances or wastes for treatment, recycling, or disposal.

We do not believe we have any material environmental liabilities or that compliance with environmental laws, ordinances, and regulations will, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, or results of operations. However, soil and groundwater contamination has been known to exist at certain properties owned or leased by us. We have also been required and may in the future be required to remove aboveground and underground storage tanks containing hazardous substances or wastes. As to certain of our properties, specific releases of petroleum have been or are in the process of being remedied in accordance with state and federal guidelines. We are monitoring the soil and groundwater as required by applicable state and federal guidelines. In addition, the shareholders of the acquired dealers have indemnified us for specific environmental issues identified on environmental site assessments performed by us as part of the acquisitions. We maintain insurance for pollutant cleanup and removal. The coverage pays for the expenses to extract pollutants from land or water at the insured property, if the discharge, dispersal, seepage, migration, release, or escape of the pollutants is caused by or results from a covered cause of loss. We also have additional storage tank liability insurance and "Superfund" coverage where applicable. In addition, certain of our retail locations are located on waterways that are

subject to federal or state laws regulating navigable waters (including oil pollution prevention), fish and wildlife, and other matters.

Two of the properties we own were historically used as gasoline service stations. Remedial action with respect to prior historical site activities on these properties has been completed in accordance with federal and state law. Also, two of our properties are within the boundaries of a “Superfund” site, although neither property has been nor is expected to be identified as a contributor to the contamination in the area. We, however, do not believe that these environmental issues will result in any material liabilities to us.

Additionally, certain states have required or are considering requiring a license in order to operate a recreational boat. While such licensing requirements are not expected to be unduly restrictive, regulations may discourage potential first-time buyers, thereby limiting future sales, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Product Liability

The products we sell or service may expose us to potential liabilities for personal injury or property damage claims relating to the use of those products. Historically, the resolution of product liability claims has not materially affected our business. Our manufacturers generally maintain product liability insurance, and we maintain third-party product liability insurance, which we believe to be adequate. However, we may experience legal claims in excess of our insurance coverage, and those claims may not be covered by insurance. Furthermore, any significant claims against us could adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations and result in negative publicity. Excessive insurance claims also could result in increased insurance premiums.

Competition

We operate in a highly competitive environment. In addition to facing competition generally from recreation businesses seeking to attract consumers’ leisure time and discretionary spending dollars, the recreational boat industry itself is highly fragmented, resulting in intense competition for customers, quality products, boat show space, and suitable retail locations. We rely to a certain extent on boat shows to generate sales. Our inability to participate in boat shows in our existing or targeted markets could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

We compete primarily with single-location boat dealers and, with respect to sales of marine equipment, parts, and accessories, with national specialty marine stores, catalog retailers, sporting goods stores, and mass merchants. Dealer competition continues to increase based on the quality of available products, the price and value of the products, and attention to customer service. There is significant competition both within markets we currently serve and in new markets that we may enter. We compete in each of our markets with retailers of brands of boats and engines we do not sell in that market. In addition, several of our competitors, especially those selling boating accessories, are large national or regional chains that have substantial financial, marketing, and other resources. However, we believe that our integrated corporate infrastructure and marketing and sales capabilities, our cost structure, and our nationwide presence enable us to compete effectively against these companies. Private sales of used boats is an additional significant source of competition.

Executive Officers

The following table sets forth information concerning each of our executive officers:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Position</u>
William H. McGill Jr.	66	Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director
Michael H. McLamb	44	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Secretary, and Director
Edward A. Russell	49	Executive Vice President of Operations and Sales
Kurt M. Frahn	41	Vice President of Finance and Treasurer
Jack P. Ezzell	39	Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer, and Controller
Jay J. Avelino	59	Vice President of Human Resources
Paulee C. Day	40	Vice President, General counsel and Assistant Secretary

William H. McGill Jr. has served as the Chief Executive Officer of MarineMax since January 23, 1998 and as the Chairman of the Board and as a director of our company since March 6, 1998. Mr. McGill served as the President of our company from January 23, 1988 until September 8, 2000 and re-assumed the position on July 1, 2002. Mr. McGill was the principal owner and president of Gulfwind USA, Inc., one of our operating subsidiaries, from 1973 until its merger with us.

Michael H. McLamb has served as Executive Vice President of our company since October 2002, as Chief Financial Officer since January 23, 1998, as Secretary since April 5, 1998, and as a director since November 1, 2003. Mr. McLamb served as Vice President and Treasurer of our company from January 23, 1998 until October 22, 2002. Mr. McLamb, a certified public accountant, was employed by Arthur Andersen LLP from December 1987 to December 1997, serving most recently as a senior manager.

Edward A. Russell has served as Executive Vice President of Operations and Sales of our company since February 2008. Mr. Russell served as Vice President of Operations of our company from March 2006 until February 2008, and as a Vice President of our company from October 22, 2002 until March 2006. Mr. Russell served as the Regional Manager of our Florida operations from August 1, 2002 until October 22, 2002 and as the District President for our Central and West Florida operations from March 1998 until August 1, 2002. Mr. Russell was an owner and General Sales Manager of Gulfwind USA Inc., one of our operating subsidiaries, now called MarineMax of Central Florida, from 1984 until its merger with our company in March 1998.

Kurt M. Frahn has served as Vice President of Finance and Treasurer of our company since October 22, 2002. Mr. Frahn served as Director of Taxes and Acquisitions of our company from May 15, 1998 until October 22, 2002. Mr. Frahn was employed by Arthur Andersen LLP from September 3, 1991 until May 15, 1998, serving most recently as a tax consulting manager.

Jack P. Ezzell has served as Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer of our company since October 22, 2002 and as Corporate Controller of our company since June 1, 1999. Mr. Ezzell served as Assistant Controller from January 13, 1998 until June 1, 1999. Mr. Ezzell, a certified public accountant, was employed by Arthur Andersen LLP from August 1996 until January 1998, serving most recently as a senior auditor.

Jay J. Avelino has served as Vice President of Human Resources of our company since February 2008. Mr. Avelino served as Vice President of Team Development of our company from May 2000 until February 2008. Previously, Mr. Avelino was employed by Caliper Corporation, a New Jersey-based personality assessment and human resources consulting company, most recently as Senior Vice President.

Paulee C. Day has served as Vice President of our company since February 2009 and as General Counsel and Assistant Secretary since January 2003. Ms. Day, an active member of the Florida Bar, was employed by Maxim Medical from May 1999 to November 2002, serving as Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary. Prior to that time, Ms. Day was Corporate Attorney at Eckerd Corporation from June 1997 through May 1999 and a corporate attorney at the law firm Trenam, Kemker, Scharf, Barkin, Frye, O'Neill and Mullis, P.A. from January 1995 through June 1997. Ms. Day is a graduate of the Indiana University School of Law and a graduate of Vanderbilt University where she attained both her Bachelor's and Masters in Business Administration.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

General economic conditions and consumer spending patterns can negatively impact our operating results, and the severe recession that began in late 2007 has adversely affected the boating industry and our company.

General economic conditions and consumer spending patterns can negatively impact our operating results. Unfavorable local, regional, national, or global economic developments or uncertainties regarding future economic prospects could reduce consumer spending in the markets we serve and adversely affect our business. Economic conditions in areas in which we operate dealerships, particularly Florida in which we generated 44%, 43%, and 45% of our revenue during fiscal 2007, 2008, and 2009, respectively, can have a major impact on our operations. Local influences, such as corporate downsizing and military base closings, also could adversely affect our operations in certain markets.

In an economic downturn, consumer discretionary spending levels generally decline, at times resulting in disproportionately large reductions in the sale of luxury goods. Consumer spending on luxury goods also may decline as a result of lower consumer confidence levels, even if prevailing economic conditions are favorable. Although we have expanded our operations during periods of stagnant or modestly declining industry trends, the cyclical nature of the recreational boating industry or the lack of industry growth could adversely affect our business, financial condition, or results of operations in the future. Any period of adverse economic conditions or low consumer confidence has a negative effect on our business.

Lower consumer spending resulting from a downturn in the housing market and other economic factors adversely affected our business in fiscal 2007 and continued weakness in consumer spending resulting from substantial weakness in the financial markets and deteriorating economic conditions had a very substantial negative effect on our business in fiscal 2008 and 2009. Our revenue decreased from \$1.2 billion in fiscal 2007, to \$885.4 million in fiscal 2008, to \$588.6 million in fiscal 2009. Our earnings have decreased from a net income of \$20.1 million in fiscal 2007 to a net loss of \$134.3 million in fiscal 2008 (including a \$122.1 million goodwill impairment charge) and a net loss of \$76.8 million in fiscal 2009. These substantially deteriorating economic and financial conditions have had a greater impact on many other participants in the boating industry, with certain manufacturers and dealers ceasing business operations or filing for bankruptcy. While the reduction in boating industry participants might have a long-term positive impact on our company's competitive position, we are facing and expect to continue to face short-term competitive pressure resulting from decreased selling prices as a result of forced sales and other liquidations of excess inventory.

These conditions caused us to defer our acquisition program, slow our new store openings, reduce our inventory purchases, engage in inventory reduction efforts, close some of our retail locations, and reduce our headcount. While we believe the steps we have taken to date will enable us to emerge from the current economic environment as a stronger and more profitable company, we cannot predict the length or severity of these unfavorable economic or financial conditions or the extent to which they will adversely affect our operating results nor can we predict the effectiveness of the measures we have taken to address this environment or whether additional measures will be necessary. A continuation of depressed economic factors could have additional negative effects on our company, including interfering with our supply of certain brands by manufacturers, reduced marketing and other support by manufacturers, decreased revenue, additional pressures on margins, and our failure to satisfy covenants under our credit agreement.

The availability and costs of borrowed funds can adversely affect our ability to obtain adequate boat inventory and the ability and willingness of our customers to finance boat purchases.

The availability and costs of borrowed funds can adversely affect our ability to obtain and maintain adequate boat inventory as well as the ability and willingness of our customers to finance boat purchases. As of September 30, 2009, we had no long-term debt. We rely on our credit facility to purchase and maintain our inventory of boats. Our ability to borrow under our credit facility depends on our ability to continue to satisfy our covenants and other obligations under our credit facility. The aging of our inventory limits our borrowing capacity as defined provisions in our credit facility reduce the allowable advance rate as our inventory ages. Our access to funds under our credit facility also depends upon the ability of the banks that are parties to that facility to meet their funding commitments,

particularly if they experience shortages of capital or experience excessive volumes of borrowing requests from others during a short period of time. A continuation of depressed economic conditions, weak consumer spending, turmoil in the credit markets, and lender difficulties could interfere with our ability to maintain compliance with our debt covenants and to utilize the credit agreement to fund our operations. Accordingly, it may be necessary for us to close additional stores, further reduce our expense structure, or modify the covenants with our lenders. Any inability to utilize our credit facility or the acceleration of amounts owed, resulting from a covenant violation, insufficient collateral, or lender difficulties, could require us to seek other sources of funding to repay amounts outstanding under the credit agreement or replace or supplement our credit agreement, which may not be possible at all or under commercially reasonable terms.

Our current revolving credit facility, as amended, provides a line of credit with asset-based borrowing availability of up to approximately \$230 million, stepping down to \$175 million by September 30, 2010. We have pledged various of our assets, including boat inventories, accounts receivable, equipment, fixtures, and real estate, to secure borrowings under our credit facility. As of September 30, 2009, we were in compliance with all of the credit facility covenants and our additional available borrowings under our credit facility were approximately \$60 million.

Similarly, the decreases in the availability of credit and increases in the cost of credit adversely affect the ability of our customers to purchase boats from us and thereby adversely affects our ability to sell our products and impact the profitability of our finance and insurance activities. Tight credit conditions during fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2009 adversely affected the ability of customers to finance boat purchases, which had a negative affect on our operating results.

Our success depends to a significant extent on the well being, as well as the continued popularity and reputation for quality of the boating products, of our manufacturers, particularly Brunswick's Sea Ray, Boston Whaler, Cabo, Hatteras, and Meridian boat lines and Azimut-Benetti Group's Azimut and Atlantis products.

Approximately 51% of our revenue in fiscal 2009 resulted from sales of new boats manufactured by Brunswick, including approximately 37% from Brunswick's Sea Ray division and approximately 14% from Brunswick's other divisions. The remainder of our fiscal 2009 revenue from new boat sales resulted from sales of products from a limited number of other manufacturers, none of which accounted for more than 10% of our revenue.

We depend on our manufacturers to provide us with products that compare favorably with competing products in terms of quality, performance, safety, and advanced features, including the latest advances in propulsion and navigation systems. Any adverse change in the production efficiency, product development efforts, technological advancement, marketplace acceptance, marketing capabilities, and financial condition of our manufacturers, particularly Brunswick given our reliance on Sea Ray, Boston Whaler, Cabo, Hatteras, and Meridian, would have a substantial adverse impact on our business. Any difficulties encountered by any of our manufacturers, particularly Brunswick, resulting from economic, financial, or other factors could adversely affect the quality and amount of products that they are able to supply to us and the services and support they provide to us.

The interruption or discontinuance of the operations of Brunswick or other manufacturers could cause us to experience shortfalls, disruptions, or delays with respect to needed inventory. Although we believe that adequate alternate sources would be available that could replace any manufacturer other than Brunswick as a product source, those alternate sources may not be available at the time of any interruption, and alternative products may not be available at comparable quality and prices.

We maintain dealer agreements with Brunswick covering Sea Ray products. Each dealer agreement has a multi-year term and provides for the lowest product prices charged by the Sea Ray division of Brunswick from time to time to other domestic Sea Ray dealers. These terms are subject to

- the dealer meeting all the requirements and conditions of Sea Ray's applicable programs; and
- the right of Brunswick in good faith to charge lesser prices to other dealers
 - to meet existing competitive circumstances;
 - for unusual and non-ordinary business circumstances; or
 - for limited duration promotional programs.

Each dealer agreement designates a specific geographical territory for the dealer, which is exclusive to the dealer so long as the dealer is not in breach of the material obligations and performance standards under the agreement and Sea Ray's then current material policies and programs following notice and the expiration of any applicable cure periods without cure.

We also maintain dealer agreements with Hatteras covering Hatteras products. Each agreement allows Hatteras to revise prices at any time, and such new prices will supersede previous prices. Pursuant to the agreements, we must bear any losses we incur as a result of such price changes and may not recover from Hatteras for any losses. In addition, certain of our dealerships may not represent manufacturers or product lines that compete directly with Hatteras without its prior written consent.

Upon the completion of the Surfside-3 acquisition, we became the exclusive dealer for Azimut-Benetti Group's Azimut product line. In September 2008, our geographic territory was expanded to include Florida. The Azimut dealer agreement provides a geographic territory to promote the product line and to network with the appropriate clientele through various independent locations designated for Azimut retail sales.

As is typical in the industry, we generally deal with manufacturers, other than the Sea Ray division of Brunswick, under renewable annual dealer agreements. These agreements do not contain any contractual provisions concerning product pricing or required purchasing levels. Pricing is generally established on a model year basis, but is subject to change in the manufacturer's sole discretion. Any change or termination of these arrangements for any reason could adversely affect product availability and cost and our financial performance.

Boat manufacturers exercise substantial control over our business.

We depend on our dealer agreements. Through dealer agreements, boat manufacturers, including Brunswick, exercise significant control over their dealers, restrict them to specified locations, and retain approval rights over changes in management and ownership, among other things. The continuation of our dealer agreements with most manufacturers, including Brunswick, depends upon, among other things, our achieving stated goals for customer satisfaction ratings and market share penetration in the market served by the applicable dealership. Failure to meet the customer satisfaction, market share goals, and other conditions set forth in any dealer agreement could have various consequences, including the following:

- the termination of the dealer agreement;
- the imposition of additional conditions in subsequent dealer agreements;
- limitations on boat inventory allocations;
- reductions in reimbursement rates for warranty work performed by the dealer;
- loss of certain manufacturer to dealer incentives; or
- denial of approval of future acquisitions.

Our dealer agreements with certain manufacturers, including Brunswick, do not give us the exclusive right to sell those manufacturers' products within a given geographical area. Accordingly, a manufacturer, including Brunswick, could authorize another dealer to start a new dealership in proximity to one or more of our locations, or an existing dealer could move a dealership to a location that would be directly competitive with us. These events could have a material adverse effect on our competitive position and financial performance.

The failure to receive rebates and other dealer incentives on inventory purchases or retail sales could substantially reduce our margins.

We rely on manufacturers' programs that provide incentives for dealers to purchase and sell particular boat makes and models or for consumers to buy particular boat makes or models. Any eliminations, reductions, limitations, or other changes relating to rebate or incentive programs that have the effect of reducing the benefits we receive, whether relating to the ability of manufactures to pay or our ability to qualify for such incentive programs, could increase the effective cost of our boat purchases, reduce our margins and competitive position, and have a material adverse effect on our financial performance.

Fuel prices and supply may affect our business.

All of the recreational boats we sell are powered by diesel or gasoline engines. Consequently, an interruption in the supply, or a significant increase in the price or tax on the sale, of fuel on a regional or national basis could have a material adverse effect on our sales and operating results. Increases in fuel prices (such as those that occurred during fiscal 2008) negatively impact boat sales. At various times in the past, diesel or gasoline fuel has been difficult to obtain. The supply of fuels may be interrupted, rationing may be imposed, or the price of or tax on fuels may significantly increase in the future, adversely impacting our business.

The availability of boat insurance is critical to our success.

The ability of our customers to secure reasonably affordable boat insurance that is satisfactory to lenders that finance our customers' purchases is critical to our success. Historically, affordable boat insurance has been available. With the hurricanes that have impacted the state of Florida and other markets over the past several years, insurance rates have escalated and insurance coverage has become more difficult to obtain. Any difficulty of customers to obtain affordable boat insurance could impede boat sales and adversely affect our business.

Other recreational activities and poor industry perception can adversely affect the levels of boat purchases.

Other recreational activities and poor industry perception can adversely affect the levels of boat purchases. As a seller of high-end consumer products, we must compete for discretionary spending with a wide variety of other recreational activities and consumer purchases. In addition, perceived hassles of boat ownership and relatively poor customer service and customer education throughout the retail boat industry represent impediments to boat purchases. Our customer-centric strategy is intended to overcome these perceptions.

Adverse federal tax policies can have a negative effect on us.

Changes in federal and state tax laws, such as an imposition of luxury taxes on new boat purchases, increases in prevailing tax rates, and weak stock market performance also influence consumers' decisions to purchase products we offer and could have a negative effect on our sales. For example, during 1991 and 1992, the federal government imposed a luxury tax on new recreational boats with sales prices in excess of \$100,000, which coincided with a sharp decline in boating industry sales from a high of more than \$17.9 billion in the late 1980s to a low of \$10.3 billion in 1992. Any increase in tax rates, including those on capital gains and dividends, particularly those on high-income taxpayers, could adversely affect our boat sales.

Our success depends, in part, on our ability to continue to make successful acquisitions and to integrate the operations of acquired dealers and each dealer we acquire in the future.

Since March 1, 1998, we have acquired 20 recreational boat dealers, two boat brokerage operations, and two full-service yacht repair facilities. Each acquired dealer operated independently prior to its acquisition by us. Our success depends, in part, on our ability to continue to make successful acquisitions and to integrate the operations of acquired dealers, including centralizing certain functions to achieve cost savings and pursuing programs and processes that promote cooperation and the sharing of opportunities and resources among our dealerships. We may not be able to oversee the combined entity efficiently or to implement effectively our growth and operating strategies. To the extent that we successfully pursue our acquisition strategy, our resulting growth will place significant additional demands on our management and infrastructure. Our failure to pursue successfully our acquisition strategies or operate effectively the combined entity could have a material adverse effect on our rate of growth and operating performance.

Unforeseen expenses, difficulties, and delays frequently encountered in connection with rapid expansion through acquisitions could inhibit our growth and negatively impact our profitability.

Our growth strategy of acquiring additional recreational boat dealers involves significant risks. This strategy entails reviewing and potentially reorganizing acquired business operations, corporate infrastructure and systems, and financial controls. Unforeseen expenses, difficulties, and delays frequently encountered in connection with

rapid expansion through acquisitions could inhibit our growth and negatively impact our profitability. We may be unable to identify suitable acquisition candidates or to complete the acquisitions of candidates that we identify. Increased competition for acquisition candidates or increased asking prices by acquisition candidates may increase purchase prices for acquisitions to levels beyond our financial capability or to levels that would not result in the returns required by our acquisition criteria. Acquisitions also may become more difficult in the future as we acquire more of the most attractive dealers. In addition, we may encounter difficulties in integrating the operations of acquired dealers with our own operations or managing acquired dealers profitably without substantial costs, delays, or other operational or financial problems.

We may issue common or preferred stock and incur substantial indebtedness in making future acquisitions. The size, timing, and integration of any future acquisitions may cause substantial fluctuations in operating results from quarter to quarter. Consequently, operating results for any quarter may not be indicative of the results that may be achieved for any subsequent quarter or for a full fiscal year. These fluctuations could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Our ability to continue to grow through the acquisition of additional dealers will depend upon various factors, including the following:

- the availability of suitable acquisition candidates at attractive purchase prices;
- the ability to compete effectively for available acquisition opportunities;
- the availability of borrowed funds or common stock with a sufficient market price to complete the acquisitions;
- the ability to obtain any requisite manufacturer or governmental approvals;
- the ability to obtain approval of our lenders under our current credit agreement; and
- the absence of one or more manufacturers attempting to impose unsatisfactory restrictions on us in connection with their approval of acquisitions.

As a part of our acquisition strategy, we frequently engage in discussions with various recreational boat dealers regarding their potential acquisition by us. In connection with these discussions, we and each potential acquisition candidate exchange confidential operational and financial information, conduct due diligence inquiries, and consider the structure, terms, and conditions of the potential acquisition. In certain cases, the prospective acquisition candidate agrees not to discuss a potential acquisition with any other party for a specific period of time, grants us an option to purchase the prospective dealer for a designated price during a specific time, and agrees to take other actions designed to enhance the possibility of the acquisition, such as preparing audited financial information and converting its accounting system to the system specified by us. Potential acquisition discussions frequently take place over a long period of time and involve difficult business integration and other issues, including in some cases, management succession and related matters. As a result of these and other factors, a number of potential acquisitions that from time to time appear likely to occur do not result in binding legal agreements and are not consummated.

We may be required to obtain the consent of Brunswick and various other manufacturers prior to the acquisition of other dealers.

In determining whether to approve acquisitions, manufacturers may consider many factors, including our financial condition and ownership structure. Manufacturers also may impose conditions on granting their approvals for acquisitions, including a limitation on the number of their dealers that we may acquire. Our ability to meet manufacturers' requirements for approving future acquisitions will have a direct bearing on our ability to complete acquisitions and effect our growth strategy. There can be no assurance that a manufacturer will not terminate its dealer agreement, refuse to renew its dealer agreement, refuse to approve future acquisitions, or take other action that could have a material adverse effect on our acquisition program.

We and the Sea Ray Division of Brunswick have an agreement extending through June 2015 that provides a process for the acquisition of additional Sea Ray boat dealers that desire to be acquired by us. Under the agreement,

acquisitions of Sea Ray dealers will be mutually agreed upon by us and Sea Ray with reasonable efforts to be made to include a balance of Sea Ray dealers that have been successful and those that have not been. The agreement provides that Sea Ray will not unreasonably withhold its consent to any proposed acquisition of a Sea Ray dealer by us, subject to the conditions set forth in the agreement. Among other things, the agreement requires us to provide Sea Ray with a business plan for each proposed acquisition, including historical financial and five-year projected financial information regarding the acquisition candidate; marketing and advertising plans; service capabilities and managerial and staff personnel; information regarding the ability of the candidate to achieve performance standards within designated periods; and information regarding the success of our previous acquisitions of Sea Ray dealers. The agreement also contemplates Sea Ray reaching a good faith determination whether the acquisition would be in its best interest based on our dedication and focus of resources on the Sea Ray brand and Sea Ray's consideration of any adverse effects that the approval would have on the resulting territory configuration and adjacent or other dealers sales and the absence of any violation of applicable laws or rights granted by Sea Ray to others.

Our growth strategy also entails expanding our product lines and geographic scope by obtaining additional distribution rights from our existing and new manufacturers. We may not be able to secure additional distribution rights or obtain suitable alternative sources of supply if we are unable to obtain such distribution rights. The inability to expand our product lines and geographic scope by obtaining additional distribution rights could have a material adverse effect on the growth and profitability of our business.

Our growth strategy may require us to secure significant additional capital, the amount of which will depend upon the size, timing, and structure of future acquisitions and our working capital and general corporate needs.

If we finance future acquisitions in whole or in part through the issuance of common stock or securities convertible into or exercisable for common stock, existing stockholders will experience dilution in the voting power of their common stock and earnings per share could be negatively impacted. The extent to which we will be able and willing to use our common stock for acquisitions will depend on the market value of our common stock and the willingness of potential sellers to accept our common stock as full or partial consideration. Our inability to use our common stock as consideration, to generate cash from operations, or to obtain additional funding through debt or equity financings in order to pursue our acquisition program could materially limit our growth.

Any borrowings made to finance future acquisitions or for operations could make us more vulnerable to a downturn in our operating results, a downturn in economic conditions, or increases in interest rates on borrowings that are subject to interest rate fluctuations. If our cash flow from operations is insufficient to meet our debt service requirements, we could be required to sell additional equity securities, refinance our obligations, or dispose of assets in order to meet our debt service requirements. In addition, our credit arrangements contain financial and operational covenants and other restrictions with which we must comply, including limitations on capital expenditures and the incurrence of additional indebtedness. Adequate financing may not be available if and when we need it or may not be available on terms acceptable to us. The failure to obtain sufficient financing on favorable terms and conditions could have a material adverse effect on our growth prospects and our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Our internal growth and operating strategies of opening new locations and offering new products involve risk.

In addition to pursuing growth by acquiring boat dealers, we intend to continue to pursue a strategy of growth through opening new retail locations and offering new products in our existing and new territories. Accomplishing these goals for expansion will depend upon a number of factors, including the following:

- our ability to identify new markets in which we can obtain distribution rights to sell our existing or additional product lines;
- our ability to lease or construct suitable facilities at a reasonable cost in existing or new markets;
- our ability to hire, train, and retain qualified personnel;
- the timely integration of new retail locations into existing operations;

- our ability to achieve adequate market penetration at favorable operating margins without the acquisition of existing dealers; and
- our financial resources.

Our dealer agreements with Brunswick require Brunswick's consent to open, close, or change retail locations that sell Sea Ray products, and other dealer agreements generally contain similar provisions. We may not be able to open and operate new retail locations or introduce new product lines on a timely or profitable basis. Moreover, the costs associated with opening new retail locations or introducing new product lines may adversely affect our profitability.

As a result of these growth strategies, we expect to expend significant time and effort in opening and acquiring new retail locations and introducing new products. Our systems, procedures, controls, and financial resources may not be adequate to support expanding operations. The inability to manage our growth effectively could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Our planned growth also will impose significant added responsibilities on members of senior management and require us to identify, recruit, and integrate additional senior level managers. We may not be able to identify, hire, or train suitable additions to management.

Our business, as well as the entire recreational boating industry, is highly seasonal, with seasonality varying in different geographic markets. In addition, weather conditions may adversely impact our business.

During the three-year period ended September 30, 2009, the average revenue for the quarterly periods ended December 31, March 31, June 30, and September 30 represented 20%, 25%, 30%, and 25%, respectively, of our average annual revenue. With the exception of Florida, we generally realize significantly lower sales in the quarterly periods ending December 31 and March 31. The onset of the public boat and recreation shows in January stimulates boat sales and allows us to reduce our inventory levels and related short-term borrowings throughout the remainder of the fiscal year. Our business could become substantially more seasonal as we acquire dealers that operate in colder regions of the United States.

Weather conditions may adversely impact our operating results. For example, drought conditions, reduced rainfall levels, and excessive rain may force boating areas to close or render boating dangerous or inconvenient, thereby curtailing customer demand for our products. In addition, unseasonably cool weather and prolonged winter conditions may lead to shorter selling seasons in certain locations. Many of our dealerships sell boats to customers for use on reservoirs, thereby subjecting our business to the continued viability of these reservoirs for boating use. Although our geographic diversity and any future geographic expansion will reduce the overall impact on us of adverse weather conditions in any one market area, weather conditions will continue to represent potential material adverse risks to us and our future operating performance. As a result of the foregoing and other factors, our operating results in some future quarters could be below the expectations of stock market analysts and investors.

In addition, hurricanes and other storms could result in the disruption of our operations or damage to our boat inventories and facilities as has been the case when Florida and other markets has been affected by hurricanes. While we traditionally maintain property and casualty insurance coverage for damage caused by hurricanes and other storms, there can be no assurance that such insurance coverage is adequate to cover losses that we may sustain as a result of hurricanes and other storms.

We face intense competition.

We operate in a highly competitive environment. In addition to facing competition generally from non-boating recreation businesses seeking to attract discretionary spending dollars, the recreational boat industry itself is highly fragmented and involves intense competition for customers, product distribution rights, and suitable retail locations, particularly on or near waterways. Competition increases during periods of stagnant industry growth.

We compete primarily with single-location boat dealers and, with respect to sales of marine parts, accessories, and equipment, with national specialty marine parts and accessories stores, catalog retailers, sporting goods stores,

and mass merchants. Competition among boat dealers is based on the quality of available products, the price and value of the products, and attention to customer service. There is significant competition both within markets we currently serve and in new markets that we may enter. We compete in each of our markets with retailers of brands of boats and engines we do not sell in that market. In addition, several of our competitors, especially those selling marine equipment and accessories, are large national or regional chains that have substantial financial, marketing, and other resources. Private sales of used boats represent an additional source of competition.

Due to various matters, including environmental concerns, permitting and zoning requirements, and competition for waterfront real estate, some markets in the United States have experienced an increased waiting list for marina and storage availability. In general, the markets in which we currently operate are not experiencing any unusual difficulties. However, marine retail activity could be adversely effected in markets that do not have sufficient marine and storage availability to satisfy demand.

We depend on income from financing, insurance, and extended service contracts.

A portion of our income results from referral fees derived from the placement or marketing of various finance and insurance, or F&I, products, consisting of customer financing, insurance products, and extended service contracts, the most significant component of which is the participation and other fees resulting from our sale of customer financing contracts. During fiscal 2009, F&I products accounted for 2.7% of our revenue.

The availability of financing for our boat purchasers and the level of participation and other fees we receive in connection with such financing depend on the particular agreement between us and the lender and the current rate environment. Lenders may impose terms in their boat financing arrangements with us that may be unfavorable to us or our customers, resulting in reduced demand for our customer financing programs and lower participation and other fees. Customer financing became more difficult to secure during fiscal 2008, which continued in fiscal 2009.

The reduction of profit margins on sales of F&I products or the lack of demand for or the unavailability of these products could have a material adverse effect on our operating margins.

We depend on key personnel.

Our success depends, in large part, upon the continuing efforts and abilities of our executive officers. Although we have employment agreements with certain of our executive officers, we cannot assure that these or other executive personnel will remain with us. Expanding our operations may require us to add additional executive personnel in the future. As a result of our decentralized operating strategy, we also rely on the management teams of our dealerships. In addition, we likely will depend on the senior management of any significant businesses we acquire in the future. The loss of the services of one or more of these key employees before we are able to attract and retain qualified replacement personnel could adversely affect our business.

The products we sell or service may expose us to potential liability for personal injury or property damage claims relating to the use of those products.

Manufacturers of the products we sell generally maintain product liability insurance. We also maintain third-party product liability insurance that we believe to be adequate. We may experience claims that are not covered by or that are in excess of our insurance coverage. The institution of any significant claims against us could subject us to damages, result in higher insurance costs, and harm our business reputation with potential customers.

Environmental and other regulatory issues may impact our operations.

Our operations are subject to extensive regulation, supervision, and licensing under various federal, state, and local statutes, ordinances, and regulations. The failure to satisfy those and other regulatory requirements could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Various federal, state, and local regulatory agencies, including the Occupational Safety and Health Administration and the Environmental Protection Agency, or the EPA, and similar federal and local agencies, have jurisdiction over the operation of our dealerships, repair facilities, and other operations, with respect to matters such as consumer protection, workers' safety, and laws regarding protection of the environment, including air, water, and

soil. The EPA promulgated emissions regulations for outboard marine engines that impose stricter emissions standards for two-cycle, gasoline outboard marine engines. The majority of the outboard marine engines we sell are manufactured by Mercury Marine. Mercury Marine's product line of low-emission engines, including the OptiMax, Verado, and other four-stroke outboards, have already achieved the EPA's mandated 2006 emission levels. Any increased costs of producing engines resulting from EPA standards or the inability of our manufacturers to comply with EPA requirements, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Certain of our facilities own and operate underground storage tanks, or USTs, for the storage of various petroleum products. USTs are generally subject to federal, state, and local laws and regulations that require testing and upgrading of USTs and remediation of contaminated soils and groundwater resulting from leaking USTs. In addition, we may be subject to civil liability to third parties for remediation costs or other damages if leakage from our owned or operated USTs migrates onto the property of others.

Our business involves the use, handling, storage, and contracting for recycling or disposal of hazardous or toxic substances or wastes, including environmentally sensitive materials, such as motor oil, waste motor oil and filters, transmission fluid, antifreeze, freon, waste paint and lacquer thinner, batteries, solvents, lubricants, degreasing agents, gasoline, and diesel fuels. Accordingly, we are subject to regulation by federal, state, and local authorities establishing investigation and health and environmental quality standards, and liability related thereto, and providing penalties for violations of those standards.

We also are subject to laws, ordinances, and regulations governing investigation and remediation of contamination at facilities we operate or to which we send hazardous or toxic substances or wastes for treatment, recycling, or disposal. In particular, the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, or CERCLA or "Superfund," imposes joint, strict, and several liability on

- owners or operators of facilities at, from, or to which a release of hazardous substances has occurred;
- parties that generated hazardous substances that were released at such facilities; and
- parties that transported or arranged for the transportation of hazardous substances to such facilities.

A majority of states have adopted Superfund statutes comparable to and, in some cases, more stringent than CERCLA. If we were to be found to be a responsible party under CERCLA or a similar state statute, we could be held liable for all investigative and remedial costs associated with addressing such contamination. In addition, claims alleging personal injury or property damage may be brought against us as a result of alleged exposure to hazardous substances resulting from our operations. In addition, certain of our retail locations are located on waterways that are subject to federal or state laws regulating navigable waters (including oil pollution prevention), fish and wildlife, and other matters.

Soil and groundwater contamination has been known to exist at certain properties owned or leased by us. We have also been required and may in the future be required to remove aboveground and underground storage tanks containing hazardous substances or wastes. As to certain of our properties, specific releases of petroleum have been or are in the process of being remediated in accordance with state and federal guidelines. We are monitoring the soil and groundwater as required by applicable state and federal guidelines. We also may have additional storage tank liability insurance and Superfund coverage where applicable. Environmental laws and regulations are complex and subject to frequent change. Compliance with amended, new, or more stringent laws or regulations, more strict interpretations of existing laws, or the future discovery of environmental conditions may require additional expenditures by us, and such expenditures may be material.

Two of the properties we own were historically used as gasoline service stations. Remedial action with respect to prior historical site activities on these properties has been completed in accordance with federal and state law. Also, two of our properties are within the boundaries of a Superfund site, although neither property has been identified as a contributor to the contamination in the area.

Additionally, certain states have required or are considering requiring a license in order to operate a recreational boat. These regulations could discourage potential buyers, thereby limiting future sales and adversely affecting our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

The market price of our common stock could be subject to wide fluctuations as a result of many factors.

Factors that could affect the trading price of our common stock include the following:

- variations in our operating results;
- the thin trading volume and relatively small public float of our common stock;
- our ability to continue to secure adequate levels of financing;
- variations in same-store sales;
- general economic, political, and market conditions;
- changes in earnings estimates published by analysts;
- the level and success of our acquisition program and new store openings;
- the success of dealership integration;
- relationships with manufacturers;
- seasonality and weather conditions;
- governmental policies and regulations;
- the performance of the recreational boat industry in general; and
- factors relating to suppliers and competitors.

In addition, market demand for small-capitalization stocks, and price and volume fluctuations in the stock market unrelated to our performance could result in significant fluctuations in the market price of our common stock.

The performance of our common stock could adversely affect our ability to raise equity in the public markets and adversely affect our acquisition program.

The issuance of additional capital stock in the future, including shares that we may issue pursuant to stock-based grants, including stock option grants, and future acquisitions, may result in dilution in the net tangible book value per share of our common stock.

Our board of directors has the legal power and authority to determine the terms of an offering of shares of our capital stock, or securities convertible into or exchangeable for these shares, to the extent of our shares of authorized and unissued capital stock. The issuance of additional common stock in the future, including shares that we may issue pursuant to stock-based grants, including stock option grants, and future acquisitions, may result in dilution in the net tangible book value per share of our common stock. The issuance of additional capital stock in the future, including shares that we may issue pursuant to stock-based grants, including stock option grants, and future acquisitions, may result in dilution in the net tangible book value per share of our common stock.

A substantial number of shares are eligible for future sale.

As of September 30, 2009, there were outstanding 21,705,759 shares of our common stock. Substantially all of these shares are freely tradable without restriction or further registration under the securities laws, unless held by an “affiliate” of our company, as that term is defined in Rule 144 under the securities laws. Shares held by affiliates of our company, which generally include our directors, officers, and certain principal stockholders, are subject to the resale limitations of Rule 144 described below. Outstanding shares of common stock issued in connection with the acquisition of any acquired dealers are available for resale beginning six months after the respective dates of the acquisitions, subject to compliance with the provisions of Rule 144 under the securities laws.

As of September 30, 2009, we had issued options to purchase approximately 1,995,232 shares of common stock and 740,957 restricted stock awards under our incentive stock plan, and we issued 264,493 of the 500,000 shares of common stock reserved for issuance under our 2008 employee stock purchase plan. We have

filed a registration statement under the securities laws to register the common stock to be issued under these plans. As a result, shares issued under these plans will be freely tradable without restriction unless acquired by affiliates of our company, who will be subject to the volume and other limitations of Rule 144.

We may issue additional shares of common stock or preferred stock under the securities laws as part of any acquisition we may complete in the future. If issued pursuant to an effective registration statement, these shares generally will be freely tradable after their issuance by persons not affiliated with us or the acquired companies.

We do not pay cash dividends.

We have never paid cash dividends on our common stock. Moreover, financial covenants under our credit facility restrict our ability to pay dividends.

Our stockholders' rights plan may adversely affect existing stockholders.

Our Stockholders' Rights Plan may have the effect of deterring, delaying, or preventing a change in control that might otherwise be in the best interests of our stockholders. Under the Rights Plan, we issued a dividend of one Preferred Share Purchase Right for each share of our common stock held by stockholders of record as of the close of business on September 7, 2001.

In general, subject to certain limited exceptions, the stock purchase rights become exercisable when a person or group acquires 15% or more of our common stock or a tender offer or exchange offer for 15% or more of our common stock is announced or commenced. After any such event, our other stockholders may purchase additional shares of our common stock at 50% of the then-current market price. The rights will cause substantial dilution to a person or group that attempts to acquire us on terms not approved by our board of directors. The rights may be redeemed by us at \$0.01 per stock purchase right at any time before any person or group acquires 15% or more of our outstanding common stock. The rights should not interfere with any merger or other business combination approved by our board of directors. The rights expire on August 28, 2011.

Certain provisions of our restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws and Delaware law may make a change in the control of our company more difficult to complete, even if a change in control were in the stockholders' interest or might result in a premium over the market price for the shares held by the stockholders.

Our certificate of incorporation and bylaws divide our board of directors into three classes of directors elected for staggered three-year terms. The certificate of incorporation also provides that the board of directors may authorize the issuance of one or more series of preferred stock from time to time and may determine the rights, preferences, privileges, and restrictions and fix the number of shares of any such series of preferred stock, without any vote or action by our stockholders. The board of directors may authorize the issuance of preferred stock with voting or conversion rights that could adversely affect the voting power or other rights of the holders of common stock. The certificate of incorporation also allows our board of directors to fix the number of directors and to fill vacancies on the board of directors.

We also are subject to the anti-takeover provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which prohibits us from engaging in a "business combination" with an "interested stockholder" for a period of three years after the date of the transaction in which the person became an "interested stockholder," unless the business combination is approved in a prescribed manner.

Certain of our dealer agreements could also make it difficult for a third party to attempt to acquire a significant ownership position in our company. In addition, the stockholders' agreement and governance agreement will have the effect of increasing the control of our directors, executive officers, and persons associated with them.

Our sales of Azimut products may be adversely affected by fluctuations in currency exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and the euro.

Products purchased from Italy-based Azimut are subject to fluctuations in the euro to U.S. dollar exchange rate, which ultimately may impact the retail price at which we can sell such products. As a result, fluctuations in the

value of the euro compared with the U.S. dollar may impact the price points at which we can sell profitably Azimut products, and such price points may not be competitive with other product lines in the United States. Accordingly, such fluctuations in exchange rates ultimately may impact the amount of revenue, cost of goods sold, cash flows, and earnings we recognize for the Azimut product lines. The impact of these currency fluctuations could increase, particularly if our revenue from the Azimut products increase as a percentage of our total revenue. We also could incur losses from hedging transactions designed to reduce our risk to fluctuation in exchange rates. We cannot predict the effects of exchange rate fluctuations or currency rate hedges on our operating results. Therefore, in certain cases, we may, from time to time, enter into foreign currency cash flow hedges to reduce the variability of cash flows associated with firm commitments to purchase boats and yachts from Azimut. We cannot assure that our strategies will adequately protect our operating results from the effects of exchange rate fluctuations.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable.

Item 2. Properties

We lease our corporate offices in Clearwater, Florida. We also lease 30 of our retail locations under leases, many of which contain multi-year renewal options and some of which grant us a first right of refusal to purchase the property at fair value. In most cases, we pay a fixed rent at negotiated rates. In substantially all of the leased locations, we are responsible for taxes, utilities, insurance, and routine repairs and maintenance. We own the property associated with 25 other retail locations we operate and one joint venture as noted below. Additionally, we own four retail locations that are currently closed and three retail locations that are closed and available for sale.

The following table reflects the status, approximate size, and facilities of the various retail locations we operate as of the date of this report.

<u>Location</u>	<u>Location Type</u>	<u>Square Footage(1)</u>	<u>Facilities at Property</u>	<u>Operated Since(2)</u>	<u>Waterfront</u>
Alabama					
Gulf Shores	Company owned	4,000	Retail and service	1998	—
Gulf Shores	Third-party lease	1,112	Retail only; 7 wet slips	2008	Intracoastal Waterway
Arizona					
Tempe	Company owned	34,000	Retail and service	1992	—
California					
San Diego (Shelter Island)	Third-party lease	930	Retail and service; 20 wet slips	2005	Mission Bay
Colorado					
Grand Junction	Third-party lease	9,300	Retail, service, and storage	1986	—
Connecticut					
Norwalk	Third-party lease	7,000	Retail and service	1994	Norwalk Harbor
Florida					
Cape Haze	Company owned	18,000	Retail; 8 wet slips	1972	Intracoastal Waterway
Clearwater	Company owned	42,000	Retail and service; 20 wet slips	1973	Tampa Bay
Cocoa	Company owned	15,000	Retail and service	1968	—
Coconut Grove	Third-party lease	2,000	Retail only; 24 wet slips	2002	Biscayne Bay
Dania	Company owned	32,000	Repair and service; 16 wet slips	1991	Port Everglades

Table of Contents

<u>Location</u>	<u>Location Type</u>	<u>Square Footage(1)</u>	<u>Facilities at Property</u>	<u>Operated Since(2)</u>	<u>Waterfront</u>
Destin	Third-party lease	2,200	Retail only; 24 wet slips	2005	Destin Harbor
Fort Myers	Third-party lease	8,000	Retail and service; 18 wet slips	1983	Caloosahatchee River
Jacksonville	Company owned	15,000	Retail and service	2004	—
Jacksonville	Third-party lease	1,000	Retail only; 7 wet slips	1995	St Johns River
Key Largo	Third-party lease	8,900	Retail and service; 6 wet slips	2002	Card Sound
Miami	Company owned	7,200	Retail and service; 15 wet slips	1980	Little River
Miami	Company owned	5,000	Service only; 11 wet slips	2005	Little River
Naples	Company owned	19,600	Retail and service; 14 wet slips	1997	Naples Bay
Pensacola	Third-party lease	24,300	Retail and service	1974	—
Pompano Beach	Company owned	23,000	Retail and service; 16 wet slips	1990	Intracoastal Waterway
Pompano Beach	Company owned	5,400	Retail and service; 24 wet slips	2005	Intracoastal Waterway
Sarasota	Third-party lease	26,500	Retail, service, and storage; 15 wet slips	1972	Sarasota Bay
St. Petersburg(3)	Joint venture	15,000	Yacht service, 20 wet slips	2006	Boca Ciega Bay
Stuart	Company owned	29,100	Retail and service; 66 wet slips	2002	Intracoastal Waterway
Tampa	Company owned	13,100	Retail and service	1995	—
Venice	Company owned	62,000	Retail, service, and storage; 90 wet slips	1972	Intracoastal Waterway
Georgia Buford (Atlanta)	Company owned	13,500	Retail and service	2001	—
Cumming (Atlanta)	Third-party lease	13,000	Retail and service; 50 wet slips	1981	Lake Lanier
Maryland Baltimore	Third-party lease	7,600	Retail and service; 17 wet slips	2005	Baltimore Inner Harbor
Joppa	Company owned	28,400	Retail, service, and storage; 294 wet slips	1966	Gunpowder River
White Marsh	Company owned	19,800	Retail and service	1958	—
Minnesota Bayport	Third-party lease	450	Retail only; 10 wet slips	1996	St Croix River
Rogers	Company owned	70,000	Retail, service, and storage	1991	—
Walker	Company owned	76,400	Retail, service, and storage	1989	—
Walker	Company owned	6,800	Retail and service; 93 wet slips	1977	Leech Lake

Table of Contents

<u>Location</u>	<u>Location Type</u>	<u>Square Footage(1)</u>	<u>Facilities at Property</u>	<u>Operated Since(2)</u>	<u>Waterfront</u>
Missouri					
Lake Ozark	Company owned	60,300	Retail and service; 300 wet slips	1987	Lake of the Ozarks
New Jersey					
Brant Beach	Third-party lease	3,800	Retail and service; 36 wet slips	1965	Barnegat Bay
Brick	Company owned	20,000	Retail and service; 225 wet slips	1977	Manasquan River
Lake Hopatcong	Third-party lease	4,600	Retail and service; 80 wet slips	1998	Lake Hopatcong
Ship Bottom	Third-party lease	19,300	Retail and service	1972	—
Somers Point	Affiliate lease	31,000	Retail, service and storage; 33 wet slips	1987	Little Egg Harbor Bay
New York					
Copiague	Third-party lease	15,000	Retail only	1993	—
Huntington	Third-party lease	1,200	Retail and service	1995	Huntington Harbor and Long Island Sound
Lindenhurst (Delivery Center)	Third-party lease	54,000	Retail, service, and dry storage	1968	Neguntatogue Creek to Great South Bay
Lindenhurst (Marina)	Third-party lease	14,600	Marina and service; 370 wet slips	1968	Neguntatogue Creek to Great South Bay
Manhattan	Third-party lease	1,200	Retail only; 75 wet slips	1996	Hudson River
New Rochelle	Third-party lease	4,650	Retail and service	2008	Long Island Sound
North Carolina					
Wrightsville Beach	Third-party lease	34,500	Retail, service, and storage	1996	Intracoastal Waterway
Ohio					
Port Clinton	Third-party lease	93,300	Retail, service and storage; 8 wet slips	1997	Lake Erie
Oklahoma					
Afton	Third-party lease	3,500	Retail and service; 23 wet slips	2003	Grand Lake
Rhode Island					
Wakefield	Third-party lease	1,800	Retail only; 3 wet slips	2006	Narragansett Bay
Tennessee					
Chattanooga	Third-party lease	3,000	Retail only; 12 wet slips	2005	Tennessee River
Texas					
Lewisville (Dallas)	Company owned	22,000	Retail and service	2002	—
Seabrook	Company owned	32,000	Retail and service; 30 wet slips	2002	Clear Lake

- (1) Square footage is approximate and does not include outside sales space or dock or marina facilities.
- (2) Operated since date is the date the facility was opened by us or opened prior to its acquisition by us.
- (3) Joint venture entered into with Brunswick to acquire marina and service facility.

Item 3. *Legal Proceedings*

We are party to various legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. While it is not feasible to determine the actual outcome of these actions as of September 30, 2009, we do not believe that these matters will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Item 4. *Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders*

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common stock has been traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol HZO since our initial public offering on June 3, 1998 at \$12.50 per share. The following table sets forth high and low sale prices of the common stock for each calendar quarter indicated as reported on the New York Stock Exchange.

	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>
2007		
First quarter	\$26.10	\$20.01
Second quarter	\$24.05	\$18.80
Third quarter	\$21.96	\$14.30
Fourth quarter	\$16.68	\$13.50
2008		
First quarter	\$16.18	\$10.38
Second quarter	\$13.82	\$ 6.99
Third quarter	\$ 9.66	\$ 4.92
Fourth quarter	\$ 7.45	\$ 1.25
2009		
First quarter	\$ 3.54	\$ 1.19
Second quarter	\$ 6.05	\$ 2.13
Third quarter	\$ 8.36	\$ 3.08
Fourth quarter (through November 30, 2009)	\$ 8.34	\$ 6.55

On November 30, 2009, the closing sale price of our common stock was \$7.00 per share. On November 30, 2009, there were approximately 100 record holders and approximately 5,000 beneficial owners of our common stock.


Dividends

We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our common stock. We currently plan to retain any earnings to finance the growth of our business rather than to pay cash dividends. Payments of any cash dividends in the future will depend on our financial condition, results of operations, and capital requirements as well as other factors deemed relevant by our board of directors. Moreover, financial covenants under certain of our credit facilities also restrict our ability to pay dividends.

Performance Graph

The following line graph compares cumulative total stockholder returns for the five years ended September 30, 2008 for (i) our common stock, (ii) the Russell 2000 Index, and (iii) the Nasdaq Retail Trade Index. The graph assumes an investment of \$100 on September 30, 2004. The calculations of cumulative stockholder return on the Russell 2000 Index and the Nasdaq Retail Trade Index include reinvestment of dividends. The calculation of cumulative stockholder return on our common stock does not include reinvestment of dividends because we did not pay any dividends during the measurement period. The historical performance shown is not necessarily indicative of future performance.

COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN*
Among MarineMax, Inc., The Russell 2000 Index
And The NASDAQ Retail Trade Index



* \$100 invested on 9/30/04 in stock or index, including reinvestment of dividends.
 Fiscal year ending September 30.

The performance graph above shall not be deemed “filed” for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. The performance graph above will not be deemed incorporated by reference into any filing of our company under the Exchange Act or the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table contains certain financial and operating data and is qualified by the more detailed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this report. The balance sheet and statement of operations data were derived from the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto that have been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered certified public accounting firm. The financial data shown below should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the related notes thereto and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” included elsewhere in this report.

	Fiscal Year Ended September 30,				
	2005(1)	2006	2007	2008	2009
(Amounts in thousands except share, per share, and retail location data)					
Statement of Operations Data:					
Revenue	\$ 947,347	\$ 1,213,541	\$ 1,255,985	\$ 885,407	\$ 588,585
Cost of sales	712,843	906,781	956,251	679,164	499,925
Gross profit	234,504	306,760	299,734	206,243	88,660
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	169,975	222,806	245,224	217,426	159,998
Goodwill and intangible asset impairment charge	—	—	—	122,091	—
Income (loss) from operations	64,529	83,954	54,510	(133,274)	(71,338)
Interest expense, net	9,291	18,616	26,955	20,164	14,064
Income (loss) before income tax provision (benefit)	55,238	65,338	27,555	(153,438)	(85,402)
Income tax provision (benefit)	21,412	25,956	7,486	(19,161)	(8,630)
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ 33,826</u>	<u>\$ 39,382</u>	<u>\$ 20,069</u>	<u>\$ (134,277)</u>	<u>\$ (76,772)</u>
Net income (loss) per share:					
Diluted	<u>\$ 1.88</u>	<u>\$ 2.08</u>	<u>\$ 1.04</u>	<u>\$ (7.30)</u>	<u>\$ (4.11)</u>
Weighted average number of shares:					
Diluted	<u>18,032,533</u>	<u>18,928,735</u>	<u>19,289,231</u>	<u>18,391,488</u>	<u>18,685,423</u>
Other Data (as of year-end):					
Number of retail locations(2)	71	87	88	80	55
Sales per store(3)(5)	\$ 16,386	\$ 17,064	\$ 15,246	\$ 12,492	\$ 11,285
Same-store sales growth(4)(5)	23%	7%	(1)%	(28)%	(29)%

	September 30,				
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Balance Sheet Data:					
Working capital	\$163,431	\$153,465	\$170,389	\$134,458	\$ 97,179
Total assets	539,490	801,563	825,878	661,323	393,644
Long-term debt (including current portion)(6)	30,085	37,186	30,833	—	—
Total stockholders’ equity	283,599	349,887	373,559	248,583	197,756

- (1) Amounts exclude the effects of stock-based compensation expense recognized under the provisions of FASB Accounting Standards Codification 718, “Compensation — Stock Compensation” (ASC 718), previously referred to as Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123R, “Share-Based Payment,” as the standard was adopted October 1, 2005.
- (2) Includes only those retail locations open at period end.
- (3) Includes only those stores open for the entire preceding 12-month period.
- (4) New and acquired stores are included in the comparable base at the end of the store’s thirteenth month of operations.
- (5) A store is one or more retail locations that are adjacent or operate as one entity. Sales per store and same-store sales growth is intended only as supplemental information and is not a substitute for revenue or net income presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.
- (6) Amount excludes our short-term borrowings for working capital and inventory financing.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following should be read in conjunction with Part I, including the matters set forth in the "Risk Factors" section of this report, and our Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this report.

Overview

We are the largest recreational boat retailer in the United States with fiscal 2009 revenue in excess of \$588 million. Through our current 55 retail locations in 18 states, we sell new and used recreational boats and related marine products, including engines, trailers, parts, and accessories. We also arrange related boat financing, insurance, and extended warranty contracts; provide boat repair and maintenance services; and offer yacht and boat brokerage services, and where available, offer slip and storage accommodations.

MarineMax was incorporated in January 1998. We commenced operations with the acquisition of five independent recreational boat dealers on March 1, 1998. Since the initial acquisitions in March 1998, we have acquired 20 recreational boat dealers, two boat brokerage operations, and two full-service yacht repair facilities. As a part of our acquisition strategy, we frequently engage in discussions with various recreational boat dealers regarding their potential acquisition by us. Potential acquisition discussions frequently take place over a long period of time and involve difficult business integration and other issues, including, in some cases, management succession and related matters. As a result of these and other factors, a number of potential acquisitions that from time to time appear likely to occur do not result in binding legal agreements and are not consummated. We did not complete any significant acquisitions during the fiscal years ended September 30, 2008 and 2009.

General economic conditions and consumer spending patterns can negatively impact our operating results. Unfavorable local, regional, national, or global economic developments or uncertainties regarding future economic prospects could reduce consumer spending in the markets we serve and adversely affect our business. Economic conditions in areas in which we operate dealerships, particularly Florida in which we generated 44%, 43%, and 45% of our revenue during fiscal 2007, 2008, and 2009, respectively, can have a major impact on our operations. Local influences, such as corporate downsizing, military base closings, and inclement weather, also could adversely affect our operations in certain markets.

In an economic downturn, consumer discretionary spending levels generally decline, at times resulting in disproportionately large reductions in the sale of luxury goods. Consumer spending on luxury goods also may decline as a result of lower consumer confidence levels, even if prevailing economic conditions are favorable. Although we have expanded our operations during periods of stagnant or modestly declining industry trends, the cyclical nature of the recreational boating industry or the lack of industry growth could adversely affect our business, financial condition, or results of operations in the future. Any period of adverse economic conditions or low consumer confidence has a negative effect on our business.

Lower consumer spending resulting from a downturn in the housing market and other economic factors adversely affected our business in fiscal 2007, and continued weakness in consumer spending resulting from substantial weakness in the financial markets and deteriorating economic conditions had a very substantial negative effect on our business in fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2009. These conditions caused us to defer our acquisition program, slow our new store openings, reduce our inventory purchases, engage in inventory reduction efforts, close a significant number of our retail locations, and significantly reduce our headcount. We cannot predict the length or severity of these unfavorable economic or financial conditions or the extent to which they will adversely affect our operating results nor can we predict the effectiveness of the measures we have taken to address this environment or whether additional measures will be necessary.

Although economic conditions have adversely affected our operating results, we have capitalized on our core strengths to substantially outperform the industry, resulting in leading market share. Our ability to produce such market share supports the alignment of our retailing strategies with the desires of consumers. We believe the steps we have taken to address weak market conditions will yield an increase in future revenue. As general economic trends improve, we expect our core strengths and retailing strategies will position us to capitalize on growth opportunities as they occur and will allow us to emerge from this challenging environment with greater earnings potential.

Application of Critical Accounting Policies

We have identified the policies below as critical to our business operations and the understanding of our results of operations. The impact and risks related to these policies on our business operations is discussed throughout Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations when such policies affect our reported and expected financial results.

In the ordinary course of business, we make a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of results of operations and financial condition in the preparation of our financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances. The results form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates under different assumptions and conditions. We believe that the following discussion addresses our most critical accounting policies, which are those that are most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and require our most difficult, subjective, and complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue from boat, motor, and trailer sales, and parts and service operations at the time the boat, motor, trailer, or part is delivered to or accepted by the customer or service is completed. We recognize commissions earned from a brokerage sale at the time the related brokerage transaction closes. We recognize revenue from slip and storage services on a straight-line basis over the term of the slip or storage agreement. We recognize commissions earned by us for placing notes with financial institutions in connection with customer boat financing when we recognize the related boat sales. We also recognize marketing fees earned on credit life, accident and disability, and hull insurance products sold by third-party insurance companies at the later of customer acceptance of the insurance product as evidenced by contract execution or when the related boat sale is recognized. We also recognize commissions earned on extended warranty service contracts sold on behalf of third-party insurance companies at the later of customer acceptance of the service contract terms, as evidenced by contract execution or recognition of the related boat sale.

Certain finance and extended warranty commissions and marketing fees on insurance products may be charged back if a customer terminates or defaults on the underlying contract within a specified period of time. Based upon our experience of repayments and defaults, we maintain a chargeback allowance that was not material to our financial statements taken as a whole as of September 30, 2008 or 2009. Should results differ materially from our historical experiences, we would need to modify our estimate of future chargebacks, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating margins.

Vendor Consideration Received

We account for consideration received from our vendors in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification 605-50, "Revenue Recognition, Customer Payments and Incentives" (ASC 605-50), previously referred to as Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 02-16, "Accounting by a Customer (Including a Reseller) for Certain Consideration Received from a Vendor." ASC 605-50 most significantly requires us to classify interest assistance received from manufacturers as a reduction of inventory cost and related cost of sales as opposed to netting the assistance against our interest expense incurred with our lenders. Pursuant to ASC 605-50, amounts received by us under our co-op assistance programs from our manufacturers are netted against related advertising expenses.

Inventories

Inventory costs consist of the amount paid to acquire the inventory, net of vendor consideration and purchase discounts, the cost of equipment added, reconditioning costs, and transportation costs relating to acquiring inventory for sale. We state new boat, motor, and trailer inventories at the lower of cost, determined on a specific-identification basis, or market. We state used boat, motor, and trailer inventories, including trade-in's, at the

lower of cost, determined on a specific-identification basis, or market. We state parts and accessories at the lower of cost, determined on an average cost basis, or market. We utilize our historical experience, the aging of the inventories, and our consideration of current market trends as the basis for determining lower of cost or market valuation allowance. As of September 30, 2008 and 2009, our lower of cost or market valuation allowance was \$5.1 million and \$17.7 million, respectively. If events occur and market conditions change, causing the fair value to fall below carrying value, the lower of cost or market valuation allowance could increase.

Valuation of Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

We account for goodwill and identifiable intangible assets in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification 350, "Intangibles — Goodwill and Other" (ASC 350), previously referred to as Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." Under this standard, we assess the impairment of goodwill and identifiable intangible assets at least annually and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. The first step in the assessment is the estimation of fair value. If step one indicates that impairment potentially exists, we perform the second step to measure the amount of impairment, if any. Goodwill and identifiable intangible asset impairment exists when the estimated fair value is less than its carrying value.

During the three months ended June 30, 2008, we experienced a significant decline in stock market valuation driven primarily by weakness in the marine retail industry and an overall soft economy, which hindered our financial performance. Accordingly, we completed a step one analysis (as noted above) and estimated the fair value of the reporting unit as prescribed by ASC 350, which indicated potential impairment. As a result, we completed a fair value analysis of indefinite lived intangible assets and a step two goodwill impairment analysis, as required by ASC 350. We determined that indefinite lived intangible assets and goodwill were impaired and recorded a non-cash charge of \$121.1 million based on our assessment. We will not be required to make any current or future cash expenditures as a result of this impairment charge.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

FASB Accounting Standards Codification 360-10-40, "Property, Plant, and Equipment, Impairment of Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" (ASC 360-10-40), previously referred to as Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, "Accounting for Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," requires that long-lived assets, such as property and equipment and purchased intangibles subject to amortization, be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of the asset is measured by comparison of its carrying amount to undiscounted future net cash flows the asset is expected to generate. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its fair market value. Estimates of expected future cash flows represent our best estimate based on currently available information and reasonable and supportable assumptions. Any impairment recognized in accordance with ASC 360-10-40 is permanent and may not be restored. As of September 30, 2009, we had not recognized any impairment of long-lived assets in connection with ASC 360-10-40 based on our reviews.

During the three months ended June 30, 2008, we experienced a significant decline in market valuation driven primarily by weakness in the marine retail industry and an overall soft economy, which adversely affected our financial performance. As a result of this weakness, we realized a goodwill and intangible asset impairment charge, as noted above. Based on these events, we reviewed the valuation of our investment in Gulfport in accordance with ASC 323 and recoverability of the assets contained within the joint venture. ASC 323 requires the recognition of a loss in value of an investment, which is other than a temporary decline. We reviewed our investment and assets contained within the Gulfport joint venture, which consists of land, buildings, equipment, and goodwill. As a result, we determined that our investment in the joint venture was impaired and recorded a non-cash charge of \$1.0 million based on our assessment. We will not be required to make any current or future cash expenditures as a result of this impairment charge.

Stock-Based Compensation

Effective October 1, 2005, we adopted the provisions of FASB Accounting Standards Codification 718, “Compensation — Stock Compensation” (ASC 718), previously referred to as Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123R, “Share-Based Payment,” for our share-based compensation plans. We adopted ASC 718 using the modified prospective transition method. Under this transition method, compensation cost recognized includes (a) the compensation cost for all share-based awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of October 1, 2005, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of ASC 718 and (b) the compensation cost for all share-based awards granted subsequent to September 30, 2005, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of ASC 718. Additionally, we accounted for restricted stock awards granted using the measurement and recognition provisions of ASC 718. Accordingly, the fair value of the restricted stock awards is measured on the grant date and recognized in earnings over the requisite service period for each separately vesting portion of the award.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification 740, “Income Taxes” (ASC 740), previously referred to as Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, “Accounting for Income Taxes” and Financial Accounting Standard Board Interpretation No. 48, “Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes.” Under ASC 740, we recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future tax consequences attributable to temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. We measure deferred tax assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which we expect those temporary differences to be recovered or settled. We record valuation allowances to reduce our deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized by considering all available positive and negative evidence.

Pursuant to ASC 740, we must consider all positive and negative evidence regarding the realization of deferred tax assets, including past operating results and future sources of taxable income. Under the provisions of ASC 740, we determined that our net deferred tax asset needed to be fully reserved given recent earnings and industry trends.

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, also included in ASC 740. The Interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise’s financial statements and prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attributes of income tax positions taken or expected to be taken on a tax return. The impact of an uncertain tax position taken or expected to be taken on an income tax return must be recognized in the financial statements at the largest amount that is more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon audit by the relevant taxing authority. An uncertain income tax position will not be recognized in the financial statements unless it is more likely than not of being sustained.

For a more comprehensive list of our accounting policies, including those which involve varying degrees of judgment, see Note 3 — “Significant Accounting Policies” of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth certain financial data as a percentage of revenue for the periods indicated:

	Fiscal Year Ended September 30,					
	2007		2008		2009	
	(Amounts in thousands)					
Revenue	\$1,255,985	100.0%	\$ 885,407	100.0%	\$588,585	100.0%
Cost of sales	956,251	76.1%	679,164	76.7%	499,925	84.9%
Gross profit	299,734	23.9%	206,243	23.3%	88,660	15.1%
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	245,224	19.6%	217,426	24.6%	159,998	27.2%
Goodwill and intangible asset impairment charge	—	0.0%	122,091	13.8%	—	0%
Income (loss) from operations	54,510	4.3%	(133,274)	(15.1)%	(71,338)	(12.1)%
Interest expense, net	26,955	2.1%	20,164	2.3%	14,064	2.4%
Income (loss) before income tax provision (benefit)	27,555	2.2%	(153,438)	(17.3)%	(85,402)	(14.5)%
Income tax provision (benefit)	7,486	0.6%	(19,161)	(2.2)%	(8,630)	(1.5)%
Net income (loss)	\$ 20,069	1.6%	\$(134,277)	(15.2)%	\$(76,772)	(13.0)%

Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2009 Compared with Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2008

Revenue. Revenue decreased \$296.8 million, or 33.5%, to \$588.6 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009 from \$885.4 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008. Of this decrease, \$234.4 million was attributable to a decline in comparable-store sales and \$62.5 million was attributable to 26 stores closed in fiscal 2009 that are not eligible for inclusion in the comparable-store base for the 12 months ended September 30, 2009. The decline in our comparable-store sales was due to the widely reported weak economic conditions and tighter retail lending environment, which have adversely impacted our retail sales.

Gross Profit. Gross profit decreased \$117.5 million, or 57.0%, to \$88.7 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009 from \$206.2 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008. Gross profit as a percentage of revenue decreased to 15.1% for fiscal 2009 from 23.3% for fiscal 2008. The decrease in gross profit dollars reflected the combination of the significant reduction in revenue because of the soft economic environment and the decreases in gross profit percentage. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of revenue was due to margin pressure arising from the difficult retail environment and the aggressive pricing strategy that we deployed to drive a significant reduction to our inventory levels. Additionally, during the fiscal 2009, we incurred losses and increased reserves for expected losses associated with market declines in brands we no longer carry by approximately \$12.6 million. Lastly, we strategically decided to forego certain manufacturer purchase incentives and ordered substantially less boats in fiscal 2009 than in fiscal 2008.

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general, and administrative expenses decreased \$57.4 million, or 26.4%, to \$160.0 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009 from \$217.4 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008. Selling, general, and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue increased to 27.2% for the year ended September 30, 2009 from 24.6% for the year ended September 30, 2008. The fiscal year ended September 30, 2009 included \$6.2 million in charges associated with store closures. The fiscal year ended September 30, 2008 included \$3.0 million in charges associated with store closures, partially offset by \$1.0 million in gains recorded as an expense offset related to proceeds from business interruption insurance claims and the favorable settlement of certain interest rate swaps. Excluding these items would result in a comparable selling, general, and administrative expense reduction of \$65.1 million, or 29.8%, and selling, general, and administrative expenses as a percent of revenue increased to 26.0% for the year ended September 30, 2009 from 24.7% for the year ended September 30, 2008. This increase in selling, general, and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue was primarily attributable to the reported same-store sales decline, which resulted in a

reduction in our ability to leverage our expense structure. The reduction in the dollar level of selling, general, and administrative expenses was due to the significant reductions we have made in personnel, retail locations, and most other expense categories.

Goodwill and intangible asset impairment. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, we were required to write-off our goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets as a result of the decline in our market valuation and the continuation of the difficult retail environment, as prescribed by ASC 350.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$6.1 million, or 30.3%, to \$14.1 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009 from \$20.2 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008. Interest expense as a percentage of revenue increased to 2.4% for fiscal 2009 from 2.3% for fiscal 2008. The decrease in interest expense was primarily a result of the decline in average borrowings throughout fiscal 2009.

Income Tax Provision. Our income tax benefit decreased \$10.5 million to a benefit of \$8.6 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009 from an income tax benefit of \$19.2 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, primarily as a result of limitations on the carryback of our pretax loss and recording a valuation allowance on the carryforward pretax loss. The effective tax rate for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008 differed from previous periods primarily as a result of the recording of a non-cash valuation allowance that offsets the majority of income tax benefit that would have arisen from the goodwill and intangible asset impairment charge.

Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2008 Compared with Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2007

Revenue. Revenue decreased \$370.6 million, or 29.5%, to \$885.4 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008 from \$1.3 billion for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007. Of this decrease, \$27.4 million was attributable to stores opened, closed, or acquired that were not eligible for inclusion in the comparable-store base and \$343.2 million was attributable to a 28% decline in comparable-store sales in fiscal 2008. The decline in our same-store sales resulted primarily from softer economic conditions because of the turmoil in the financial markets, which impacted our results as well as those of most other retailers. In response to these declines, we are adjusting our structure, including store closures, in a manner in which we believe will reduce operating costs but not result in market share losses.

Gross Profit. Gross profit decreased \$93.5 million, or 31.2%, to \$206.2 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008 from \$299.7 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007. Gross profit as a percentage of revenue decreased to 23.3% for fiscal 2008 from 23.9% for fiscal 2007. The decrease in gross profit was a result of the significant reduction in revenue resulting from the soft economic environment. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of revenue was due to margin pressure arising from the difficult retail environment and a sales mix shift to larger products, which historically carry lower gross margins.

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general, and administrative expenses decreased \$27.8 million, or 11.3%, to \$217.4 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008 from \$245.2 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007. Selling, general, and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue increased to 24.6% for the year ended September 30, 2008 from 19.6% for the year ended September 30, 2007. The fiscal year ended September 30, 2008 included \$3.0 million in charges associated with store closures, partially offset by \$1.0 million in gains recorded as an expense offset related to proceeds from business interruption insurance claims associated with ice storms damage at certain Missouri locations in 2007 and the favorable settlement of certain interest rate swaps accounted for as cash flow hedges. Additionally, the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007 included \$3.7 million in gains recorded as an expense offset related to business interruption insurance proceeds that was received for claims associated with Hurricane Wilma in 2006, the sale of our corporate jet, and insurance proceeds we received associated with the ice storm damage at certain Missouri locations. Excluding these items would result in a comparable selling, general, and administrative expense reduction of \$33.3 million, or 13.4% and selling, general, and administrative expenses as a percent of revenue increased to 24.4% for the year ended September 30, 2008 from 19.8% for the year ended September 30, 2007. This increase in selling, general, and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue was primarily attributable to the reported same-store sales decline, which resulted in a reduction in our ability to leverage our expense structure.

Goodwill and intangible asset impairment. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, we were required to write-off our goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets as a result of the decline in our market valuation and the continuation of the difficult retail environment, as prescribed by ASC 350.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$6.8 million, or 25.2%, to \$20.2 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008 from \$27.0 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007. Interest expense as a percentage of revenue increased to 2.3% for fiscal 2008 from 2.1% for fiscal 2007. The decrease in interest expense was primarily a result of a more favorable interest rate environment in fiscal 2008, which accounted for a decrease of approximately \$6.6 million in interest expense.

Income Tax Provision. Income taxes decreased \$26.6 million to a benefit of \$19.2 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008 from an income tax expense of \$7.5 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007, primarily as a result of our pretax loss. The effective tax rate for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008 differed from previous periods primarily as a result of the recording of a non-cash valuation allowance that offsets the majority of income tax benefit that would have arisen from the goodwill and intangible asset impairment charge.

Quarterly Data and Seasonality

Our business, as well as the entire recreational boating industry, is highly seasonal, with seasonality varying in different geographic markets. With the exception of Florida, we generally realize significantly lower sales and higher levels of inventories, and related short-term borrowings, in the quarterly periods ending December 31 and March 31. The onset of the public boat and recreation shows in January stimulates boat sales and allows us to reduce our inventory levels and related short-term borrowings throughout the remainder of the fiscal year. Our business could become substantially more seasonal as we acquire dealers that operate in colder regions of the United States.

Our business is also subject to weather patterns, which may adversely affect our results of operations. For example, drought conditions (or merely reduced rainfall levels) or excessive rain may close area boating locations or render boating dangerous or inconvenient, thereby curtailing customer demand for our products. In addition, unseasonably cool weather and prolonged winter conditions may lead to a shorter selling season in certain locations. Hurricanes and other storms could result in disruptions of our operations or damage to our boat inventories and facilities, as has been the case when Florida and other markets were hit by hurricanes. Although our geographic diversity is likely to reduce the overall impact to us of adverse weather conditions in any one market area, these conditions will continue to represent potential, material adverse risks to us and our future financial performance.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our cash needs are primarily for working capital to support operations, including new and used boat and related parts inventories, off-season liquidity, and growth through acquisitions and new store openings. We regularly monitor the aging of our inventories and current market trends to evaluate our current and future inventory needs. We also use this evaluation in conjunction with our review of our current and expected operating performance and expected customer demand to determine the adequacy of our financing needs. These cash needs have historically been financed with cash generated from operations and borrowings under our line of credit facility. Our ability to utilize our credit facility to fund operations depends upon the collateral levels and compliance with the covenants of the credit facility. Turmoil in the credit markets and weakness in the retail markets may interfere with our ability to remain in compliance with the covenants of the credit facility and therefore utilize the credit facility to fund operations. At September 30, 2009, we were in compliance with all of the credit facility covenants. During the fiscal years ended September 30, 2008 and 2009, we did not complete any acquisitions. We currently depend upon dividends and other payments from our dealerships and our line of credit facility to fund our current operations and meet our cash needs. Currently, no agreements exist that restrict this flow of funds from our dealerships.

For the fiscal years ended September 30, 2007 and 2009, cash provided by operating activities approximated \$20.0 million and \$209.1 million, respectively. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, cash used in operating activities was approximately \$8.8 million. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007, cash provided by operating activities was due primarily to net income, adjusted for non-cash depreciation, amortization, and stock-based compensation charges, and increases in customer deposits and accrued expenses, partially offset by a decrease in accounts payable and an increase in inventories to ensure appropriate inventory levels. For the fiscal year ended

September 30, 2008, cash used in operating activities was due primarily to our net loss, a decrease in accounts payable because of reductions in purchases from our manufacturers, and a decrease in customer deposits due to a reduction in pending sales. These amounts were primarily offset by noncash charges, including the impairment of goodwill, stock-based compensation, and depreciation and amortization expense. The cash used in operating activities was further offset by reductions in inventories and accounts receivables due to the reduction in sales trends. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009, cash provided by operating activities was due primarily to the significant reduction of inventories.

For the fiscal years ended September 30, 2007, 2008, and 2009, cash used in investing activities was approximately \$9.4 million, \$7.9 million, and \$1.9 million, respectively. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007, cash used in investing activities was primarily used to purchase property and equipment associated with opening new retail facilities or improving and relocating existing retail facilities and in the finalization of certain business acquisitions, partially offset by proceeds received from the sale and involuntary conversion of property and equipment. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, cash used in investing activities was primarily used to purchase property and equipment associated with opening new retail facilities or improving and relocating existing retail facilities. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009, cash used in investing activities was primarily used to purchase property and equipment associated with improving and relocating existing retail facilities.

For the fiscal years ended September 30, 2007 and 2009, cash used in financing activities was approximately \$5.3 million and \$212.0 million, respectively. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, cash provided by financing activities was approximately \$16.5 million. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007, cash used in financing activities was primarily attributable to purchases of treasury stock and repayments of long-term debt, partially offset by proceeds from net borrowings on short-term borrowings as a result of increased inventory levels and proceeds from common shares issued upon the exercise of stock options and under the employee stock purchase plan. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, cash provided by financing activities was primarily attributable to a net increase in short-term borrowings, partially offset by repayments of long-term debt. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009, cash used by financing activities was primarily attributable to the repayments of short-term borrowings, partially offset by proceeds from our common stock sale.

We are party to a second amended and restated credit and security agreement, which has been amended on various occasions since its original execution in June 2006. As amended, our credit facility provides for a line of credit with asset-based borrowing availability up to approximately \$230 million, stepping down to \$175 million by September 30, 2010, with interim decreases between such dates. The amended facility has certain financial covenants as specified in the agreement. The covenants include provisions that our leverage ratio not exceed 2.75 to 1; that our current ratio must be greater than 1.25 to 1 or 1.20 to 1 depending on the time of year; and that our maximum EBITDA loss and annual EBITDA, both as defined in the agreement, comply with certain thresholds as described below. The EBITDA covenant requires that we do not exceed the allowable cumulative negative EBITDA, as defined in the agreement, for the first nine months of fiscal 2010, which is \$22 million as of December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2010 and \$15 million as of June 30, 2010. We are required to have a cumulative EBITDA greater than or equal to our interest expense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010. EBITDA, as defined in the agreement, is our earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization plus an add back for stock-based compensation expense and 50% of the proceeds of our September 2009 stock offering or approximately \$10 million. The amended facility provides for a variable interest rate margin of LIBOR plus 490 basis points through September 30, 2010 and thereafter at LIBOR plus 150 to 400 basis points, depending upon our financial and operating performance. We paid the lenders approximately \$2.4 million in amendment fees during fiscal 2009. The amended facility matures in May 2011, but includes two one-year renewal options, subject to lender approval.

As of September 30, 2008 and 2009, we owed an aggregate of \$372 million and \$142 million, respectively, under our revolving credit facility and were in compliance with all of the credit facility covenants. Advances under the facility accrued interest at a rate of 4.0% and 5.2%, as of September 30, 2008 and 2009, respectively. All indebtedness associated with our real estate holdings were repaid during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008. As of September 30, 2009, the facility provided us with an additional borrowing availability of approximately \$60 million.

Except as specified in this “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition, and Results of Operations” and in our consolidated financial statements, we have no material commitments for capital for the next

12 months. We believe that our existing capital resources will be sufficient to finance our operations for at least the next 12 months, except for possible significant acquisitions.

Contractual Commitments and Commercial Commitments

The following table sets forth a summary of our material contractual obligations and commercial commitments as of September 30, 2009:

<u>Year Ending September 30,</u>	<u>Short-Term Borrowings(1)</u>	<u>Other Long-Term Liabilities(2)</u>	<u>Operating Leases(3)</u>	<u>Total</u>
	(Amounts in thousands)			
2010	\$ 142,000	\$ —	\$ 7,401	\$149,401
2011	—	3,831	6,671	10,502
2012	—	—	5,104	5,104
2013	—	—	3,674	3,674
2014	—	—	2,660	2,660
Thereafter	—	—	6,232	6,232
Total	<u>\$ 142,000</u>	<u>\$ 3,831</u>	<u>\$ 31,742</u>	<u>\$177,573</u>

- (1) Estimates of future interest payments for short-term borrowings have been excluded in the tabular presentation. Amounts due are contingent upon the outstanding balances and the variable interest rates. As of September 30, 2009, the interest rate on our short-term borrowings was 5.2%.
- (2) The amounts included in other long-term liabilities consist primarily of our estimated liability for claims on certain workers' compensation insurance policies. While we estimate the amount to be paid in excess of 12 months, the ultimate timing of the payments is subject to certain variability. Accordingly, we have classified all amounts as due in the following year for the purposes of this table.
- (3) Amounts for operating lease commitments do not include certain operating expenses such as maintenance, insurance, and real estate taxes. These amounts are not a material component of operating expenses.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any transactions, arrangements, or other relationships with unconsolidated entities that are reasonably likely to affect our financial condition, liquidity, or capital resources. We have no special purpose or limited purpose entities that provide off-balance sheet financing, liquidity, or market or credit risk support; we do not engage in leasing, hedging, or research and development services; and we do not have other relationships that expose us to liability that is not reflected in the financial statements.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

At September 30, 2009, all of our short-term debt bore interest at a variable rate, tied to LIBOR as a reference rate. Changes in the underlying LIBOR interest rate or the spread charged under our performance pricing grid on our short-term debt could affect our earnings. For example, the 100 basis point increase in the interest rate on our short-term debt, as defined in our June 2009 amendment, is projected to result in an increase of approximately \$1.4 million in annual pre-tax interest expense. This estimated increase is based upon the outstanding balance of our short-term debt as of September 30, 2009 and assumes no mitigating changes by us to reduce the outstanding balances, no additional interest assistance that could be received from vendors due to the interest rate increase, and no changes in the base LIBOR rate.

Products purchased from Italian-based manufacturers are subject to fluctuations in the euro to U.S. dollar exchange rate, which ultimately may impact the retail price at which we can sell such products. Accordingly, fluctuations in the value of the euro as compared with the U.S. dollar may impact the price points at which we can profitably sell Italian products, and such price points may not be competitive with other product lines in the United States. Accordingly, such fluctuations in exchange rates ultimately may impact the amount of revenue, cost of goods sold, cash flows, and earnings we recognize for Italian product lines. We cannot predict the effects of

exchange rate fluctuations on our operating results. In certain cases, we may enter into foreign currency cash flow hedges to reduce the variability of cash flows associated with forecasted purchases of boats and yachts from Italian-based manufacturers. We are not currently engaged in foreign currency exchange hedging transactions to manage our foreign currency exposure. If and when we do engage in foreign currency exchange hedging transactions, we cannot assure that our strategies will adequately protect our operating results from the effects of exchange rate fluctuations.

Item 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*

Reference is made to the financial statements, the notes thereto, and the report thereon, commencing on of this report, which financial statement, notes, and report are incorporated herein by reference.

Item 9. *Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure*

Not applicable.

Item 9A. *Controls and Procedures*

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that material information required to be disclosed by us in Securities Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, such officers have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Controls

During the quarter ended September 30, 2009, there were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that materially affected, or were reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our CEO and CFO, does not expect that our disclosure controls and internal controls will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, a control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

CEO and CFO Certifications

Exhibits 31.1 and 31.2 are the Certifications of the CEO and the CFO, respectively. The Certifications are required in accordance with Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the Section 302 Certifications). This Item of this report, which you are currently reading is the information concerning the Evaluation referred to in the Section 302 Certifications and this information should be read in conjunction with the Section 302 Certifications for a more complete understanding of the topics presented.

Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Under the supervision and with the participation of the Company’s management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, the Company conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2009 as required by the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rule 13a-15(c). In making this assessment, the Company used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework*. Based on its evaluation, management concluded that its internal control over financial reporting was effective as of September 30, 2009.

Our internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2009 has been audited by Ernst and Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears below.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of
MarineMax, Inc.

We have audited MarineMax, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). MarineMax, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying management's report on internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, MarineMax, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2009, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of MarineMax, Inc. as of September 30, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2009 of MarineMax, Inc. and our report dated December 14, 2009 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Certified Public Accountants
Tampa, Florida
December 14, 2009

PART III

Item 10. *Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance*

The information required by this Item relating to our directors is incorporated herein by reference to the definitive Proxy Statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Exchange Act for our 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The information required by this Item relating to our executive officers included in “Business — Executive Officers.”

Item 11. *Executive Compensation*

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the definitive Proxy Statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Exchange Act for our 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Item 12. *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters*

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the definitive Proxy Statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Exchange Act for our 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Item 13. *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence*

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the definitive Proxy Statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Exchange Act for our 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Item 14. *Principal Accountant Fees and Services*

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the definitive Proxy Statement to be filled pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Exchange Act for our 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules**(a) Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules**

(1) Financial Statements are listed in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements on page F-1 of this report.

(2) No financial statement schedules are included because such schedules are not applicable, are not required, or because required information is included in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

(b) Exhibits

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Exhibit</u>
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant, including all amendments to date(1)
3.2	Second Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant(13)
3.3	Certificate of Designation of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock(1)
4.1	Specimen of Common Stock Certificate(1)
4.2	Rights Agreement, dated August 28, 2001 between Registrant and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, as Rights Agent(2)
10.1(k)	Asset Purchase Agreement dated as of March 30, 2006 among MarineMax of New York, Inc.; Surfside-3 Marina, Inc.; Matthew Barbara, Paul Barbara, Diane Keeney, and Angela Chianese; and certain affiliates of Surfside-3 Marina, Inc. (Form 10-Q filed May 10, 2006)(3)
10.3(h)	Employment Agreement between Registrant and William H. McGill Jr.(4)
10.3(i)	Employment Agreement between Registrant and Michael H. McLamb(4)
10.3(j)	Employment Agreement between Registrant and Edward A. Russell(4)
10.4	1998 Incentive Stock Plan, as amended through November 15, 2000(5)
10.5	1998 Employee Stock Purchase Plan(6)
10.12	Agreement Relating to Acquisitions between Registrant and Brunswick Corporation, dated December 7, 2005(9)
10.18†	Hatteras Sales and Service Agreement, effective August 1, 2006 among the Registrant, MarineMax Motor Yachts, LLC, and Hatteras Yachts Division of Brunswick Corporation(7)
10.19	Second Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement dated June 19, 2006 among the Registrant and its subsidiaries as Borrowers, Keybank Bank, N.A., Bank of America, N.A., and various other lenders, as Lenders(8)
10.20	Agreement Relating to Acquisitions between Registrant and Brunswick Corporation, dated December 7, 2005(9)
10.20(a)	Sea Ray Sales and Service Agreement(9)
10.21†	Second Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement dated June 19, 2006 among the Registrant and its subsidiaries, as Borrowers, and Bank of America, N.A., KeyBank, N.A., General Electric Commercial Distribution Finance Corporation, Wachovia Bank, N.A., Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., National City Bank, N.A., U.S. Bank, N.A., and Branch Banking and Trust company, as Lenders (8)
10.21(a)†	First Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement executed on June 5, 2007 effective as of May 31, 2007 among the Registrant and its subsidiaries, as Borrowers, and Bank of America, N.A., KeyBank, N.A., General Electric Commercial Distribution Finance Corporation, Branch Banking and Trust Company, as Lenders(10)
10.21(b)†	Third Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement executed on March 7, 2008, among MarineMax, Inc. and its subsidiaries, as Borrowers, and Bank of America, N.A., Keybank, N.A., General Electric Commercial Distribution Finance Corporation, Wachovia Bank, N.A., Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., U.S. Bank, N.A., Branch Banking and Trust Company, and Bank of the West, as Lenders(14)

Table of Contents

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Exhibit</u>
10.21(c)†	Fourth Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement executed on December 15, 2008, by and among MarineMax, Inc. and its subsidiaries, as Borrowers, and Bank of America, N.A., Keybank, N.A., GE Commercial Distribution Finance Corporation, Wachovia Bank, N.A., Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., U.S. Bank, N.A., Branch Banking & Trust Company, and Bank of the West, as Lenders(15)
10.21(d)†	Fifth Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement executed on June 5, 2009, by and among MarineMax, Inc. and its subsidiaries, as Borrowers, and Bank of America, N.A., Keybank, N.A., GE Commercial Distribution Finance Corporation, Wachovia Bank, N.A., Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., U.S. Bank, N.A., Branch Banking & Trust Company, and Bank of the West, as Lenders(16)
10.21(e)†	Sixth Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement executed on September 10, 2009, by and among MarineMax, Inc. and its subsidiaries, as Borrowers, and Bank of America, N.A., Keybank, N.A., GE Commercial Distribution Finance Corporation, Wachovia Bank, N.A., Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., U.S. Bank, N.A., Branch Banking & Trust Company, and Bank of the West, as Lenders
10.22	MarineMax, Inc. 2007 Incentive Compensation Plan(11)
10.23	Form Stock Option Agreement for 2007 Incentive Compensation Plan(11)
10.24	Form Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement for 2007 Incentive Compensation Plan(11)
10.25	Director Fee Share Purchase Program(12)
21	List of Subsidiaries
23	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a), promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a), promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
32.1	Certification pursuant to U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

† Certain information in this exhibit has been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Confidential treatment has been requested with respect to the omitted portions.

- (1) Incorporated by reference to Registration Statement on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2001, as filed on December 20, 2001.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 8-K Report dated September 30, 1998, as filed on October 20, 1998.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, as filed on May 10, 2006.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 8-K, as filed on June 13, 2006.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2001, as filed on February 14, 2002.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration 333-47873).
- (7) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-Q/A for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2007, as filed on September 23, 2008.
- (8) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006, as filed on August 4, 2006.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 8-K as filed on December 9, 2005.
- (10) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 8-K as filed on June 11, 2007.

Table of Contents

- (11) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 8-K as filed on March 6, 2007.
- (12) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form S-8 (File No. 333-141657) as filed March 29, 2007.
- (13) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 8-K as filed on November 26, 2008.
- (14) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's form 8-K as filed on March 12, 2008.
- (15) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2008, as filed on February 9, 2009.
- (16) Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 3, 2009, as filed on June 3, 2009.

(c) Financial Statements Schedules

- (1) See Item 15(a) above.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

MARINEMAX, INC.

/s/ WILLIAM H. MCGILL JR.

William H. McGill Jr.
Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Date: December 14, 2009

In accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated have signed this report below.

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Date</u>
/s/ WILLIAM H. MCGILL JR. William H. McGill Jr.	Chairman of the Board, President, and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	December 14, 2009
/s/ MICHAEL H. MCLAMB Michael H. McLamb	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Secretary, and Director (Principal Accounting and Financial Officer)	December 14, 2009
/s/ HILLIARD M. EURE III Hilliard M. Eure III	Director	December 14, 2009
/s/ JOHN B. FURMAN John B. Furman	Director	December 14, 2009
/s/ ROBERT S. KANT Robert S. Kant	Director	December 14, 2009
/s/ RUSSELL J. KNITTEL Russell J. Knittel	Director	December 14, 2009
/s/ JOSEPH A. WATTERS Joseph A. Watters	Director	December 14, 2009
/s/ DEAN S. WOODMAN Dean S. Woodman	Director	December 14, 2009

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	<u>Page</u>
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Report of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-8

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of
MarineMax, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of MarineMax, Inc. and subsidiaries as of September 30, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2009. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of MarineMax, Inc. and subsidiaries at September 30, 2009 and 2008, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2009, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note 3 to the financial statements, in 2008 the Company changed its method for accounting for income tax uncertainties.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), MarineMax, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated December 14, 2009 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Certified Public Accountants
Tampa, Florida
December 14, 2009

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Amounts in thousands except share and per share data)

	September 30, 2008	September 30, 2009
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 30,264	\$ 25,508
Accounts receivable, net	28,931	35,497
Income tax receivable	6,744	9,983
Inventories, net	468,629	205,934
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	7,949	12,314
Deferred tax assets	307	—
Total current assets	542,824	289,236
Property and equipment, net	113,869	102,316
Other long-term assets	3,424	2,092
Deferred tax assets	1,206	—
Total assets	<u>\$ 661,323</u>	<u>\$ 393,644</u>
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable	\$ 4,481	\$ 15,847
Customer deposits	6,505	4,882
Accrued expenses	25,380	29,328
Short-term borrowings	372,000	142,000
Total current liabilities	408,366	192,057
Other long-term liabilities	4,374	3,831
Total liabilities	412,740	195,888
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES		
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:		
Preferred stock, \$.001 par value, 1,000,000 shares authorized, none issued or outstanding at September 30, 2008 and 2009	—	—
Common stock, \$.001 par value; 24,000,000 shares authorized, 19,215,387 and 22,496,659 shares issued and 18,424,487 and 21,705,759 shares outstanding at September 30, 2008 and 2009, respectively	19	22
Additional paid-in capital	178,830	204,772
Retained earnings	85,544	8,772
Treasury stock, at cost, 790,900 shares held at September 30, 2008 and 2009	(15,810)	(15,810)
Total stockholders' equity	248,583	197,756
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$ 661,323</u>	<u>\$ 393,644</u>

See accompanying notes.

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Amounts in thousands except share and per share data)

	For the Year Ended September 30,		
	2007	2008	2009
Revenue	\$ 1,255,985	\$ 885,407	\$ 588,585
Cost of sales	956,251	679,164	499,925
Gross profit	299,734	206,243	88,660
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	245,224	217,426	159,998
Goodwill and intangible asset impairment charge	—	122,091	—
Income (loss) from operations	54,510	(133,274)	(71,338)
Interest expense	26,955	20,164	14,064
Income (loss) before income tax provision (benefit)	27,555	(153,438)	(85,402)
Income tax provision (benefit)	7,486	(19,161)	(8,630)
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ 20,069</u>	<u>\$ (134,277)</u>	<u>\$ (76,772)</u>
Basic net income (loss) per common share	<u>\$ 1.08</u>	<u>\$ (7.30)</u>	<u>\$ (4.11)</u>
Diluted net income (loss) per common share	<u>\$ 1.04</u>	<u>\$ (7.30)</u>	<u>\$ (4.11)</u>
Weighted average number of common shares used in computing net income (loss) per common share:			
Basic	<u>18,618,611</u>	<u>18,391,488</u>	<u>18,685,423</u>
Diluted	<u>19,289,231</u>	<u>18,391,488</u>	<u>18,685,423</u>

See accompanying notes.

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Amounts in thousands)

	<u>For the Year Ended September 30,</u>		
	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>
Net income (loss)	\$20,069	\$(134,277)	\$(76,772)
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Change in fair market value of derivative instruments, net of tax benefit of \$300 and \$228 for the years ended September 30, 2007 and 2008, respectively	(379)	(365)	—
Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income, net of tax of \$62 and \$211 for the years ended September 30, 2007 and 2008, respectively	(100)	337	—
Comprehensive income (loss)	<u>\$19,590</u>	<u>\$(134,305)</u>	<u>\$(76,772)</u>

See accompanying notes.

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
(Amounts in thousands except share data)

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Treasury Stock	Total Stockholders' Equity
	Shares	Amount					
BALANCE, September 30, 2006	18,529,524	\$ 19	\$156,618	\$ 200,306	\$ 507	\$ (7,563)	\$ 349,887
Net income	—	—	—	20,069	—	—	20,069
Purchase of treasury stock	(383,300)	—	—	—	—	(7,212)	(7,212)
Shares issued under employee stock purchase plan	78,665	—	1,631	—	—	—	1,631
Shares issued upon exercise of stock options	149,780	—	1,587	—	—	—	1,587
Stock-based compensation	5,195	—	7,307	—	—	—	7,307
Tax benefits of options exercised	—	—	769	—	—	—	769
Change in fair market value of derivative instruments, net of tax	—	—	—	—	(479)	—	(479)
BALANCE, September 30, 2007	18,379,864	19	167,912	220,375	28	(14,775)	373,559
Net loss	—	—	—	(134,277)	—	—	(134,277)
Purchase of treasury stock	(71,300)	—	—	—	—	(1,035)	(1,035)
Shares issued under employee stock purchase plan	105,390	—	1,207	—	—	—	1,207
Shares issued upon exercise of stock options	102,352	—	1,024	—	—	—	1,024
Stock-based compensation	8,181	—	8,464	—	—	—	8,464
Tax benefits of options exercised	—	—	223	—	—	—	223
Cumulative effect of adoption of FIN 48	—	—	—	(554)	—	—	(554)
Conversion of restricted stock awards to restricted stock units	(100,000)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Change in fair market value of derivative instruments, net of tax	—	—	—	—	(28)	—	(28)
BALANCE, September 30, 2008	18,424,487	19	178,830	85,544	—	(15,810)	248,583
Net loss	—	—	—	(76,772)	—	—	(76,772)
Shares issued under employee stock purchase plan	198,298	—	630	—	—	—	630
Shares issued upon vesting of equity awards	45,407	—	74	—	—	—	74
Shares issued upon exercise of stock options	20,554	—	62	—	—	—	62
Issuance of common stock	2,990,000	3	19,611	—	—	—	19,614
Stock-based compensation	27,013	—	5,565	—	—	—	5,565
BALANCE, September 30, 2009	21,705,759	\$ 22	\$204,772	\$ 8,772	\$ —	\$ (15,810)	\$ 197,756

See accompanying notes.

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Amounts in thousands)

	For the Year Ended September 30,		
	2007	2008	2009
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 20,069	\$(134,277)	\$ (76,772)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Goodwill and intangible asset impairment	—	122,091	—
Depreciation and amortization	9,350	11,090	10,969
Deferred income tax provision (benefit)	(1,367)	(6,303)	1,513
Loss (gain) on sale of property and equipment	(1,030)	259	(64)
Gain on involuntary conversion of property and equipment	(613)	—	—
Stock-based compensation expense	7,307	8,464	5,565
Tax benefits of options exercised	769	223	—
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	160	492
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	(546)	(169)	—
(Increase) decrease in —			
Accounts receivable, net	256	28,402	(6,566)
Income tax receivable	—	(6,744)	(3,239)
Inventories, net	(15,192)	9,410	262,695
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(1,172)	2,633	1,362
Increase (decrease) in —			
Accounts payable	(17,418)	(16,749)	11,366
Customer deposits	16,250	(26,915)	(1,623)
Accrued expenses	3,332	(361)	3,405
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	<u>19,995</u>	<u>(8,786)</u>	<u>209,103</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Purchases of property and equipment	(9,507)	(7,969)	(2,101)
Net cash used in acquisitions of businesses, net assets, and intangible assets	(4,847)	—	—
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	2,915	112	240
Proceeds from involuntary conversion of property and equipment	2,007	—	—
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(9,432)</u>	<u>(7,857)</u>	<u>(1,861)</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Repayments of long-term debt	(6,353)	(30,833)	—
Net (repayments) borrowings on short-term borrowings	4,500	46,000	(230,000)
Purchases of treasury stock	(7,212)	(1,035)	—
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	546	169	—
Debt modification costs	—	—	(2,378)
Net proceeds from issuance of common stock	—	—	19,614
Net proceeds from issuance of common stock under option and employee purchase plans	3,218	2,231	766
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	<u>(5,301)</u>	<u>16,532</u>	<u>(211,998)</u>
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS:	5,262	(111)	(4,756)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period	25,113	30,375	30,264
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	<u>\$ 30,375</u>	<u>\$ 30,264</u>	<u>\$ 25,508</u>

See accompanying notes.

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. COMPANY BACKGROUND AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION:

We are the largest recreational boat retailer in the United States. We engage primarily in the retail sale, brokerage, and service of new and used boats, motors, trailers, marine parts, and accessories and offer slip and storage accommodations in certain locations. In addition, we arrange related boat financing, insurance, and extended service contracts. As of September 30, 2009, we operated through 55 retail locations in 18 states, consisting of Alabama, Arizona, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Maryland, Minnesota, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, Tennessee, and Texas.

We are the nation's largest retailer of Sea Ray, Boston Whaler, Cabo, Hatteras, and Meridian recreational boats and yachts, all of which are manufactured by Brunswick Corporation (Brunswick). Sales of new Brunswick boats accounted for approximately 51% of our revenue in fiscal 2009. Brunswick is the world's largest manufacturer of marine products and marine engines. We believe we represented approximately 6% of all Brunswick marine sales, including approximately 31% of its Sea Ray boat sales, during our 2009 fiscal year.

We have dealership agreements with Sea Ray, Boston Whaler, Cabo, Hatteras, Meridian, and Mercury Marine, all subsidiaries or divisions of Brunswick. We also have dealer agreements with Azimut. These agreements allow us to purchase, stock, sell, and service these manufacturers' boats and products. These agreements also allow us to use these manufacturers' names, trade symbols, and intellectual properties in our operations.

We are party to a multi-year dealer agreement with Brunswick covering Sea Ray products that appoints us as the exclusive dealer of Sea Ray boats in our geographic markets. We are party to a dealer agreement with Hatteras Yachts that gives us the exclusive right to sell Hatteras Yachts throughout the states of Florida (excluding the Florida panhandle), New Jersey, New York, and Texas. We are also the exclusive dealer for Cabo Yachts throughout the states of Florida, New Jersey, and New York through a dealer agreement. We are also the exclusive dealer for Italy-based Azimut-Benetti Group's product line Azimut Yachts for the Northeast United States from Maryland to Maine and for the state of Florida through a multi-year dealer agreement. We believe the non-Brunswick brands offer a migration for our existing customer base or fill a void in our product offerings, and accordingly, do not compete with the business generated from our other prominent brands.

As is typical in the industry, we deal with manufacturers, other than Sea Ray and Azimut Yachts, under renewable annual dealer agreements, each of which gives us the right to sell various makes and models of boats within a given geographic region. Any change or termination of these agreements, or the agreements discussed above, for any reason, or changes in competitive, regulatory, or marketing practices, including rebate or incentive programs, could adversely affect our results of operations. Although there are a limited number of manufacturers of the type of boats and products that we sell, we believe that adequate alternative sources would be available to replace any manufacturer other than Brunswick as a product source. These alternative sources may not be available at the time of any interruption, and alternative products may not be available at comparable terms, which could affect operating results adversely.

General economic conditions and consumer spending patterns can negatively impact our operating results. Unfavorable local, regional, national, or global economic developments or uncertainties regarding future economic prospects could reduce consumer spending in the markets we serve and adversely affect our business. Economic conditions in areas in which we operate dealerships, particularly Florida in which we generated 44%, 43%, and 45% of our revenue during fiscal 2007, 2008, and 2009, respectively, can have a major impact on our operations. Local influences, such as corporate downsizing and military base closings, also could adversely affect our operations in certain markets.

In an economic downturn, consumer discretionary spending levels generally decline, at times resulting in disproportionately large reductions in the sale of luxury goods. Consumer spending on luxury goods also may decline as a result of lower consumer confidence levels, even if prevailing economic conditions are favorable. Although we have expanded our operations during periods of stagnant or modestly declining industry trends, the cyclical nature of the recreational boating industry or the lack of industry growth could adversely affect our

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

business, financial condition, or results of operations in the future. Any period of adverse economic conditions or low consumer confidence has a negative effect on our business.

Lower consumer spending resulting from a downturn in the housing market and other economic factors adversely affected our business in fiscal 2007 and continued weakness in consumer spending resulting from substantial weakness in the financial markets and deteriorating economic conditions had a very substantial negative effect on our business in fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2009. These conditions caused us to defer our acquisition program, delay new store openings, reduce our inventory purchases, engage in inventory reduction efforts, close some of our retail locations, reduce our headcount, and amend our credit facility. We cannot predict the length or severity of these unfavorable economic or financial conditions or the extent to which they will adversely affect our operating results nor can we predict the effectiveness of the measures we have taken to address this environment or whether additional measures will be necessary.

In order to provide comparability between periods presented, certain amounts have been reclassified from the previously reported consolidated financial statements to conform to the consolidated financial statement presentation of the current period. The consolidated financial statements include our accounts and the accounts of our subsidiaries, all of which are wholly owned. All significant intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated.

2. ACQUISITIONS:

We were incorporated in Delaware in January 1998 and commenced operations with the acquisition of five independent recreational boat dealers on March 1, 1998. Since the initial acquisitions, we have acquired 20 recreational boat dealers, two boat brokerage operations, and two full-service yacht repair facilities. As a part of our acquisition strategy, we frequently engage in discussions with various recreational boat dealers regarding their potential acquisition by us. Potential acquisition discussions frequently take place over a long period of time and involve difficult business integration and other issues, including, in some cases, management succession and related matters. As a result of these and other factors, a number of potential acquisitions that from time to time appear likely to occur do not result in binding legal agreements and are not consummated. We did not complete any significant acquisitions during the fiscal years ended September 30, 2008 and 2009.

3. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

Statements of Cash Flows

For purposes of the consolidated statements of cash flows, we consider all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

We made interest payments of approximately \$26.6 million, \$20.6 million, and \$14.5 million for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2007, 2008, and 2009, respectively, including interest on debt to finance our real estate holdings and inventory. We made income tax payments of approximately \$26.0 million and \$2.6 million for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2007 and 2008, respectively. No income tax payments have been made for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009.

Vendor Consideration Received

We account for consideration received from our vendors in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification 605, "Revenue Recognition, Customer Payments and Incentives" (ASC 605), previously referred to as Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 02-16, "Accounting by a Customer (Including a Reseller) for Certain Consideration Received from a Vendor." ASC 605 most significantly requires us to classify interest assistance received from manufacturers as a reduction of inventory cost and related cost of sales as opposed to netting the assistance against our interest expense incurred with our lenders. Pursuant to ASC 605, amounts received by us under our co-op assistance programs from our manufacturers are netted against related advertising expenses.

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Inventories

Inventory costs consist of the amount paid to acquire the inventory, net of vendor consideration and purchase discounts, the cost of equipment added, reconditioning costs, and transportation costs relating to acquiring inventory for sale. We state new boat, motor, and trailer inventories at the lower of cost, determined on a specific-identification basis, or market. We state used boat, motor, and trailer inventories, including trade-in's, at the lower of cost, determined on a specific-identification basis, or market. We state parts and accessories at the lower of cost, determined on an average cost basis, or market. We utilize our historical experience, the aging of the inventories, and our consideration of current market trends as the basis for determining lower of cost or market valuation allowance. As of September 30, 2008 and 2009, our lower of cost or market valuation allowance was \$5.1 million and \$17.7 million, respectively. During the fiscal 2009, we incurred losses and increased reserves for expected losses associated with market declines in brands we no longer carry by approximately \$12.6 million. If events occur and market conditions change, causing the fair value to fall below carrying value, the lower of cost or market valuation allowance could increase.

Property and Equipment

We record property and equipment at cost, net of accumulated depreciation, and depreciate property and equipment over their estimated useful lives using the straight-line method. We capitalize and amortize leasehold improvements over the lesser of the life of the lease or the estimated useful life of the asset. Useful lives for purposes of computing depreciation are as follows:

	<u>Years</u>
Buildings and improvements	5-40
Machinery and equipment	3-10
Furniture and fixtures	5-10
Vehicles	3-5

We remove the cost of property and equipment sold or retired and the related accumulated depreciation from the accounts at the time of disposition and include any resulting gain or loss in the consolidated statements of operations. We charge maintenance, repairs, and minor replacements to operations as incurred; and we capitalize and amortize major replacements and improvements over their useful lives.

Valuation of Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

We account for goodwill and identifiable intangible assets in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification 350, "Intangibles — Goodwill and Other" (ASC 350), previously referred to as Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." Under this standard, we assess the impairment of goodwill and identifiable intangible assets at least annually and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. The first step in the assessment is the estimation of fair value. If step one indicates that impairment potentially exists, we perform the second step to measure the amount of impairment, if any. Goodwill and identifiable intangible asset impairment exists when the estimated fair value is less than its carrying value.

During the three months ended June 30, 2008, we experienced a significant decline in market valuation driven primarily by weakness in the marine retail industry and an overall soft economy, which hindered our financial performance. Accordingly, we completed a step one analysis (as noted above) and estimated the fair value of the reporting unit as prescribed by ASC 350, which indicated potential impairment. As a result, we completed a fair value analysis of indefinite lived intangible assets and a step two goodwill impairment analysis, as required by ASC 350. We determined that indefinite lived intangible assets and goodwill were impaired and recorded a non-cash charge of \$121.1 million based on our assessment. We will not be required to make any current or future cash expenditures as a result of this impairment charge.

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

FASB Accounting Standards Codification 360-10-40, “Property, Plant, and Equipment, Impairment of Disposal of Long-Lived Assets” (ASC 360-10-40), previously referred to as Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, “Accounting for Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets,” requires that long-lived assets, such as property and equipment and purchased intangibles subject to amortization, be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of the asset is measured by comparison of its carrying amount to undiscounted future net cash flows the asset is expected to generate. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its fair market value. Estimates of expected future cash flows represent our best estimate based on currently available information and reasonable and supportable assumptions. Any impairment recognized in accordance with ASC 360-10-40 is permanent and may not be restored. As of September 30, 2009, we had not recognized any impairment of long-lived assets in connection with ASC 360-10-40 based on our reviews.

Customer Deposits

Customer deposits primarily include amounts received from customers toward the purchase of boats. We recognize these deposits as revenue upon delivery or acceptance of the related boats to customers.

Insurance

We retain varying levels of risk relating to the insurance policies we maintain, most significantly workers’ compensation insurance and employee medical benefits. We are responsible for the claims and losses incurred under these programs, limited by per occurrence deductibles and paid claims or losses up to pre-determined maximum exposure limits. Our third-party insurance carriers pay any losses above the pre-determined exposure limits. We estimate our liability for incurred but not reported losses using our historical loss experience, our judgment, and industry information.

Derivative Instruments

We account for derivative instruments in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification 815, “Derivatives and Hedging” (ASC 815), previously referred to as Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, “Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities,” Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 138, “Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activity, an Amendment of SFAS 133” and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 149, “Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities”. Under these standards, all derivative instruments are recorded on the balance sheet at their respective fair values.

We utilize certain derivative instruments, from time to time, including interest rate swaps and forward contracts, to manage variability in cash flows associated with interest rates and forecasted purchases of boats and yachts from certain of our foreign suppliers in euros. At September 30, 2008 and 2009, no such instruments were outstanding.

When utilized we designate and account for our forward contracts and interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges (i.e., hedging the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows that is attributable to a particular risk). ASC 815 provides that the effective portion of the gain or loss on a derivative instrument designated and qualifying as a cash flow hedging instrument must be reported as a component of other comprehensive income and must be reclassified into earnings in the same line item in the income statement as the hedged item in the same period or periods during which the transaction affects earnings. We recognize the ineffective portion of the gain or loss on these derivative instruments, if any, in other income/expense in current earnings during the period of change.

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

For derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments, we recognize the gain or loss in other income/expense in current earnings during the period of change. When a cash flow hedge is terminated, if the forecasted hedged transaction is still probable of occurrence, amounts previously recorded in other comprehensive income remain in other comprehensive income and are recognized in earnings in the period in which the hedged transaction affects earnings.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue from boat, motor, and trailer sales and parts and service operations at the time the boat, motor, trailer, or part is delivered to or accepted by the customer or service is completed. We recognize commissions earned from a brokerage sale at the time the related brokerage transaction closes. We recognize revenue from slip and storage services on a straight-line basis over the term of the slip or storage agreement. We recognize commissions earned by us for placing notes with financial institutions in connection with customer boat financing when we recognize the related boat sales. We recognize marketing fees earned on credit life, accident and disability, and hull insurance products sold by third-party insurance companies at the later of customer acceptance of the insurance product as evidenced by contract execution or when we recognize the related boat sale. Pursuant to negotiated agreements with financial and insurance institutions, we are charged back for a portion of these fees should the customer terminate or default on the related finance or insurance contract before it is outstanding for a stipulated minimal period of time. We base the chargeback allowance, which was not material to the consolidated financial statements taken as a whole as of September 30, 2008 or 2009, on our experience with repayments or defaults on the related finance or insurance contracts.

We also recognize commissions earned on extended warranty service contracts sold on behalf of third-party insurance companies at the later of customer acceptance of the service contract terms as evidenced by contract execution or recognition of the related boat sale. We are charged back for a portion of these commissions should the customer terminate or default on the service contract prior to its scheduled maturity. We determine the chargeback allowance, which was not material to the consolidated financial statements taken as a whole as of September 30, 2008 or 2009, based upon our experience with repayments or defaults on the service contracts.

The following table sets forth percentages of our revenue generated by certain products and services, for each of last three years.

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>
New boat sales	68.2%	63.5%	60.7%
Used boat sales	18.8%	20.5%	22.5%
Maintenance and repair services	5.0%	6.6%	7.9%
Finance and insurance products	3.6%	3.6%	2.7%
Parts and accessories	3.2%	4.4%	5.0%
Brokerage services	1.2%	1.4%	1.2%
Total Revenue	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

Stock-Based Compensation

Effective October 1, 2005, we adopted the provisions of FASB Accounting Standards Codification 718, "Compensation — Stock Compensation" (ASC 718), previously referred to as Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123R, "Share-Based Payment," for our share-based compensation plans. We adopted ASC 718 using the modified prospective transition method. Under this transition method, compensation cost recognized includes (a) the compensation cost for all share-based awards granted prior to, but not yet vested, as of October 1, 2005, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of ASC 718 and (b) the compensation cost for all share-based awards granted subsequent to September 30, 2005, based on the grant-date

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of ASC 718. Additionally, we accounted for restricted stock awards granted using the measurement and recognition provisions of ASC 718. We measure the fair value of the restricted stock awards on the grant date and recognize them in earnings over the requisite service period for each separately vesting portion of the award.

Advertising and Promotional Costs

We expense advertising and promotional costs as incurred and include them in selling, general, and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. Pursuant to ASC 605-50, we net amounts received by us under our co-op assistance programs from our manufacturers against the related advertising expenses. Total advertising and promotional expenses approximated \$19.6 million, \$19.3 million, and \$9.4 million, net of related co-op assistance of approximately \$1.2 million, \$700,000, and \$526,000, for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2007, 2008, and 2009, respectively.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification 740, "Income Taxes" (ASC 740), previously referred to as Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes," and Financial Accounting Standard Board Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes." Under ASC 740, we recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future tax consequences attributable to temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. We measure deferred tax assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which we expect those temporary differences to be recovered or settled. We record valuation allowances to reduce our deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized by considering all available positive and negative evidence.

Pursuant to ASC 740, we must consider all positive and negative evidence regarding the realization of deferred tax assets, including past operating results and future sources of taxable income. Under the provisions of ASC 740-10, we determined that our net deferred tax asset needed to be fully reserved given recent earnings and industry trends.

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, also included in ASC 740. The Interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements and prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attributes of income tax positions taken or expected to be taken on a tax return. Under FIN 48, the impact of an uncertain tax position taken or expected to be taken on an income tax return must be recognized in the financial statements at the largest amount that is more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon audit by the relevant taxing authority. An uncertain income tax position will not be recognized in the financial statements unless it is more likely than not of being sustained.

See Note 22 — "Subsequent Events" for the disclosure regarding a tax law enacted after the balance sheet date and prior to the issuance of the financial statements.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued FASB Accounting Standards Codification 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures" (ASC 820), previously referred to as Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements." ASC 820 defines fair value, applies to other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. ASC 820 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption ASC 820 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

In February 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued FASB Accounting Standards Codification 825, “Financial Instruments” (ASC 825), previously referred to as Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, “Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities,” which permits an entity to measure certain financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value. ASC 825 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The adoption ASC 825 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued FASB Accounting Standards Codification 805, “Business Combinations” (ASC 805), previously referred to as Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141R “Business Combinations.” ASC 805 will require, among other things, the expensing of direct transaction costs, in process research and development to be capitalized, certain contingent assets and liabilities to be recognized at fair value and earn-out arrangements may be required to be measured at fair value recognized each period in earnings. In addition, certain material adjustments will be required to be made to purchase accounting entries at the initial acquisition date and will cause revisions to previously issued financial information in subsequent filings. ASC 805 is effective for transactions occurring after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008 and may have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results from operations and cash flows should we enter into a material business combination after the standards effective date.

In March 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued FASB Accounting Standards Codification 815, “Derivatives and Hedging” (ASC 815), previously referred to as Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161, “Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities — An Amendment to SFAS 133” (SFAS 161). SFAS 161 applies to all derivative instruments accounted for under ASC 815 and requires entities to provide greater transparency on how and why entities use derivative instruments, how derivative instruments are accounted for under ASC 815, and the effect the derivative instruments may have on the results of operations and cash flows. ASC 815 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. Since ASC 815 only applies to disclosures it has not had a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results from operations, and cash flows.

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 167, “Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)” (SFAS 167). SFAS 167, addresses the effects of eliminating the qualifying special-purpose entity (QSPE) concept from Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 140, “Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities” and addresses certain key provisions of FIN 46(R), including transparency of enterprises’ involvement with variable interest entities (VIEs). SFAS 167 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009 and interim periods within those fiscal years. We are currently assessing the implications of this standard and evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS 167 on our consolidated financial statements.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments, which potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk, consist principally of cash and cash equivalents and accounts receivable. Concentrations of credit risk with respect to our cash and cash equivalents are limited primarily to amounts held with financial institutions. Concentrations of credit risk arising from our receivables are limited primarily to amounts due from manufacturers and financial institutions.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amount of our financial instruments approximates fair value due either to length to maturity or existence of interest rates that approximate prevailing market rates unless otherwise disclosed in these consolidated financial statements.

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Use of Estimates and Assumptions

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. Significant estimates made by us in the accompanying consolidated financial statements relate to valuation allowances, valuation of long-lived assets, and valuation of accruals. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates. We have evaluated subsequent events for recognition or disclosure through December 14, 2009, the date which we filed this Form 10-K with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

4. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE:

Trade receivables consist primarily of receivables from financial institutions, which provide funding for customer boat financing and amounts due from financial institutions earned from arranging financing with our customers. We normally collect these receivables within 30 days of the sale. Trade receivables also include amounts due from customers on the sale of boats, parts, service, and storage. Amounts due from manufacturers represent receivables for various manufacturer programs and parts and service work performed pursuant to the manufacturers' warranties.

The allowance for uncollectible receivables, which was not material to the consolidated financial statements as of September 30, 2008 or 2009, was based on our consideration of customer payment practices, past transaction history with customers, and economic conditions. When an account becomes uncollectable, we expense it as a bad debt and we credit payments subsequently received to the bad debt expense account. We review the allowance for uncollectible receivables when an event or other change in circumstances results in a change in the estimate of the ultimate collectability of a specific account.

The accounts receivable balances consisted of the following at September 30,

	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>
	(Amounts in thousands)	
Trade receivables	\$10,408	\$11,598
Amounts due from manufacturers	18,343	23,501
Other receivables	180	398
	<u>\$28,931</u>	<u>\$35,497</u>

5. INVENTORIES:

Inventories, net consisted of the following at September 30,

	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>
	(Amounts in thousands)	
New boats, motors, and trailers	\$386,993	\$160,303
Used boats, motors, and trailers	72,627	39,113
Parts, accessories, and other	9,009	6,518
	<u>\$468,629</u>	<u>\$205,934</u>

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

6. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT:

Property and equipment consisted of the following at September 30,

	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>
	(Amounts in thousands)	
Land	\$ 42,160	\$ 40,410
Buildings and improvements	79,641	76,411
Machinery and equipment	29,540	28,002
Furniture and fixtures	5,809	4,982
Vehicles	6,863	5,717
	<u>164,013</u>	<u>155,522</u>
Less — Accumulated depreciation and Amortization	<u>(50,144)</u>	<u>(53,206)</u>
	<u>\$113,869</u>	<u>\$102,316</u>

Depreciation and amortization expense on property and equipment totaled approximately \$9.5 million, \$10.9 million, and \$10.4 million for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2007, 2008, and 2009, respectively.

During fiscal 2008 and 2009, we closed certain owned retail locations in an effort to better match our fixed costs with the decline in retail business caused by the soft economic conditions. Accordingly, we entered into plans to market and sell certain locations we have exited. We assessed our plans to sell certain locations with the criteria identified in FASB Accounting Standards Codification 360, “Property, Plant, and Equipment” (ASC 360), previously referred to as Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, “Accounting for Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets,” and determined the locations should be classified as available for sale. As of September 30, 2008 and 2009, we have reclassified \$1.8 million and \$4.8 million, respectively, from property and equipment to prepaid expenses and other current assets within the consolidated balance sheets.

7. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS:

The changes in the carrying amounts of net goodwill and identifiable intangible assets for the fiscal years ended September 30, were as follows:

	<u>Goodwill</u>	<u>Identifiable Intangible Assets</u>	<u>Total</u>
	(Amounts in thousands)		
Balance, September 30, 2007	\$ 97,446	\$ 23,728	\$ 121,174
Changes during the period	(97,446)	(23,728)	(121,174)
Balance, September 30, 2008	—	—	—
Changes during the period	—	—	—
Balance, September 30, 2009	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, we experienced a significant decline in market valuation driven primarily by weakness in the marine retail industry and an overall soft economy, which adversely affected our financial performance. As a result, we completed a fair value analysis of indefinite lived intangible assets and a step two goodwill impairment analysis, as required by ASC 350. We determined that indefinite lived intangible assets and goodwill were impaired and recorded a non-cash charge of \$121.1 million based on our assessment. We will not be required to make any current or future cash expenditures as a result of this impairment charge.

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

8. OTHER LONG-TERM ASSETS:

During February 2006, we became party to a joint venture with Brunswick that acquired certain real estate and assets of Great American Marina for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$11.0 million, of which we contributed approximately \$4.0 million and Brunswick contributed approximately \$7.0 million. The terms of the agreement specify that we operate and maintain the service business and that Brunswick operate and maintain the marina business. Simultaneously with the closing, the acquired entity became Gulfport Marina, LLC (Gulfport). We account for our investment in Gulfport in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification 323, "Investment — Equity Method and Joint Venture" (ASC 323), previously referred to as Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 18, "The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock." Accordingly, we adjust the carrying amount of our investment in Gulfport to recognize our share of earnings or losses.

During the three months ended June 30, 2008, we experienced a significant decline in market valuation driven primarily by weakness in the marine retail industry and an overall soft economy, which adversely affected our financial performance. As a result of this weakness, we realized a goodwill and intangible asset impairment charge, as noted above. Based on these events, we reviewed the valuation of our investment in Gulfport in accordance with ASC 323 and recoverability of the assets contained within the joint venture. ASC 323 requires the recognition of a loss in value of an investment, which is other than a temporary decline. We reviewed our investment and assets contained within the Gulfport joint venture, which consists of land, buildings, equipment, and goodwill. As a result, we determined that our investment in the joint venture was impaired and recorded a non-cash charge of \$1.0 million based on our assessment. We will not be required to make any current or future cash expenditures as a result of this impairment charge.

9. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITY:

During fiscal 2006, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement with a notional amount of \$4.0 million, which was designated as a cash flow hedge, and effectively converted a portion of the floating rate debt to a fixed rate of 5.67%. At September 30, 2007, the swap agreement had a fair value of approximately \$45,000, which was recorded in other long-term assets on the consolidated balance sheets.

During fiscal 2008, we entered into six interest rate swap agreements with a total notional amount of approximately \$23.2 million, which were designated as cash flow hedges that effectively converted a portion of the floating rate debt to fixed rates ranging from 4.36% to 4.87%. During fiscal 2008, we prepaid the outstanding balances of our long-term debt. With this prepayment, the swaps were terminated and the pretax fair market value of the swaps of approximately \$550,000 was reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income and recognized as income in the statements of operations.

During fiscal 2009, we have not entered into any interest rate swaps or currency hedging activity.

10. SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS:

During fiscal 2008, we maintained our second amended and restated credit and security agreement, entered into during June 2006. The credit facility provided us a line of credit with asset-based borrowing availability of up to \$500 million for working capital and inventory financing, with the amount of permissible borrowings determined pursuant to a borrowing base formula. The credit facility accrued interest at the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 150 to 260 basis points, with the interest rate was based upon the ratio of our net outstanding borrowings to our tangible net worth. The credit facility was secured by our inventory, accounts receivable, equipment, furniture, and fixtures. The credit facility required us to satisfy certain covenants, including maintaining a leverage ratio tied to our tangible net worth.

During fiscal 2009, we maintained our second amended and restated credit and security agreement, which has been amended on various occasions since its original execution in June 2006. As amended, our credit facility

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

provides for a line of credit with asset-based borrowing availability up to approximately \$230 million, stepping down to \$175 million by September 30, 2010, with interim decreases between such dates. The amended facility has certain financial covenants as specified in the agreement. The covenants include provisions that our leverage ratio not exceed 2.75 to 1; that our current ratio must be greater than 1.25 to 1 or 1.20 to 1 depending on the time of year; and that our maximum EBITDA loss and annual EBITDA, both as defined in the agreement, comply with certain thresholds as described below. The EBITDA covenant requires that we do not exceed the allowable cumulative negative EBITDA, as defined in the agreement, for the first nine months of fiscal 2010, which is \$22 million as of December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2010 and \$15 million as of June 30, 2010. We are required to have a cumulative EBITDA greater than or equal to our interest expense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010. EBITDA, as defined in the agreement, is our earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization plus an add back for stock-based compensation expense and 50% of the proceeds of our September 2009 stock offering or approximately \$10 million. The amended facility provides for a variable interest rate margin of LIBOR plus 490 basis points through September 30, 2010 and thereafter at LIBOR plus 150 to 400 basis points, depending upon our financial and operating performance. We paid the lenders approximately \$2.4 million in amendment fees during fiscal 2009. The amended facility matures in May 2011, but includes two one-year renewal options, subject to lender approval.

As of September 30, 2008 and 2009, we owed an aggregate of \$372 million and \$142 million, respectively under our credit facility and were in compliance with all of the credit facility covenants. Advances under the facility accrued interest at a rate of 4.0% and 5.2% as of September 30, 2008 and 2009, respectively. As of September 30, 2009, the facility provided us with an additional borrowing availability of approximately \$60 million.

As is common in our industry, we receive interest assistance directly from boat manufacturers, including Brunswick. The interest assistance programs vary by manufacturer and generally include periods of free financing or reduced interest rate programs. The interest assistance may be paid directly to us or our lenders depending on the arrangements the manufacturer has established. We classify interest assistance received from manufacturers as a reduction of inventory cost and related cost of sales as opposed to netting the assistance against our interest expense incurred with our lenders.

The availability and costs of borrowed funds can adversely affect our ability to obtain adequate boat inventory and the holding costs of that inventory as well as the ability and willingness of our customers to finance boat purchases. As of September 30, 2009, we had no long-term debt. However, we rely on our credit facility to purchase our inventory of boats. Our ability to borrow under our credit facility depends on our continuing to satisfy our covenants and other obligations under our credit facility. The aging of our inventory limits our borrowing capacity as defined curtailments reduce the allowable advance rate as our inventory ages. Our access to funds under our credit facility also depends upon the ability of the banks that are parties to that facility to meet their funding commitments, particularly if they experience shortages of capital or experience excessive volumes of borrowing requests from others during a short period of time. A continuation of depressed economic conditions, weak consumer spending, turmoil in the credit markets, and lender difficulties could interfere with our ability to utilize the credit facility to fund our operations. Any inability to utilize our credit facility resulting from a covenant violation, insufficient collateral, or lender difficulties could require us to seek other sources of funding to repay amounts outstanding under the credit agreement or replace or supplement our credit agreement, which may not be possible at all or under commercially reasonable terms.

Similarly, the decreases in the availability of credit and increases in the cost of credit adversely affect the ability of our customers to purchase boats from us and thereby adversely affects our ability to sell our products and impact the profitability of our finance and insurance activities. Tight credit conditions during fiscal 2009 adversely affected the ability of customers to finance boat purchases, which had a negative affect on our operating results.

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

11. LONG-TERM DEBT:

As of fiscal 2009, we had no long-term debt. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, we prepaid all outstanding mortgages and accelerated the amortization of the associated loan costs in the amount of approximately \$160,000.

12. INCOME TAXES:

The components of our provision for income taxes consisted of the following for the fiscal years ended September 30,

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>
	(Amounts in thousands)		
Current provision (benefit):			
Federal	\$10,377	\$(12,776)	\$(10,004)
State	(1,524)	(82)	(139)
Total current provision (benefit)	<u>8,853</u>	<u>(12,858)</u>	<u>(10,143)</u>
Deferred provision (benefit):			
Federal	(1,243)	(5,726)	1,380
State	(124)	(577)	133
Total deferred provision (benefit)	<u>(1,367)</u>	<u>(6,303)</u>	<u>1,513</u>
Total income tax provision (benefit)	<u>\$ 7,486</u>	<u>\$(19,161)</u>	<u>\$ (8,630)</u>

Below is a reconciliation of the statutory federal income tax rate to our effective tax rate for the fiscal years ended September 30,

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>
Federal tax provision	35.0%	(35.0)%	(35.0)%
State taxes, net of federal effect	2.3%	(4.4)%	(4.0)%
State income tax settlement	(13.7)%	—	—
Stock based compensation	2.1%	0.3%	0.4%
Valuation allowance	1.9%	25.5%	29.5%
Other	(0.4)%	1.1%	(1.0)%
Effective tax rate	<u>27.2%</u>	<u>(12.5)%</u>	<u>(10.1)%</u>

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007, we settled certain tax positions under an initiative offered by one of the states in which we conduct operations. As a result of this settlement, we reduced our reserve for contingent income tax liabilities by approximately \$5.2 million. Due to the amount paid under the settlement, the reduction in income tax expense was approximately \$3.8 million for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007. Without this reduction, the effective tax rate would have been approximately 40.9% for the year ended September 30, 2007.

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Deferred income taxes reflect the impact of temporary differences between the amount of assets and liabilities recognized for financial reporting purposes and such amounts recognized for income tax purposes. The tax effects of these temporary differences representing the components of deferred tax assets (liabilities) at September 30 were as follows:

	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>
	(Amounts in thousands)	
Current deferred tax assets:		
Inventories	\$ 3,225	\$ 5,169
Accrued expenses	2,915	4,093
Current deferred tax assets	6,140	9,262
Valuation Allowance	(5,833)	(9,262)
Net current deferred tax assets	<u>\$ 307</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
Long-term deferred tax assets:		
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 24,483	\$ 21,393
Stock based compensation	6,582	6,010
FIN 48 deferred tax asset	634	588
Tax loss carryforwards	3,330	23,495
Other	88	111
Long-term deferred tax assets	35,117	51,597
Valuation allowance	(33,911)	(51,597)
Net long-term deferred tax assets	<u>\$ 1,206</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

Pursuant to ASC 740, we must consider all positive and negative evidence regarding the realization of deferred tax assets, including past operating results and future sources of taxable income. Under the provisions of ASC 740, we determined that the entire net deferred tax asset needed to be reserved given recent earnings and industry trends. The total valuation allowance at September 30, 2008 and 2009 was \$60.9 million and \$39.7 million, respectively.

We have adopted the provisions of FIN 48, now under ASC 740. Under ASC 740, the impact of an uncertain tax position taken or expected to be taken on an income tax return must be recognized in the financial statements at the largest amount that is more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon audit by the relevant taxing authority. An uncertain income tax position will not be recognized in the financial statements unless it is more likely than not of being sustained. On October 1, 2007, we recognized a charge of \$554,000 to retained earnings as a result of the adoption of FIN 48. As of September 30, 2008 and 2009, we had approximately \$2.1 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, of gross unrecognized tax benefits, of which approximately \$1.4 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, if recognized, would impact the effective tax rate.

The reconciliation of the total amount recorded for unrecognized tax benefits at the beginning and end of the fiscal years ended September 30, 2008 and 2009 is as follows:

	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>
	(Amounts in thousands)	
Unrecognized tax benefits at the beginning of the year	\$2,242	\$2,064
Increases in tax positions for prior years	81	69
Decreases in tax positions for prior years	(100)	(62)
Lapse of statute of limitations	(159)	(177)
Unrecognized tax benefits at September 30,	<u>\$2,064</u>	<u>\$1,894</u>

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Consistent with our prior practices, we recognize interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as a component of income tax expense. As of September 30, 2009, interest and penalties represented approximately \$660,000 of the gross unrecognized tax benefits. There have been no significant changes to the balance of interest and penalties subsequent to adoption.

Since inception, we have been subject to tax by both federal and state taxing authorities. Until the respective statutes of limitations expire, we are subject to income tax audits in the jurisdictions in which we operate. We are no longer subject to U.S. federal tax examinations for fiscal years prior to 2006, and we are not subject to audits prior to the 2005 fiscal year for the majority of the state jurisdictions.

It is reasonably possible that a change to the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits could occur in the next 12 months based on examinations by tax authorities, the expiration of statutes of limitations, or potential settlements of outstanding positions. It is not possible to estimate a range of the possible changes at this time. However, we do not expect the change to be significant to the overall balance of unrecognized tax benefits.

13. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:

In November 2005, our Board of Directors approved a share repurchase plan allowing our company to repurchase up to 1,000,000 shares of our common stock. Under the plan, we may buy back common stock from time to time in the open market or in privately negotiated blocks, dependant upon various factors, including price and availability of the shares, and general market conditions. Through September 30, 2009, we had purchased an aggregate of 790,900 shares of common stock under the plan for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$15.8 million.

In September 2009, we completed a public offering of 2,990,000 shares of common stock at a price to the public of \$7.00 per share for total gross proceeds of \$20,930,000 million. The net proceeds of the offering were used to reduce our short-term borrowings and general corporate purposes.

14. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION:

In accordance with ASC 718, we use the Black-Scholes valuation model for valuing all stock-based compensation and shares granted under the ESPP. We measure compensation for restricted stock awards and restricted stock units at fair value on the grant date based on the number of shares expected to vest and the quoted market price of our common stock. We recognize compensation cost for all awards in earnings, net of estimated forfeitures, on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for each separately vesting portion of the award.

Cash received from option exercises under all share-based compensation arrangements for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2007, 2008, and 2009 was approximately \$3.2 million, \$2.2 million, and \$766,000, respectively. Tax benefits realized for tax deductions from option exercises for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2007 and 2008 was approximately \$769,000 and \$223,000, respectively. There were no tax benefits realized for tax deductions from option exercises for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009. We currently expect to satisfy share-based awards with registered shares available to be issued.

15. THE INCENTIVE STOCK PLANS:

During February 2007, our stockholders approved a proposal to approve our 2007 Incentive Compensation Plan (2007 Plan), which replaced our 1998 Incentive Stock Plan (1998 Plan). Our 2007 Plan provides for the grant of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, stock units, bonus stock, dividend equivalents, other stock related awards, and performance awards (collectively awards), that may be settled in cash, stock, or other property. Our 2007 Plan is designed to attract, motivate, retain, and reward our executives, employees, officers, directors, and independent contractors by providing such persons with annual and long-term performance incentives to expend their maximum efforts in the creation of stockholder value. The total number of shares of our common stock that may be subject to awards under the 2007 Plan is equal to 1,000,000 shares, plus (i) any shares

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

available for issuance and not subject to an award under the 1998 Plan, (ii) the number of shares with respect to which awards granted under the 2007 Plan and the 1998 Plan terminate without the issuance of the shares or where the shares are forfeited or repurchased; (iii) with respect to awards granted under the 2007 Plan and the 1998 Plan, the number of shares that are not issued as a result of the award being settled for cash or otherwise not issued in connection with the exercise or payment of the award; and (iv) the number of shares that are surrendered or withheld in payment of the exercise price of any award or any tax withholding requirements in connection with any award granted under the 2007 Plan and the 1998 Plan. The 2007 Plan terminates in February 2017, and awards may be granted at any time during the life of the 2007 Plan. The Board of Directors or the Plan Administrator determines the date on which awards vest. The Board of Directors or the Plan Administrator also determines the exercise prices of options which are at least equal to the fair market value of shares of common stock on the date of grant. The term of options under the 2007 Plan may not exceed ten years. The options granted have varying vesting periods. To date, we have not settled or been under any obligation to settle any awards in cash.

The following table summarizes option activity from September 30, 2008 through September 30, 2009:

	Shares Available for Grant	Options Outstanding	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (In thousands)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life
Balance at September 30, 2008	1,215,006	1,740,128	\$ —	\$ 18.41	5.1
Options granted	(1,195,700)	1,195,700		\$ 2.98	
Options cancelled/forfeited/expired	920,080	(920,080)		\$ 16.14	
Restricted stock units issued	(10,000)	—			
Restricted stock units cancelled	99,043	—			
Options exercised	(20,554)	(20,554)		\$ 3.01	
Balance at September 30, 2009	<u>1,007,875</u>	<u>1,995,194</u>	<u>\$ 5,173</u>	<u>\$ 10.37</u>	<u>7.0</u>
Exercisable at September 30, 2009		<u>927,819</u>	<u>\$ 1,165</u>	\$ 13.17	5.4

The weighted-average grant date fair value of options granted during the fiscal years ended September 30, 2007, 2008, and 2009 was \$12.13, \$6.12, and \$1.75, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the fiscal years ended September 30, 2007, 2008, and 2009 was approximately \$2.0 million, \$541,000, and \$54,200, respectively.

As of September 30, 2008 and 2009, there was approximately \$2.1 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, of unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested options that is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.5 years and 2.1 years, respectively. The total fair value of options vested during the fiscal years ended September 30, 2007, 2008, and 2009 was approximately \$1.9 million, \$2.1 million, and \$1.2 million, respectively.

We continued using the Black-Scholes model to estimate the fair value of options granted during fiscal 2009. The expected term of options granted is derived from the output of the option pricing model and represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. Volatility is based on the historical volatility of our common stock. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual term of the options is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The following are the weighted-average assumptions used for the fiscal years ended September 30:

	2007	2008	2009
Dividend yield	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Risk-free interest rate	4.6%	3.4%	2.2%
Volatility	42.7%	44.2%	63.4%
Expected life	6.5 years	7.5 years	6.0 years

16. EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASE PLAN (THE STOCK PURCHASE PLAN):

During February 2008, our stockholders approved our 2008 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (2008 Plan). The 2008 Plan provides for up to 500,000 shares of common stock to be available for purchase by our regular employees who have completed at least one year of continuous service. The 2008 Plan provides for implementation of up to 10 annual offerings beginning on the first day of October starting in 2008, with each offering terminating on September 30 of the following year. Each annual offering may be divided into two six-month offerings. For each offering, the purchase price per share will be the lower of (i) 85% of the closing price of the common stock on the first day of the offering or (ii) 85% of the closing price of the common stock on the last day of the offering. The purchase price is paid through periodic payroll deductions not to exceed 10% of the participant's earnings during each offering period. However, no participant may purchase more than \$25,000 worth of common stock annually.

During 2009, we continued using the Black-Scholes model to estimate the fair value of options granted to purchase shares issued pursuant to the Stock Purchase Plan. The expected term of options granted is derived from the output of the option pricing model and represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. Volatility is based on the historical volatility of our common stock. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual term of the options is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

The following are the weighted-average assumptions used for the fiscal years ended September 30:

	2007	2008	2009
Dividend yield	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Risk-free interest rate	4.9%	2.3%	.5%
Volatility	43.4%	75.6%	160.4%
Expected life	six-months	six-months	six-months

As of September 30, 2009, we had issued 264,493 of the 500,000 shares of common stock reserved for issuance under our 2008 employee stock purchase plan.

17. RESTRICTED STOCK AWARDS:

During fiscal 2007, 2008, and 2009, we granted non-vested (restricted) stock awards or restricted stock units (collectively restricted stock awards) to certain key employees pursuant to the 1998 Plan or the 2007 Plan. The restricted stock awards have varying vesting periods, but generally become fully vested at either the end of year four or the end of year five, depending on the specific award. The awards granted in fiscal 2008 require certain levels of performance by us before they are earned. The performance metrics must be achieved by September 2011 or the awards will be forfeited. The stock underlying the vested restricted stock units will be delivered upon vesting.

We accounted for the restricted stock awards granted during fiscal 2007, 2008, and 2009 using the measurement and recognition provisions of ASC 718-10. Accordingly, we measure the fair value of the restricted stock awards on the grant date and recognize the fair value in earnings over the requisite service period for each separately vesting portion of the award.

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The following table summarizes restricted stock activity from September 30, 2008 through September 30, 2009:

	<u>Shares</u>	<u>Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value</u>
Non-vested balance at September 30, 2008	830,000	\$ 23.25
Changes during the period		
Shares granted	10,000	\$ 3.64
Shares vested	(220,887)	\$ 5.38
Shares forfeited	(99,043)	\$ 7.38
Non-vested balance at September 30, 2009	<u>520,070</u>	\$ 6.38

As of September 30, 2009, we had approximately \$3.1 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock awards granted under the Plan. We expect to recognize that cost over a weighted-average period of 1.5 years.

18. NET INCOME PER SHARE:

The following is a reconciliation of the shares used in the denominator for calculating basic and diluted earnings per share for the fiscal years ended September 30:

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>
Weighted average common shares outstanding used in calculating basic net income per share	18,618,611	18,391,488	18,685,423
Effect of dilutive options	670,620	—	—
Weighted average common and common equivalent shares used in calculating diluted net income per share	<u>19,289,231</u>	<u>18,391,488</u>	<u>18,685,423</u>

Options to purchase approximately 742,000, 1,700,000 and 764,000 shares of common stock were outstanding at September 30, 2007, 2008, and 2009, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the exercise prices were greater than the average market price of our common stock, and therefore, their effect would be anti-dilutive.

19. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES:

Lease Commitments

We lease certain land, buildings, machinery, equipment, and vehicles related to our dealerships under non-cancelable third-party operating leases. Certain of our leases include options for renewal periods and provisions for escalation. Rental expense, including month-to-month rentals, were approximately \$13.2 million, \$13.9 million, and \$9.2 million for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2007, 2008, and 2009, respectively. Rental expense to related parties under both cancelable and non-cancelable operating leases approximated \$385,000 for each of the fiscal years ended September 30, 2007, 2008, and 2009.

The rental payments to related parties, under both cancelable and non-cancelable operating leases during fiscal 2007, 2008, and 2009, represent rental payments for buildings to an entity partially owned by a former officer of our company. We believe the terms of the transaction are consistent with those that we would obtain from third parties.

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Future minimum lease payments under non-cancelable operating leases at September 30, 2009, were as follows:

	(Amounts in thousands)
2010	\$ 7,401
2011	6,671
2012	5,104
2013	3,674
2014	2,660
Thereafter	6,232
Total	\$ 31,742

Other Commitments and Contingencies

We are party to various legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. The ultimate liability, if any, associated with these matters was not believed to be material at September 30, 2009. While it is not feasible to determine the actual outcome of these actions as of September 30, 2009, we do not believe that these matters will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

During fiscal 2007, we received approximately \$2.0 million of insurance proceeds, of which approximately \$1.4 million offset the related losses associated with the destruction of marina docks and significant expenses we incurred regarding damage and related clean up after the December 2006 snow and ice storms in Missouri. The excess insurance proceeds received, of approximately \$600,000, were recorded as a gain on involuntary disposal of assets. Additionally in fiscal 2007, we received \$2.1 million of business interruption insurance proceeds for claims associated with Hurricane Wilma, which occurred in October 2005. The business interruption insurance proceeds were to reimburse us for the interruption in our operations that resulted in lost revenue and related profits in addition to the significant expenses incurred to move and repair inventory and to reimburse us for uninsured losses recognized by certain locations. The gain on disposal and insurance proceeds received were recorded as a reduction to selling, general, and administrative expenses in the consolidated statements of operations during fiscal 2007.

During fiscal 2008 and 2009, we incurred costs associated with store closings of approximately \$3.0 million and \$6.2 million, respectively. These costs primarily related to the future minimum operating lease payments of the closed locations. The store closings were a key component in our effort to better match our fixed costs with the decline in retail business caused by the soft economic conditions. The store closing costs have been included in selling, general, and administrative expenses in the consolidated statements of operations during fiscal 2008 and 2009.

We are subject to federal and state environmental regulations, including rules relating to air and water pollution and the storage and disposal of gasoline, oil, other chemicals and waste. We believe that we are in compliance with such regulations.

20. EMPLOYEE 401(k) PROFIT SHARING PLANS:

Employees are eligible to participate in our 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan (the Plan) following their 90-day introductory period starting either April 1 or October 1, provided that they are 21 years of age. Under the Plan, we match 50% of participants' contributions, up to a maximum of 5% of each participant's compensation. We contributed, under the Plan, or pursuant to previous similar plans, approximately \$1.9 million, \$1.5 million, and \$167,000 for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2007, 2008, and 2009, respectively. Beginning January 1, 2009, our matching was suspended in an effort to reduce overall costs.

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

21. PREFERRED SHARE PURCHASE RIGHTS:

During September 2001, we adopted a Stockholders' Rights Plan (the Rights Plan) that may have the effect of deterring, delaying, or preventing a change in control that might otherwise be in the best interests of our stockholders. Under the Rights Plan, a dividend of one Preferred Share Purchase Right was issued for each share of common stock held by the stockholders of record as of the close of business on September 7, 2001. Each right entitles stockholders to purchase, at an exercise price of \$50 per share, one-thousandth of a share of a newly created Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock.

In general, subject to certain limited exceptions, the stock purchase rights become exercisable when a person or group acquires 15% or more of our common stock or a tender offer or exchange offer for 15% or more of our common stock is announced or commenced. After any such event, other stockholders may purchase additional shares of our common stock at 50% of the then-current market price. The rights will cause substantial dilution to a person or group that attempts to acquire us on terms not approved by our Board of Directors. The rights should not interfere with any merger or other business combination approved by the Board of Directors. The rights may be redeemed by us at \$0.01 per stock purchase right at any time before any person or group acquires 15% or more of the outstanding common stock. The rights expire on August 28, 2011.

The Rights Plan adoption and Rights Distribution is a non-taxable event with no impact on our financial results.

22. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS:

During November 2009, the Worker, Homeownership, and Business Assistance Act of 2009 (the "Act") was signed into law. The Act contains a number of tax law changes, including a provision that permits companies to carryback certain 2008 or 2009 net operating losses (NOL) up to five years. Under existing tax law prior to the Act, we could only carryback an NOL a maximum of two years to offset prior taxable income. The excess net operating loss generated in fiscal 2009, which could not be carried back under the prior law, will be able to be carried back five years under the Act. The additional carryback will generate an additional tax refund of approximately \$19 million. As a result, our effective tax rate in the first quarter of fiscal year 2010 will be significantly impacted.

MARINEMAX, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

23. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED):

The following table sets forth certain unaudited quarterly financial data for each of our last eight quarters. The information has been derived from unaudited financial statements that we believe reflect all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for the fair presentation of such quarterly financial information.

	December 31, 2007	March 31, 2008	June 30, 2008	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2008	March 31, 2009	June 30, 2009	September 30, 2009
(Amounts in thousands except share and per share data)								
Revenue	\$ 215,268	\$ 233,262	\$ 271,277	\$ 165,600	\$ 100,224	\$ 129,608	\$ 151,514	\$ 207,239
Cost of sales	167,143	178,783	209,432	123,806	76,521	109,894	118,898	194,612
Gross profit	48,125	54,479	61,845	41,794	23,703	19,714	32,616	12,627
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	53,191	56,198	51,623	56,414	38,862	36,360	38,975	45,801
Goodwill and intangible asset impairment	—	—	122,091	—	—	—	—	—
Loss from operations	(5,066)	(1,719)	(111,869)	(14,620)	(15,159)	(16,646)	(6,359)	(33,174)
Interest expense	5,881	5,952	4,765	3,566	4,062	3,774	3,380	2,848
Loss before income tax benefit	(10,947)	(7,671)	(116,634)	(18,186)	(19,221)	(20,420)	(9,739)	(36,022)
Income tax benefit	(4,529)	(4,162)	(3,377)	(7,093)	(4,881)	(151)	(559)	(3,039)
Net loss	\$ (6,418)	\$ (3,509)	\$ (113,257)	\$ (11,093)	\$ (14,340)	\$ (20,269)	\$ (9,180)	\$ (32,983)
Net loss per share:								
Diluted	\$ (0.35)	\$ (0.19)	\$ (6.15)	\$ (0.60)	\$ (0.78)	\$ (1.09)	\$ (0.49)	\$ (1.72)
Weighted average number of shares:								
Diluted	18,364,676	18,363,692	18,415,790	18,421,629	18,500,794	18,512,104	18,575,332	19,148,498

In order to provide comparability between periods presented, certain amounts have been reclassified from the previously reported interim financial statements to conform to the consolidated financial statement presentation of the current period.

NOTE: PORTIONS OF THIS EXHIBIT INDICATED BY "[****]" ARE SUBJECT TO A CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT REQUEST, AND HAVE BEEN OMITTED FROM THIS EXHIBIT. COMPLETE, UNREDACTED COPIES OF THIS EXHIBIT HAVE BEEN FILED WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION AS PART OF THIS COMPANY'S CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT REQUEST.

SIXTH AMENDMENT
TO SECOND AMENDED AND RESTATED
CREDIT AND SECURITY AGREEMENT

This **SIXTH AMENDMENT TO SECOND AMENDED AND RESTATED CREDIT AND SECURITY AGREEMENT** (this "Sixth Amendment") is entered into as of September 10, 2009 (the "Sixth Amendment Effective Date"), by and among **MARINEMAX, INC.**, a Delaware corporation (the "Company") and each of the six (6) other Borrowers set forth on Schedule I attached hereto and by the reference incorporated herein (each of the Company and each of such six (6) Persons other than the Company, singularly, a "Borrower," and the Company and all of such Persons other than the Company, collectively, the "Borrowers"), **KEYBANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION**, a national banking association, both individually (in such capacity, "KeyBank") and as administrative agent (in such capacity, the "Administrative Agent") for the Lenders (as hereinafter defined), **BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.**, a national banking association, individually (in such capacity, "BOA"), as collateral agent (in such capacity, the "Collateral Agent") and as documentation agent (in such capacity, the "Documentation Agent") and the various other financial institutions as are or may become parties hereto, including, as of the date hereof, **GE COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTION FINANCE CORPORATION**, a Delaware corporation ("GE Commercial"), **WACHOVIA BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION**, a national banking association ("Wachovia"), **WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.**, a national banking association ("Wells Fargo"), **U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION**, a national banking association ("US Bank"), **BRANCH BANKING & TRUST COMPANY**, a North Carolina corporation ("BB&T"), and **BANK OF THE WEST**, a California corporation ("Bank of the West") (KeyBank, BOA, GE Commercial, Wachovia, Wells Fargo, US Bank, BB&T, Bank of the West, and such other financial institutions, collectively, the "Lenders"), amending that Second Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement dated as of June 19, 2006, by and among Borrowers and Lenders as heretofore amended by the First Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement dated as of May 31, 2007, the Second Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement dated as of October 1, 2007, the Third Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement dated as of March 7, 2008, the Fourth Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement dated as of December 15, 2008, and the Fifth Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement dated as of June 5, 2009 (the "Agreement"). Unless otherwise defined in this Sixth Amendment, all defined terms used in this Sixth Amendment shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Agreement. This Sixth Amendment is entered into in consideration of, and upon, the terms, conditions and agreements set forth herein.

1. Background. Borrowers and Lenders desire to amend certain provisions of the Agreement effective as of the Sixth Amendment Effective Date.

2. Changed Definitions. The definitions of the following terms heretofore defined in the Agreement are hereby amended to read in their entirety as follows:

"Commitment Amount" shall mean (a) effective as of Sixth Amendment Effective Date, three hundred million dollars (\$300,000,000), (b) effective as September 30, 2009, two hundred fifty million dollars (\$250,000,000), (c) effective as December 31, 2009, two hundred thirty-five

million dollars (\$235,000,000), (d) effective as March 31, 2010, two hundred twenty million dollars (\$220,000,000), (e) effective as June 30, 2010, two hundred million dollars (\$200,000,000), and (f) effective as September 30, 2010, one hundred seventy-five million dollars (\$175,000,000). All such reductions in the Commitment Amount shall reduce the Commitments of the Lenders in accordance with their Pro Rata Percentages, as follows:

Lenders	Pro Rata Percentage	(000 omitted) Amend No. 5 Date	(000 omitted) 9/30/09	(000 omitted) 12/31/09	(000 omitted) 3/31/10	(000 omitted) 6/30/10	(000 omitted) 9/30/10
BOA	27%	\$ 81,000	\$ 67,500	\$ 63,450	\$ 59,400	\$ 54,000	\$47,250
KeyBank	20%	\$ 60,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 47,000	\$ 44,000	\$ 40,000	\$35,000
GE Commercial	18%	\$ 54,000	\$ 45,000	\$ 42,300	\$ 39,600	\$ 36,000	\$31,500
Wachovia	10%	\$ 30,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 23,500	\$ 22,000	\$ 20,000	\$17,500
Wells Fargo	7%	\$ 21,000	\$ 17,500	\$ 16,450	\$ 15,400	\$ 14,000	\$12,250
US Bank	6%	\$ 18,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 14,100	\$ 13,200	\$ 12,000	\$10,500
BB&T	6%	\$ 18,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 14,100	\$ 13,200	\$ 12,000	\$10,500
Bank of the West	6%	\$ 18,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 14,100	\$ 13,200	\$ 12,000	\$10,500
	100%	\$ 300,000	\$250,000	\$235,000	\$ 220,000	\$200,000	\$175,000

Notwithstanding the foregoing, (i) the applicable Commitment Amount under each of (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e) above shall be reduced by the aggregate amount of Common Stock Net Proceeds received by the Company after the Sixth Amendment Effective Date and on or before December 31, 2009, but shall never be reduced under this clause (i) to less than one hundred seventy-five million dollars (\$175,000,000), and (ii) the applicable Commitment Amount under (f) above shall be or become one hundred seventy-five million dollars (\$175,000,000) at September 30, 2010 even if prior to such date the Company shall have received no Common Stock Net Proceeds. In addition, the applicable Commitment Amount (A) may be increased by virtue of any exercise of the accordion feature set forth in Section 2.01(a)(2) of the Agreement, and (B) shall be reduced in connection with sales of Additional Real Estate Collateral in the manner set forth in Section 4.08(g) of the Agreement.

“ EBITDA ” shall mean, for any period, the earnings before interest, Taxes, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123R stock-based compensation, depreciation, amortization, and any intangible asset impairment charge deducted in determining the earnings of the Borrowers on a consolidated basis for such period; provided, however, that for each of the four quarterly periods of the Borrowers ending on December 31, 2008, March 31, 2009, June 30, 2009 and September 30, 2009, EBITDA shall be calculated by adding back nonrecurring restructuring charges associated with business location closings, leasehold improvement impairment charges, lease termination charges, Lender closing costs associated with the Fourth Amendment, the Fifth Amendment, and the Sixth Amendment to the Agreement, and actual [****] inventory repurchase settlement writedowns up to a maximum of [****]; provided further, that for each

of the four quarterly periods of the Borrowers ending on December 31, 2009, March 31, 2010, June 30, 2010 and September 30, 2010, EBITDA shall be calculated by adding to earnings the lesser of (a) fifty percent (50%) of the total Common Stock Net Proceeds received by the Company at any time after the Sixth Amendment Effective Date and on or before December 31, 2009, and (b) fifteen million dollars (\$15,000,000). For purposes of calculating EBITDA, Common Stock Net Proceeds actually received after the Sixth Amendment Effective Date and on or before September 30, 2009 shall be deemed to have been received by the Company during the quarterly period ending on December 31, 2009.

3. Added Definitions . The following new defined terms are hereby added to Section 1.01 of the Agreement.

“ Common Stock Net Proceeds ” shall mean the cash proceeds from any New Common Stock Sale, net of all fees (including investment banking fees), discounts, commissions, costs and other expenses, in each case incurred in connection with such New Common Stock Sale. In connection with the calculation of Common Stock Net Proceeds with respect to any New Common Stock Sale, all fees, discounts, commissions, costs and expenses shall be allocated on a pro rata basis among the shares sold in such New Common Stock Sale.

“ New Common Stock Sale ” shall mean any public or private cash sale of common stock of the Company occurring at any time after the Sixth Amendment Effective Date and on or before December 31, 2009.

“ Sixth Amendment Effective Date ” shall mean September 10, 2009, the effective date of the Sixth Amendment to the Agreement.

4. Legal Fees and Expenses of Sixth Amendment . Borrowers shall reimburse the Administrative Agent and the Collateral Agent for their legal fees and expenses in connection with the execution and delivery of this Sixth Amendment.

5. Representations and Warranties of the Borrowers . The Borrowers represent and warrant to the Agent, the Collateral Agent, and the Lenders that this Sixth Amendment and each related Loan Document has been duly authorized by all necessary action on the part of each of the Borrowers, has been duly executed and delivered by each of the Borrowers, and constitutes a valid and binding agreement of each of the Borrowers enforceable against such Borrower in accordance with its terms.

6. Opinion of Counsel . This Sixth Amendment shall not become effective until the Agent, the Collateral Agent, and the Lenders shall have received an opinion of counsel to the Company and the other Borrowers reasonably satisfactory to the Agent, the Collateral Agent, and the Lenders to the effect set forth in Section 5 of this Sixth Amendment; provided, however, that such opinion of counsel may be subject to customary qualifications.

7. Effect on Agreement . Except as specifically amended and modified by this Sixth Amendment, all terms, conditions, covenants and agreements set forth in the Agreement

shall remain in full force and effect. The miscellaneous provisions of Article IX of the Agreement shall apply with equal force to this Sixth Amendment.

8. Counterparts. This Sixth Amendment may be executed in two or more counterparts, each of which shall constitute an original but all of which when taken together shall constitute one agreement.

[SIGNATURES FOLLOW]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Sixth Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement has been executed and delivered by the parties (including 100% of the Lenders) as of the day and year first above written.

“BORROWERS”

MARINEMAX, INC. , a Delaware corporation

By: /s/ Kurt M. Frahn
Kurt M. Frahn, Vice President

MARINEMAX EAST, INC. , a Delaware corporation

By: /s/ Kurt M. Frahn
Kurt M. Frahn, Assistant Secretary

MARINEMAX SERVICES, INC. , a Delaware corporation

By: /s/ Kurt M. Frahn
Kurt M. Frahn, Assistant Secretary

US LIQUIDATORS, LLC , a Delaware limited liability company

NEWCOAST FINANCIAL SERVICES, LLC , a Delaware limited liability company

By: **MARINEMAX, INC.** , sole member

By: /s/ Kurt M. Frahn
Kurt M. Frahn, Vice President

MARINEMAX NORTHEAST, LLC , a Delaware limited liability company

BOATING GEAR CENTER, LLC , a Delaware limited liability company

By: **MARINEMAX EAST, INC.** , sole member

By: /s/ Kurt M. Frahn
Kurt M. Frahn, Assistant Secretary

“LENDERS”

KEYBANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION , a
national banking association

By: /s/ Brian T. McDevitt
Name: Brian T. McDevitt
Title: Vice President

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., successor by
merger to Banc of America Specialty Finance,
Inc.

By: /s/ L. Ransom Burts
Name: L. Ransom Burts
Title: Senior Vice President

**GE COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTION
FINANCE CORPORATION**, a Delaware
corporation

By: /s/ David M. Campbell
Name: David M. Campbell
Title: Portfolio Management Director

**WACHOVIA BANK, NATIONAL
ASSOCIATION** , a national banking association

By: /s/ Leslie Fredericks
Name: Leslie Fredericks
Title: VP

Signature Page

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. , a national banking association

By: /s/ Roger Fruendt

Name: Roger Fruendt

Title: Senior Vice President

U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, a national banking association

By: /s/ Sean Devillers

Name: Sean Devillers

Title: Vice President

BRANCH BANKING & TRUST COMPANY, a North Carolina corporation

By: /s/ Brigitta Lawton

Name: Brigitta Lawton

Title: Senior Vice President

BANK OF THE WEST, a California corporation

By: /s/ Mark Weiser

Name: Mark Weiser

Title: Vice President

“ADMINISTRATIVE AGENT”

KEYBANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION , a national banking association

By: /s/ Brian T. McDevitt

Name: Brian T. McDevitt

Title: Vice President

Signature Page

**“COLLATERAL AGENT” and
“DOCUMENTATION AGENT”**

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., successor by merger
to Banc of America Specialty Finance, Inc.

By: /s/ L. Ransom Burts

Name: L. Ransom Burts

Title: Senior Vice President

Signature Page

Schedule I

- 1. MARINEMAX EAST, INC.** , a Delaware corporation
- 2. MARINEMAX SERVICES, INC.** , a Delaware corporation
- 3. US LIQUIDATORS, LLC** , a Delaware limited liability company
- 4. NEWCOAST FINANCIAL SERVICES, LLC** , a Delaware limited liability company
- 5. MARINEMAX NORTHEAST, LLC** , a Delaware limited liability company
- 6. BOATING GEAR CENTER, LLC** , a Delaware limited liability company

Schedule

**CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the following Registration Statements:

- 1) Registration Statement (Form S-3 No. 333-153006) pertaining to the 2008 shelf registration of MarineMax, Inc. and the related Prospectus,
- 2) Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 333-141657) pertaining to the 2007 Incentive Compensation Plan of MarineMax, Inc.,
- 3) Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 333-140366) pertaining to the 1998 Employee Stock Purchase Plan of MarineMax, Inc.,
- 4) Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 333-83332) pertaining to the 1998 Incentive Stock Plan of MarineMax, Inc.,
- 5) Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 333-63307) pertaining to the 1998 Incentive Stock Plan and the 1998 Employee Stock Purchase Plan of MarineMax, Inc., and
- 6) Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 333-156358) pertaining to the 2008 Employee Stock Purchase Plan of MarineMax, Inc.;

of our reports dated December 14, 2009, with respect to the consolidated financial statements of MarineMax, Inc. and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting of MarineMax, Inc., included in this Annual Report (Form 10-K) of MarineMax Inc. for the year ended September 30, 2009.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Tampa, Florida
December 14, 2009

CERTIFICATION

I, William H. McGill Jr., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-K of MarineMax, Inc.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial information; and

b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ WILLIAM H. MCGILL, JR.

William H. McGill Jr.

Chief Executive Officer

Date: December 14, 2009

CERTIFICATION

I, Michael H. McLamb, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-K of MarineMax, Inc.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial information; and

b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ MICHAEL H. MCLAMB

Michael H. McLamb

Chief Financial Officer

Date: December 14, 2009

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACTS OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report on Form 10-K of MarineMax, Inc. (the "Company") for the year ended September 30, 2009, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, William H. McGill Jr., Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, to my best knowledge and belief, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m(a) or 78o(d)); and

(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ WILLIAM H. MCGILL JR.

William H. McGill Jr.

Chief Executive Officer

Date: December 14, 2009

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACTS OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report on Form 10-K of MarineMax, Inc. (the "Company") for the year ended September 30, 2009, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Michael H. McLamb, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, to my best knowledge and belief, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

(3) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m(a) or 78o(d)); and

(4) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ MICHAEL H. MCLAMB

Michael H. McLamb
Chief Financial Officer

Date: December 14, 2009